

Page(s)	Change Proposed [be as specific as possible and provide exact desired language]	Department	Edit Tracking	LRP Team Response
12	I want to second what Stevie mentioned above about the 1928 Plan. Yes, it created the City's park system, but it was predicated on the systemic segregation of facilities and parks, and that should be acknowledged in any retelling of Park history.	Austin Equity Office	Edit Made	open to further feedback! Initial revision made through addition of final paragraph on p14
13	As related to history, I think if you mention the New Deal, it should also be noted how communities of color were disproportionately negatively impacted by these federal programs. Segregation was ultimately reinforced by the New Deal. The FHA promoted racial covenants, and the Home Owners Loan Corp created the redlining maps. This is directly tied to how and why certain areas of town received more or less quality City resources, including parks.	Austin Equity Office	Edit Made	Need to think more about how best to do this
17	I think it is significant to address the 1928 Plan in the timeline. As it is right now, the timeline suggests that 1920-1940 was a successful era in Austin because of park investment. However, we know that to be a person of color in Austin was very challenging because the Plan institutionalized segregation, and that legacy persists today across all City services.	Austin Equity Office	Edit Made	Need to figure out how to make room for this graphically.
40	"Untapped Minority Youth" - I would reconsider the word "minority," particularly since youth of color are the majority youth demographic in the area currently. (I'm assuming the word 'minority' is being used to describe youth of color)	Austin Equity Office	Edit Made	Changed to Untapped Youth Engagement at Montopolis Recreation Center
42	Do you have disaggregated demographic information relating to who participated/responded in the engagement process? Do you have any stats on how many people of color were engaged? I see family size, age, and residency status, but I'm curious if you collected demographics by race and gender? This question was unable to be answered at both the Latino Quality of Life briefing and the African American QOL briefing.	Austin Equity Office	Edit Made	yes - we can pull this from the community engagement summary. For the online survey, 1,693 respondents chose to answer the race/ethnicity question. From those respondents, the results were: 67% White/Caucasian, 13% Latino/Hispanic, 4% Asian/Asian-American, 4% Black/African American, 3% Others, 9% Prefer not to answer.
86	2-edit by adding another sub-bullet to include more than stormwater benefits (provide space and management for environmental benefits (including stormwater, cleaner air quality, increased wildlife, reduce effects of climate change as parks are typically cooler than surrounding landscape, manage forest succession, more/diversification of trees and leaves adds to improved ecosystem services--natural services lead to improved health benefits). Reference The Nature Conservancy study about the benefits of park spaces for environmental reasons.	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	More edits/revision may be needed here
87	Photo of creek on this page is not a good representation of a natural spaces. It is mowed and a lot of the native vegetation has been removed. Suggest a photo that has a riparian area.	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	Selected a new photo
89	If the overall goal is to increase interaction with water, there should be a sub-bullet that addresses access to natural waterways within parks. Most spaces at capacity are because they have flowing water in the warmer months of the year and this report suggest the desire for more of these spaces. In addition to more, there needs to be the proper care and maintenance of these spaces. For instance, the impacts of blue green algae, bacteria from pet waste and unstainable banks of swimming areas in natural areas is a need for the future of parks in Austin. Investigate ways to address and manage for these emerging concerns as people swim in natural spaces like Barton Creek, Bull Creek, Walnut Creek, etc. and PARD is the main steward of these spaces this could include sustainable development of these spaces, controlling access in a sustainable way, communication with the public about the importance of their role in these special places. (reference the community feedback comment on page 86 about the need for natural areas). Manage land to benefit Austenite's through reducing impacts of climate change, heat island, etc.)	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	Add description under 3.4 saying "Determine where public access is appropriate, safe, and sustainable based on site-specific factors such as (but not limited to) water depth and speed, stability and slope of banks, habitat and ecosystem vulnerability, water quality, and resources available for maintenance of access points." Revise 3.4.2 to emphasize safety: "3.4.2 Ensure public access to waterways in park and trail corridor plans and along greenbelts where access is appropriate, safe and sustainable."
89	Connect resources to reduce the impacts of these natural spaces so they can be enjoyed by future generations.	PARD, Natural Resources Division	No edit needed	See p 86 - strategy A2
90	4.3.3-4.3.4 since sustainable food center has changed its model and is no longer supporting community gardens a new sponsor is needed.	PARD, Natural Resources Division	No edit needed	Agreed, a new sponsor should be identified, but it is not necessary to name that sponsor to include this as a strategy. Thanks for bringing this up!
90	Increase proگرامing opportunities for the community so that community gardens become a larger community space	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	Under 4.3 Add small event spaces where appropriate to leverage proximity to comm garden to host events

Page(s)	Change Proposed [be as specific as possible and provide exact desired language]	Department	Edit Tracking	LRP Team Response
91	4.5.2 PARD support design and water tap implementation to improve access to new community gardens. As it stands, equity is a problem because it is only communities with designers and engineers that have the resources to do this for themselves.	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	Added as 4.5.3
92	BARK program-City of Austin Park Rangers have a Bark Ranger program that does just what this side bar mentions. Cover that program and what it does. There are photos of dogs from Austin that are bark ranger ambassadors.	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	Need to get an Austin picture. Updated it to be "in progress" and made clear it exists already in Austin.
92	6.5.1 Increase the reach of the bark ranger program. 6.5.2 consider having park rangers write warnings to reduce the amount of dogs of leash in leashed areas.	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	
94	7.2.2Manage natural areas to reduce wildfire concerns as provided in Citywide wildfire audit.	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	Added as 7.3.4
100	3.4 Standardize entrances and close unofficial entrances to reduce unstainable trails and trails that are not maintained which will improve visitor experience and reduce changes of getting lost.	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	
101	5.1 Investigate divide uses and paved trails where appropriate to allow for safer interactions between users or reduce trail conflicts between recreation and transportation needs.	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	added under 5.4: "5.4.1 Investigate dividing uses and paving trails where appropriate to allow for safer interactions between users and to reduce trail conflicts between recreation and transportation needs."
104	8.2 Increase interpretation about the unique features on parkland	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	
109	ensure lighting is night sky friendly as more light can actually increase shadows and decrease safety of an area	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	
109	2.3 Park Rangers conduct over a 1,000 directed patrols to parks with concerns. Analyze this data to find areas of biggest need. 2.3.1 Increase Park Rangers to add a more visible presence in parks.	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	
109	2.4 Investigate opportunities for Park Rangers to lead park ambassador program so there are coordinated response efforts to areas of concern and expand capabilities of a limited safety/education staff.	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	
116	Current nature center programing easily has waitlist over 1000 people each summer. Investigate ways to serve waitlist and needs of Austenite's for quality nature programing that is not just through partners but relies on expertise and resources within PARD. 1.5 suggest removing "smaller" as that is limiting.	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	
116	1.6.3 Explore opportunities to expand to more than one school the successfully award winning Park Ranger cadet program.	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	
116	1.7 Park Rangers current lead nature stewardship programs including art in nature, graffiti removal programing, geocaching, plant and animal id so recommend this be specific to the expanding the Park Ranger programing instead of leaving it general. Could also be expansion of Park Rangers to support programing needs in more parks than just the urban core.	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	
120	6 broaden language to be encompassing of emerging sports like slacklining as this is a plan for 10 years.	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	We did not want to call out this specific recreation type of Slacklining, since the only ones we are mentioning are those heard directly through engagement. To make this clear we changed the description to read "Ensure that PARD facilities are offering recreation programming and facilities that address community desires and needs, including emerging and non-traditional sports. Based on community input throughout the planning process, special attention should be given to golf, bocce ball, disc golf, bike polo, and skateparks."
124	1.2 Nash Hernandez building has been identified in the Master Plan for a Park Ranger headquarters and has been communicated multiple times with the public. Park Rangers have a staff of 20 people spread across four different offices. One that is temporary and has been promised to be close to the area of patrol. Aquatics currently has a large office space that is branded for aquatics. There is a need for nature programing on the eastside of the trail and nash is the best opportunity for this.	PARD, Natural Resources Division	No edit needed	Additional internal staff discussion will take place and no changes to the document are needed at this time.

Page(s)	Change Proposed [be as specific as possible and provide exact desired language]	Department	Edit Tracking	LRP Team Response
124	1.1 remove combining Forestry staff with Park Operations (this was explored by the Department in FY18 and not found to be of benefit)	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	eliminated description under strategy 1.1
124	Maintenance and operations scope does not include care of natural spaces and that is needed for PARD to be a true steward of green spaces. Currently Maintenance and operations install trails, remove trash, mow, clean restrooms all essential tasks, but there is a current gap in a stewardship role for PARD to care for its green infrastructure where things like trees, meadows and environmental features receive regular care from specialist staff. Investigate options for how PARD can become a better steward of its green assets and its role in managing for the health and environment. Since there is a system wide recommendation to include more natural spaces and preserves, we need to assign staff and assets to care for them.	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	
129	6. Make this section broader than just facilities-this is also needed for green infrastructure. To include City-wide initiatives under strategic direction 23 for health and environment--like dark sky friendly lighting, and PARD stewardship of green infrastructure so that citizens have respite from urban life including referencing scientific studies that show parks spaces have increased number of birds, reduced urban sounds, trees for overall improvement in health. This will include managing natural spaces in a way that prioritizes nature for its benefits to austenite's like trees managed as assets, greenspace as reducing impacts of climate change, ensuring creeks have shade and surrounding riparian areas. (also correlates with A. Ensure parks act as a relief from urban life). Either section needs to have PARD investigate ways to enhance its role as steward of its green infrastructure assets like trees, riparian zones, prairies, caves, etc.	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	Now #7 (not #6). Now reads "Pursue sustainability and resiliency goals at all PARD parkland and facilities." The remainder are addressed under A.2
129	6.4 Park Rangers are the face of the LNT campaign. Funding for LNT is mostly from other departments. PARD should fund this program as much as the other departments do (ARR and Watershed)	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	Now 7.5: Partner with Austin Resource Recovery and the Watershed Protection Department to educate members of the community to 'Leave No Trace' (LNT). Create educational materials and ensure that signage clearly highlights recycling and waste procedures that emphasize "pack it in, pack it out" at all parks. Support Park Rangers as LNT educators and advocates.
89	Add 4.4 item to community gardens. Suggested language: "Install rainwater harvesting as supplemental alternative water source for all community gardens."	WPD	Edit Made	4.6? or 4.5.3? I added as 4.5.3
93	Add to 6.3 element that design considerations should include the ability to rotate use intensity within the dog leash area to reduce soil compaction and complete devegetation from high use intensity.	WPD	Edit Made	
93	Add to 7.1 that land management plans should be designed to minimize natural area degradation and soil compaction and to contemplate ecological restoration needs of the site through long term vegetation management, planting, mowing for access, path delineation, and adequate signage.	WPD	Edit Made	
62	In the paragraph about single-purpose fields and courts, change "then" to "than"	PARD, 50+ Services	Edit Made	
119	Section 4 - add:4.3. Provide a web-based asset map of PARD programs and events on the Age Friendly Austin website	PARD, 50+ Services	Edit Made	
156	In the paragraph about increasing resident access - add "within" between "live" and "walking" & add a comma after "underway" in the second to last sentence.	PARD, 50+ Services	Edit Made	
Entire Document	Having trouble finding any mention of the specific Senior Activity Centers. The only one I see listed is South Austin Senior Activity Center, and that is only referenced in the Planning Summits. These sites should at least be listed on page 52.	PARD, 50+ Services	No edit needed	See P 117, senior programming recommendations under Senior Centers in general
118	Operating Budget for Bringing Seniors Together Event series - could be really good with extra funding	PARD, 50+ Services	Edit Made	
118	Mention Austin Senior Games and how we plan to grow this event over the next decade	PARD, 50+ Services	Edit Made	
102	Mention PARD Senior Transportation program - possibly expanding services by adding routes/drivers/vehicles	PARD, 50+ Services	Edit Made	

Page(s)	Change Proposed [be as specific as possible and provide exact desired language]	Department	Edit Tracking	LRP Team Response
162	Solar panels installed on Alamo pavilion roof. This roof has E-W facing sides and a large surface. This set-up could provide for a large solar panel installation that could power Alamo Recreation Center and park lights, maybe more.	PARD, 50+ Services	Edit Made	
162	Court upgrade to Alamo pavilion that would be good for skaters. With skating numbers increasing, we need to determine if the current surface should be replaced with materials that are designed to withstand skateboarding/rollerblading	PARD, 50+ Services	Edit Made	
Idea	Shade structures for all recreation center playscapes. Since rec centers host year-round OST programs, these playgrounds get tremendous use. During most of the year, the sun can be pretty brutal on the kids. Shade structures would benefit both our programs and community users.	PARD, 50+ Services	Edit Made	See added recommendation A.2.7 for tree canopy/shade increase. Shade structures over playgrounds are part of the Urban Forest Master Plan.
20	1st bullet : add activity centers "and corridors"	ATD	Edit Made	
20	Define walking distance to a park	ATD	Edit Made	It is defined as a 5-10 minute walk (1/4-1/2 mile) depending on whether residents are within the Urban Core or not
21	Might be good to put a source on where the forecast is coming from. Ryan Robinson's projections (http://www.austintexas.gov/sites/default/files/files/Planning/Demographics/austin_forecast_2019_pub.pdf) are different, so just let us know which source you're using	ATD	Edit Made	We will update to be consistent with updated projections from Ryan Robinson, City demographer
26	are these separate surveys? It is unclear as currently written. "multiple surveys, including an online survey and a statistically-valid multimedia/platform/etc survey...."	ATD	Edit Made	Added "mixed-media" of statistically-valid survey for clarity. Participants were contacted by mail and phone and given the option to complete the survey online or by mail.
30	Focus Groups: Most of your focus groups are not demographically diverse. Being an off-leash dog park advocate doesn't speak to one's demographics in anyway. These groups represent a smattering group of diverse park users. I think this paragraph should be rewritten	ATD	Edit Made	Changed first sentence to "As part of the outreach, six focus groups were held to engage groups of people in guided discussions about topics that might otherwise be under-represented in community input."
30	Virtual Meetings: Do we know people are unwilling? Even so, is it necessary to call that out? Suggest eliminating	ATD	Edit Made	
30	Untapped Minority Youth: maybe I'm behind the times/terminology: untapped?	ATD	Edit Made	Changed Untapped Minority Youth to Underrepresented Youth
30	Focus Groups, 1st paragraph: accessibility here means only mobility impairments? Asking because of the final focus groups, who are also accessibility advocates (As well as service providers)	ATD	No edit needed	Accessibility is used in broader terms to include ADA, as well as all-abilities playscapes, signage, website information, entrances. ADA is specifically referenced in relation to B7 - PARD ADA Transition Plan.
30	Focus Groups, 1st paragraph: students at the school? teachers? As written it is just "the schools," which is fine, but different from "groups working with" the youth demographic. Also, TSBVI/TSD are subsets of accessibility advocates.	ATD	No edit needed	Accessibility is used in broader terms to include ADA, as well as all-abilities playscapes, signage, website information, entrances. ADA is specifically referenced in relation to B7 - PARD ADA Transition Plan.
35	consider changing colors between series-es	ATD	Edit Made	
38	Summit 2 "at a glance" - Is it possible to make this bubble slightly higher? It looks like it is overlapping with text	ATD	Edit Made	
38	This is the first time these themes are explained. There is more detail about them later. Before telling us that Participants provided feedback on the themes, tell us that previous feedback provided 10 consistent recurring themes. This is a big part of your engagement so tell us about this big important first step at the very beginning	ATD	Edit Made	
39	Summit 3 "at a glance" - Is this information not available yet?	ATD	Edit Made	
40	1st Bullet: It seems that accessibility has been consistently used to mean ADA accessibility, so what does accessibility of restrooms, especially from public transit mean? Does that mean an accessible restroom that is connected via an accessible path?	ATD	No edit needed	Accessibility is used in broader terms to include ADA, as well as all-abilities playscapes, signage, website information, entrances. ADA is specifically referenced in relation to B7 - PARD ADA Transition Plan.
40	2nd Bullet, Safe Routes to School: Do you want to related this to the actual name of the program in general, in which case add capitalization.	ATD	Edit Made	
40	Untapped Minority Youth, 1st Bullet: first time accessibility seems to be more of a connectivity issue. Is the transportation infrastructure not ADA supportive or is it not connecting the places that need to be connected	ATD	No edit needed	ADA Accessibility vs. general accessibility

Page(s)	Change Proposed [be as specific as possible and provide exact desired language]	Department	Edit Tracking	LRP Team Response
40	Utapped Minority Youth, 2nd Bullet, Safe Routes to School: Do you want to related this to the actual name of the program in general, in which case add capitalization.	ATD	Edit Made	
42	1st Paragraph: "multiple languages" - what languages?	ATD	Edit Made	Translation for the online survey included: Arabic, Korean, Simplified Chinese, Traditional Chinese, Spanish, and Vietnamese
42	The layout of all previous pages starts in the upper left corner and then you read down before continuing to the following columns as you move right. I did not realize this was an introductory paragraph and read about the Online Community Survey first	ATD	Edit Made	
42	Tenure: Housing Tenure does not refer to the amount of time someone has lived in their community. It refers to type of occupation/ownership. "duration" would be more accurate	ATD	Edit Made	
44	Community garden - plural to match rest of list?	ATD	Edit Made	
45	unmet program needs bulleted list: I think what is being said here is that the seven programs desired by families that are currently unmet by parks programming are... is that right?	ATD	Edit Made	Your interpretation is correct. We altered language to hopefully make this more clear.
46	That free parking could be inclusive is debatable. Maybe it is unnecessary to call out free parking in this list	ATD	Edit Made	The desire for free parking being free came up many times in public comments, and is a valid area for further study to ensure low-income residents in park-deficient areas are able to access PARD parkland. However, this parking-price-specific comment is adequately covered under the point about reducing fees that may prevent low-income residents from participating and is addressed later on in the plan strategies. Free parking reference has been removed.
46	IS there a reason these themes are after they are first discussed several pages ago?	ATD	No edit needed	We appreciate your idea here - hopefully the clarified language on p20 helps to address the sequencing issue you bring. In short, yes there is a reason for the current sequencing - the reasoning was that we wanted this to be shared as the final, synthesized result of community engagement leading into the CH4 strategies and CH5 recommendations that were specifically developed in response to these themes. It is also important that readers are introduced first to all the types of community input that led to and confirmed these themes that were first presented at Community Meeting Series #2. Subsequent community input led to the rewording, revision and consolidation of some of the themes.
49	This is a weird sentence. It at least needs different punctuation with an additional comma. The idea that it is already central to our lives and is now weaving its way into our daily routines seems odd	ATD	Edit Made	
49	Add comma after "physical assets"	ATD	Edit Made	
49	Delete "In other words,"	ATD	Edit Made	
50	Existing System, 2nd Paragraph: "Each individual park and facility together" Reword: "together, each individual park is a part of/forms/etc..."	ATD	Edit Made	
51	The Greenways: "linear natural feature-focused" - add punctuation somewhere	ATD	Edit Made	
56	Metropolitan Park Type Size: Should this be 200+? What happens if a park is 200.5. it doesnt fall into either category	ATD	No edit needed	These numbers are provided as rounded to the nearest integer. So, a 200.5 acre park would be rounded up to 201 whereas a 200.1 acre park would be rounded to 200. In practice these acreage standards are guidelines and not meant to be followed to the decimal point level of precision.
56	We no longer use these terms. The ASMP now uses the term Level 1-5 to categorize different sizes of streets. This matches the update to our Transportation Criteria Manual. Level 5=Highway/Freeway Level 4=major arterial Level 3=minor arterial Level 2=collector Level 1-local/neighborhood	ATD	No edit needed	While these terms may be outdated for technical use, this LRP document is intended for a lay audience and so we are keeping the current terminology to make it more immediately and intuitively comprehensible to the average resident. For internal PARD and ATD use, you can assume that our terminology matches up with yours in the following way: Major Arterial = Level 4 Minor Arterial = Level 3 Collector = Level 2 or Level 1, with a preference for Level 2 for more visibility

Page(s)	Change Proposed [be as specific as possible and provide exact desired language]	Department	Edit Tracking	LRP Team Response
56	Listing only cars/public transit makes it seem like those are the only way to access those parks. Zilker is well connected via All Ages and Abilities Bike facilities, as an example. It also implies that you dont use other modes to get to pocket or neighborhood parks. I dont see what the point of a partial list of how to access these parks shows or educates the reader?	ATD	Edit Made	Changed to All Transport Modes
57	What do the numbers on this map refer to?	ATD	Edit Made	Add rec center legend item from p74 map legend
58	LCRA Parks: inconsistent oxford comma usage, which hasnt been present in other lists	ATD	Edit Made	Now using oxford comma throughout
60	Nature Facility Distribution: "protect, and enhance" - generally havent used the oxford comma	ATD	No edit needed	Now using oxford comma throughout
66	"sparking the impagination of austinities every day" - incomplete sentence	ATD	Edit Made	
74	Existing High-Density Areas: "One that reduces" - This pattern/layout would reduce	ATD	Edit Made	
78	1st paragraph: "where moving through the city is easier" - add comma at end	ATD	Edit Made	
94	7.2: "active transportation network" change to "active transportation systems"	ATD	Edit Made	
25	Downtown Parks Vision, released in XXXX (year),	EDD	Edit Made	
25	The Urban Forest Plan, released in XXXX (year)	EDD	Edit Made	
35	The Popup locations should be more prominent; it looks like you didn't do anything in District 2 or 7, so just make the dots more important looking	EDD	Edit Made	Update map with larger symbols
49	Add to Benchmarking + Current Spending	EDD	No edit needed	See full TPL Report for Benchmarking detail
66	Just curious if the parks with approved Amplified Sound Permitting are reflected in Performance Spaces (only mentioning because I just mapped the 15 approved open parks)	EDD	No edit needed	No, it is not - this was from PARD facility GIS data, not permitted use data.
69	would like to see more expression on how park is spending its dollars in high level figures - basically everything listed pages 56, 62, 64, 66 - knowing there might be overlap, but I really am curious to know how much these favorites cost citizens	EDD	No edit needed	See full TPL Report for Benchmarking detail
79	shaddddde	EDD	Edit Made	Added new strategy under A.2 to increase shade/tree canopy
99	2.4 Acquire land to provide better connectivity between parks. Connect parks with tree-lined trails	EDD	Edit Made	
100	3.3.2 Increase tree-lined shade cover for transit stops in and near parks.	EDD	Edit Made	add "3.3.2 Increase tree-lined shade cover for transit stops in and near parks."
111	5.4.1 Work with Economic Development Department to develop (or enhance) fee structure for vending near parks.5.4.2 Work with Small and Minority Owned Business Resources to support WMBE businesses for providing education and priority access on vending near parks.	EDD	Edit Made	
118	3.3 Community Creative Center - I sure hope EDD is talking to PARD about the Creative Space Bond...sounds a LOT like what I'm hearing out of the Creative Space Bond Advisory Committee	EDD	Edit Made	
127	3.2. Develop an effective RESERVATION, INTAKE AND data collection system of users and profile information.	EDD	Edit Made	
129	This is where the Urban Forestry Goals should be mentioned in the resiliency section, especially around the increase of shade cover via tree planting to reduce urban heat	EDD	No edit needed	This is covered in a general way under E.7.3 and is included in detail under A
150	Why is shade cover not included? This is complained about a LOT when at a playground or splash pad or tennis court or basketball court :(EDD	Edit Made	Added under A.2.7
179	Under Private Developers can we include that the existing facilities could be vertically built upon to to maximize leasing and licensing agreements?	EDD	No Edit needed	PARD will need to follow-up with EDD, no change at this time.
116-120	Need more content on addressing youth programming (out-of-school time, early childhood recreation & education programs, parenting classes, youth sports leagues, etc) , similar to the Active Adult Center programming on pages 117-118	EDD	Edit Made	I agree that we don't have major recommendations around this issue and it may be that we need to discuss more with staff. Added D.6.4: Work more closely with PARD staff and communtiy to expand youth programming. This includes out-of-school time, early childhood recreation & education programs, parenting classes, youth sports leagues.

Page(s)	Change Proposed [be as specific as possible and provide exact desired language]	Department	Edit Tracking	LRP Team Response
throughout	difference btwn presentation & LRP: in the presentation there is NORTHEAST, but through the entire plan it says EAST	EDD	No edit needed	This change was made upon PARD request. we will be using EAST for the final plan document
Idea	As I got to reading, I learned about some of the activities PARD does that I had no idea they did (community gardens, farmers markets, etc.) and I was thinking it may be useful for them to include a one-pager of their current Catalog of Work maybe as an appendix item, but would help for a frame of reference to see all they are doing...which is a TON!	EDD	No edit needed	Great idea - this could be developed following the release of the LRP but will not be included in the LRP at this time.
Idea	I didn't see any mention of shading existing parks or trails. Maybe it is inherent in the improvement plans for existing parks, but I go to local parks a lot and I hear this complaint over and over (and make it myself) or observe everyone flocking to the shaded spots of a park, so it seems odd to not be mentioning specifically or even indirectly with tree-planting/planting. It's not even mentioned on the Score Card. I suggest adding it to the Resiliency section, but really I think it should be named throughout.	EDD	No edit needed	Recommendation added as A.2.7 to increase shade cover through increased tree canopy. Regarding incorporating shade inventory into the park score card, all park assessments and scoring has already been completed, but this is an idea worth discussing. This is the first pilot of park score cards and PARD will work with partners to evaluate changes for future rounds.
Idea	I thought I would see more vertical "greening" mentioned and I didn't see any. Maybe this is a part of the Urban Forestry Plan, but would be good to see PARD activating public facilities with more vertical green exteriors.	EDD	Edit Made	Under 2.3 (p89) add: Consider opportunities for vertical greening of buildings and other infrastructure.
Idea	I love the Scorecard approach and hope the Complete Communities working group can get to something similar. I'm also very interested to learn more about how they developed the 26 districts. Sounded like it was a national mapping reference, but not sure which. (I referred her to Kim McKnight to discuss further.) Might be helpful for the Complete Communities working group that is trying to define both the geographic bounds and measurable components of a Complete Community.	EDD	No edit needed	
		PARD, Park Development		
20	Under "Improving parkland access" goal should be 24 park-acres/1,000 residents		Edit Made	
40	Clarify "Dog Park" as off-leash	PARD, Park Development	Edit Made	
69	There is a lot of information in charts on this page with little text background. What is a good # of acres per resident? What does median park size mean or why does it matter?	PARD, Park Development	No edit needed	See full TPL Report for Benchmarking detail, there is not one agreed upon measure or goal for acres/1,000. TPL provides the background for comparison and to help cities develop community specific targets.
70	The pages read as single pages. Will or can the final pdf read as double pages? For example, as a single page, page 70 needs the City key to identify the colors.	PARD, Park Development	Edit Made	Added legend on both pages of spread. Yes, final document will read as double pages / page spreads.
86	2.1 "greenways" We should stick to one term - greenbelt or greenway. I would recommend greenbelt since this is the name of the park type.	PARD, Park Development	Edit Made	Using Greenbelt throughout now - no mention of greenway
134	1st sentence, 2nd paragraph under "Level 1 Development" appears to be missing "as many".	PARD, Park Development	Edit Made	
151	3rd column - should be strategic Direction 2023	PARD, Park Development	Edit Made	
151	Were all parks visited for the scorecard? If not, would recommend clarifying.	PARD, Park Development	Edit Made	See page 153 under How were current park..., change first sent to: PARD staff and Studio Balcones, a local Austin Landscape Architecture firm, visited all parks that could be accessed to complete detailed
69	Figure 14 is very small and difficult to read - increase font or overall size. It may be helpful to indicate in the text that this is spending per resident and perhaps put in writing ranges of dollars spent and where Austin falls.	EDD & PARD, Park Development	Edit Made	
Idea	I love the idea of the Park Score Cards. I don't see any criteria focused on usage. Is it possible/desirable to include some measure of the number of folks who use the park as part of the park's score?	EDD	No edit needed	All park assessments and scoring has already been completed, but this is an idea worth discussing. This is the first pilot of park score cards and PARD will work with partners to evaluate changes for future rounds.

Page(s)	Change Proposed [be as specific as possible and provide exact desired language]	Department	Edit Tracking	LRP Team Response
Idea	On page 13, where the Citywide Strategies are listed: "Ensure Parks Act as a Relief From Urban Life." I would object to this framing. I think lots of folks would argue that parks are an essential component of urban life, not relief from it. If, alternatively, the point of this strategy is to say that parks can help draw urban residents to more rural areas, there's a way to state that in order to highlight the appeal of rural areas, rather than positioning urban areas as negative places.	EDD	No edit needed	We appreciate your concern and share your perspective that parks are an essential component of urban life, but we found that this wording generally resonated with people in the community engagement process and helped to synthesize multiple themes that were about stress relief, unprogrammed spaces, and preserving natural areas that were not structured in the way other urban spaces are. Our intention is to convey that parks complement urban life and that in order to continue to do so it is important to uphold their role as a place of stress relief, calm and natural beauty.
Idea	Happy to help however I can with the strategy to "Increase the number of Farmers Markets" associated with parks and open spaces.	EDD	No edit needed	That's great! Thank you
Idea	Love the "Leverage 'pop up' programming and temporary uses..." strategy.	EDD	No edit needed	
170	Install ballfield lighting around the Dittmar Park sports field to encourage evening recreation and to ensure the park feels safe after dark.	PAR, Community Recreation	Edit Made	
170	Acquire land on Dittmar Road to build a parking lot to improve access to Dittmar Park and Dittmar Recreation Center	PAR, Community Recreation	Edit Made	
98	remove "market based" displacement	ATD	Edit Made	
98	1.3: "city departments" capitalize City	ATD	Edit Made	
98	1.4: add dash LRP-identified	ATD	Edit Made	
99	2.3: "function as water resource" - wording feels awkward.	ATD	Edit Made	
99	2.4: Suggest speaking to linear parks and urban trails in sub-text under 2.4 to describe how PARD will increase connectivity between parks.	ATD	Edit Made	Needs some discussion with PARD
100	3.3: Agreed with the concept of increasing and looking for opportunities to partner with SRTS, but the text itself in 3.3 seems very general compared to the specific SRTS text in 3.3.1. I would suggest that the description in 3.3. be made more specific (about schools somehow) so that it doesn't read too much like #5 on the next page.	ATD	No edit needed	We decided not to make 3.3 on p100 specific to SRTS - it is intended to describe the considerations for where to locate new entrances. #5 on p102 is not specific to the park entrances - it is intended to address the mobility networks themselves.
101	4.1.2 I think this should be lowercase city since it is talking about city limits rather than City government.	ATD	Edit Made	
101	#5: City departments (capitalize C)	ATD	Edit Made	
101	both parks near them and/or their ability to get to parks: them is an unclear referent here, and also seems formal/awkward. same comment as "them" earlier in this sentence.	ATD	Edit Made	
101	Funding Trails Callout: Only the green larger text is about funding, while the italic black text is about size, volunteers, and maintenance. Suggest focusing on one of these aspects or changing the large "Funding" title to something else more general. The funding seems to be the main difference between Austin UT Program and San Antonio that would make it worth it to call out their program instead of our own, so I'd suggest focusing on/expanding that.	ATD	Edit Made	Changed to "supporting trails"
102	5.1: safe crossings within a 1/4 mile	ATD	Edit Made	
102	Disc Golf Callout: I find the inclusion of this disc golf stat/community engagement quip in this section very odd. It seems like it would be much more appropriate in another section entirely (somewhere in C or D makes more sense than in B, to me).	ATD	Edit Made	updated strategy B.6 to list disc golf instead of golf - that was the original connection intended for the disc golf callout. Throughout the process there was a strong voice from the disc golf community that made clear that there was a citywide interest in more even distribution of disc golf courses.
102	5.2: replace "bikeshare" with "micromobility"	ATD	No edit needed	Micromobility is not a widely understood term - bikeshare will be more recognizable to a layperson audience. Updated recommendations under B5 (p 104) to include reference to other motorized scooters, and reference new forms of mobility.
102	5.2.1: "expanding transit stops" - Do you mean expanding the size of the stops themselves, or the number of stops? I'm guessing it's both but mostly the second one, so I would suggest "Work with Capital Metro to evaluate the potential for improving transit stops and increasing transit service near PARD parks and facilities.	ATD	Edit Made	Changed to "increase and expand"

Page(s)	Change Proposed [be as specific as possible and provide exact desired language]	Department	Edit Tracking	LRP Team Response
102	5.2.2: Change "bikeshare locations" to "Expand micromobility options near trails and greenways to improve non-automobile access."	ATD	No edit needed	We are concerned that micromobility is not a widely understood term and that bikeshare will be more recognizable to a layperson audience. Please explain the reasoning behind making this change
102	5.3: change "the Austin Transportation Department" to "Austin Transportation"	ATD	Edit Made	
102	5.3.1: change "sidewalk improvement plan" to "Sidewalk Plan/ADA Transition Plan"	ATD	Edit Made	
102	5.3.1L change description to - "Sidewalks are a key mobility system within a city. They provide safe, walkable access to existing parks. Consider creating sidewalk improvement plans for key neighborhoods and areas of the city where gaps exist."	ATD	Edit Made	
102	5.3.2: Need to change "safe" to "all ages and abilities," per an earlier ATD comment.	ATD	Edit Made	
103	6.1: It is not intuitive to me what this phrase means. Are you describing using demographic information to match facility with interest? How is level of service calculated? Also note that at least in the mobility and transportation space, vehicular "level of service" is increasingly being seen as a negative and/or outdated measurement and we have received community feedback directing us to move away from it. Not that the measurements are at all the same, but if you are going to use the phrase "level of service," you should be aware of its negative connotation in other contexts.	ATD	Edit Made	Edit 6.1 to say: Evaluate the need for different facilities based on geographic distribution, age distribution, and current and future population (using guidance from the National Recreation and Park Association) on a regular basis.
103	7.3.2: add "s" to park	ATD	Edit Made	
103	7.5: change comma to "gender neutral and ADA/TAS compliant"	ATD	Edit Made	
103	7.5: Confused by the word "staff" here? Seems out of place in the rest of the list. (likely intended words: single stall)	ATD	Edit Made	
103	7.5: You have not defined TAS yet as far as I can tell, or at least not in section B. I would suggest spelling out this acronym in either the green heading or the black description text.	ATD	Edit Made	Spell out TAS (Texas Accessibility Standards)
103	Adaptive Play Callout: Change to - "SALEM, OREGON'S LET'S ALL PLAY PLACE IS AN ADAPTIVE PLAYGROUND THAT FOLLOWS UNIVERSAL DESIGN AND ALL-ABILITIES PLAY PRINCIPLES." and add comma after people in descriptive text below: "The structures and equipment are designed to be usable by all people, regardless of ability. It features an accessible slide, sensory elements, specialized swings, geoball climber, collaborative water play, smooth and grass areas (no wood chips), and a serpentine track that also functions as an accessible circuit."	ATD	Edit Made	
104	8.1: lower case "implement" in title, PWD Urban Trails Program and ATD Bicycle Programs	ATD	Edit Made	
105	8.2: I would change "considers standards" to "meets standards." Considering and then disregarding standards seems counterintuitive to the purpose of having signage standards.	ATD	Edit Made	
105	9: remove "the" web-based information	ATD	Edit Made	
105	10.1: Need to change "location of safe bicycle and micromobility device parking" to "minimum number of bicycle racks and micromobility device parking," per an earlier ATD comment.	ATD	Edit Made	
107	extend the benefits of parks to more people	ATD	Edit Made	
108	1.1: (from the Downtown Austin Alliance)	ATD	Edit Made	
108	1.1: There seems to be a level missing between the high-level 1.1 to implement a whole vision and the low-level 1.1.1 and 1.1.2 that are focused on only two parks in downtown. I would suggest combining 1.1.1 and 1.1.2 into a single item and adding an item that is more mid-level.	ATD	Edit Made	Under C1, added 1.3: Continue to reference the Downtown Parks and Open Space Master Plan in the 2010 Downtown Austin Plan. While many recommendations have been implemented, the document provides valuable strategies for enhancing Austin's downtown parks and open spaces.
108	Austin's Urban Greenbelt callout: There's a word missing in this phrase. I think it's meant to be "a connected and integrated loop of parks and places encircling downtown."	ATD	Edit Made	
110	#4: I think this strategy is in section C because these events are hosted primarily in urban parks, but the connection between 4 and section C wasn't obvious to me at first.	ATD	No edit needed	Yes, that is correct - as PARD looks to increase activation of urban public spaces through temporary pop-up uses, partnerships and events this will be increasingly important given that there is already a lot of community debate and concern about the public benefit associated with large events in the parks that serve the Urban Core.

Page(s)	Change Proposed [be as specific as possible and provide exact desired language]	Department	Edit Tracking	LRP Team Response
110	4.1: "how these large events benefit the park system and the city... "	ATD	Edit Made	
110	4.2: "are then shared with the community"	ATD	Edit Made	
110	5.1: stream-lined change to streamlined	ATD	Edit Made	
111	5.2: This is the first in-text citation I have come across and it feels awkward. I would suggest "According to the Farmers' Market Coalition, Texas is 50th in the nation in..." instead.	ATD	Edit Made	
111	5.2.1: Office of Sustainability's	ATD	Edit Made	
111	5.3: lowercase "the" in heading	ATD	Edit Made	
111	5.3: last line "using" change to "based on"	ATD	Edit Made	
111	Garden Partnerships Callout: Capitalize City, remove "public" community gardens from last sentence	ATD	Edit Made	
112	Heritage Tourism Callout: This doesn't have a clear tie to Austin; in other words, I'm left asking "so what?" Do any of these trails go through Austin or PARD land specifically, or if the Heritage Trails Program should be a model for one of our own, or something else?	ATD	Edit Made	Point taken. We might add the following sentence after the 6. Expand PARD's Historic Preservation....ADD: PARD benefits from a new stream of funding, hotel occupancy tax revenue, for historic resources that attract tourists. PARD's historic resources and historic parks play a makor role in the heritage tourism infrastructure of Austin.
112	6.4: change to "Develop marketing materials and a web presence for PARD historic sites; explore opportunities to provide interpretive materials and on-site signage."	ATD	Edit Made	
117	Connecting with Nature Callout: Sentence "Other nature-based...." could benefit from slight rewording or being two different sentences.	ATD	Edit Made	
117	1.8: Are some PARD facilities not public? Or is the word "public" here included to differentiate from maintenance/ops facilities? It sticks out to me and reads as though there are some private PARD facilities.	ATD	Edit Made	
117	1.8: add (e.g., at beginning of parentheses	ATD	Edit Made	
117	#2: Awkward capitalization of Adult and Senior	ATD	Edit Made	
118	3.3: Locale - This word feels odd in terms of the tone of the overall document. I would suggest neighborhood or area instead.	ATD	Edit Made	
118	3.3: health centers are just a few of-examples of....	ATD	Edit Made	
118	3.3: add oxford comma after "public housing, schools, and health centers"	ATD	Edit Made	
118	3.3: "just the addition of 10,000-12,000...." - I feel like the intent is that a CCC could also be created by repurposing this amount of square footage, so I would suggest a change. Maybe "...just a few examples of facilities that could include a CCC for 10,000-12,000 square feet of usable space."	ATD	Edit Made	
119	4.2: Suggest "to provide or expand reduced or no-cost programming..." --- the adjectives seem to be split from one another.	ATD	Edit Made	
120	#6: How will you ensure that the facilities and programming match the needs? Surveys, or some other method? I think it is important to be specific on that point, and adding a sub-strategy underneath 6 to describe your plan would be a good additional piece that makes more sense as a sub-stragey than the current 6.1 about golf.	ATD	Edit Made	Switched order so that Golf is now last rather than first sub-strategy under #6
120	6.5: This sub-strategy feels shoehorned in under strategy 6. Additionally, strategy 6 mentions 5 different types of programmed spaces, but only golf courses are spoken to underneath it. Is "more golf" something you all heard in community engagement, or is this a staff recommendation that you are trying to find a place to include? It feels like it might be more appropriate in Section E since it is entirely focused on improvements and maintenance.	ATD	No edit needed	Point taken, but with addition of new content from other feedback, I think this gives more substance to this section.
124	1.1: change "considering" to "consider"	ATD	Edit Made	
124	1.1: "storage and parking" - This feels slightly redundant. Parking is storage space. Suggest only using "storage space" or "parking space."	ATD	Edit Made	description no longer included

Page(s)	Change Proposed [be as specific as possible and provide exact desired language]	Department	Edit Tracking	LRP Team Response
124	remove extra space between 1.3 and 1.4	ATD	Edit Made	
125	1.4: Blue Line on CapMetro's Project Connect - change "on" to "in"	ATD	Edit Made	
125	1.5.2: add comma after (e.g.,	ATD	Edit Made	
125	Total Cost of Ownership Callout Punctuation edits - replace with this: "Communities spend considerable time and resources in land and asset capital development. In considering new park development projects, it is essential to include operational costs, including staff, equipment, and materials, as part of the overall "total cost of ownership." New amenities in parks have a useful lifespan if properly maintained; however , if maintenance practices and annual repairs are not fully funded then the effective lifespan will fall short and could result in a hazard, or additional costs for replacement and removal. The cost of replacement is subject to inflation as labor, materials, and equipment costs increase over time. It is important to consider the shortterm (5 years or less) and long-term (5-10 years) forecast to adequately gauge the level of funding needed. Together, capital investment, operations and maintenance, and life cycle replacement represent the total cost of ownership of a park system. Austin's new Asset Management Division, created in 2018 , is responsible for planning and tracking investments."	ATD	Edit Made	
126	Homelessness Callout: NRPA - Define or spell out this acronym	ATD	Edit Made	Spell out NRPA (National Recreation and Park Association)
126	2.2: suggest expanding this to "public safety."	ATD	No edit needed	Referring to what is now E.3.2. - Not sure this is appropriate, given that the recommendation focuses specifically on homelessness. Strategy C.2 addresses public safety more broadly.
127	3.2: I generally agree with this, but I think you could benefit from explaining for what purpose this data would be collected. In general, many community members are not interested in providing personal/demographic data, but may be more willing if a clear purpose and eventual public benefit is articulated.	ATD	Edit Made	Added "This data can be used to inform shifts in programming or outreach efforts"
127	Austin Public Health and Austin Parks and Recreation are both part of the City, so this list doesn't make sense. Without knowing all the partners, I would still suggest "...the City, Family Eldercare, and The Other Ones Foundation."	ATD	Edit Made	
127	Workforce First Callout: capitalize City parks	ATD	Edit Made	
127	Workforce First Callout: \$15 per hour and help....	ATD	Edit Made	
128	Partner Agreements Callout: last sentence - I generally avoid "and/or" in public documents meant for a wide audience.	ATD	No edit needed	We feel it is appropriate in this case
128	4.1: after parentheses, "and that should"	ATD	Edit Made	
128	4.2: remove en dash	ATD	Edit Made	
129	6.2: Check, but I think "SITES" is called LEED SITES now.	ATD	No edit needed	Website still uses "SITES" : http://www.sustainablesites.org/about
129	6.3: "and provide 'organic diversion' or composting opportunities."	ATD	Edit Made	
throughout	Prefer use of bicycle to bike throughout	ATD	Edit Made	This change was made wherever layout space allowed
throughout (110, 111)	Farmers Market vs. Farmers' Market: Between strategy 5 and its sub-points and the call-out box on Philly, please choose and stick with one punctuation variation of farmers' markets. I would suggest "farmers' markets" because multiple farmers put on multiple markets. I understand if the choice is made to leave Farmers Market when discussing the Philly case study, if that is the name they have given it. I would recommend against capitalizing the F or the M in the phrase mid-sentence regardless of where you decide to place the apostrophe. The capitalization is only necessary if it is part of the proper name of the market.	ATD	Edit Made	Changed all to Farmers' Market
throughout (98, 105, 109, 128)	remove "master" from "park master plan" throughout	ATD	No edit needed	Park Master Plan is already used consistently by PARD, we feel it would be confusing to deviate from the established terminology for the public planning process that guides park development.
56	The designation of a "Button" park does not seem warranted as it is traditionally included in the definition of a pocket park	PARD, Park Development	No edit needed	Discussion on this has already occurred internally within PARD and the decision was to move forward with this new park type.

Page(s)	Change Proposed [be as specific as possible and provide exact desired language]	Department	Edit Tracking	LRP Team Response
60	I believe the average line on the graphics for natural areas and community gardens is incorrectly placed?	PAR, Park Development	Edit Made	Lines were in correct place, number averages were incorrect
87	Under 2.3 I am concerned that it is unclear that the intent is to incorporate green infrastructure to enhance the park/greenbelt to benefit and improve the recreation use and City programs and goals as a whole. Infrastructure could be viewed as a utility which goes against State law protections that parkland is to be used for recreation. I think language similar to #7 on page 93 would be appropriate.	PAR, Park Development	Edit Made	Changed wording to "Use parks as functional landscapes that perform green stormwater infrastructure and flood mitigation roles to enhance resiliency, recreational use and beauty."
124	1.1- I would disagree that Bolm Park is a central location. It is very much on the East side of Austin near heavily traveled congested roadways. Consider the distance to north and west parks from this location. Does a specific park need to be named?	PAR, Park Development	Edit Made	eliminated reference to Bolm to allow for flexibility
154	Under Park Development - Covert Park- what does design and construction mean? Design and construction of what?	PAR, Park Development	Edit Made	Add additional description: Landscape restoration and visitor enhancements--design and construction
154	For the Grove at Shoal Creek development, "includes dog park" should say "includes off leash dog area"	PAR, Park Development	Edit Made	
162	Under implementation - Givens District Park - change to Phase 2 and 3 implementation of adopted master plan. Under Master Planning what is the technical difference between "including Phase 1 improvements" and "Level 1 development"? I believe they are essentially the same?	PAR, Park Development	Edit Made	
158, 166	Under the Master Plan heading what is the intent of the statement "including phase 1 development" Do you mean Master Plan and implement Phase 1 of the master plan? It's unclear.	PAR, Park Development	Edit Made	Intent is "master plan and Phase I development" aka level one development
40, 153, 154, 162,	Be consistent throughout the document, Off Leash Dog Area seems most appropriate and matches code	PAR, Park Development	Edit Made	used off-leash dog area throughout, except for quotes and descriptions of off-leash dog areas
62	As it refers to recreational fields, understand grouping of fields, but the overall maintenance needs to improve at all sites	PAR, Community Recreation	No edit needed	This section does not address the quality of the facilities, only whether they are present or not. This comment did not come up in community input, so not sure if it is appropriate to incorporate it as a priority/recommendation of the plan?
24	Correct title of WPD plan to "Watershed Protection MasterPlan". Recommended revised text for WPD plan description: "The Watershed Protection Master Plan, last updated in 2016, seeks to reduce the impacts of flooding, erosion and water pollution on our community to protect lives, property, and the environment. The plan assesses watershed problems and prioritizes effective solutions, including projects, programs, and regulations. There is a need for increased interdepartmental coordination with entities like PAR for programs that involve joint efforts, such as sustainable maintenance practices to improve the water quality and riparian health of waterways in City parks."	WPD	Edit Made	
51	Recommending adding reference to creeks in the description of Rivers and Lakes. Potential language: "The majority of Austin's public spaces and parks are connected the river and the creeks that feed into it, including some of Austin residents' favorite parks like Zilker Metropolitan Park." Also, correct "damned portions" to "dammed portions".	WPD	Edit Made	
51	Recommend moving flood control to a function of greenways instead of the primary intent. Potential language: "Greenways, or greenbelts, are linear open spaces intended for passive recreational use and preservation of natural resources. Austin boasts various greenbelts that serve multiple functions, including recreation, wildlife habitat, water quality protection, flood risk reduction, and transportation."	WPD	Edit Made	
86	Several of the bullets under Recommendation #2 relate to broader environmental topics than just stormwater. Recommend using more general wording, such as: "Protect and increase natural areas that support immersive nature experiences and provide space for environmental functions and benefits."	WPD	Edit Made	

Page(s)	Change Proposed [be as specific as possible and provide exact desired language]	Department	Edit Tracking	LRP Team Response
87	The heading of the sidebar is focused on the broader definition of green infrastructure, but all of the text below is focused on stormwater. Recommend changing the heading to "Green Stormwater Infrastructure". A potential sub-heading would be "Practices designed to mimic nature and capture rainwater where it falls." Also, please change "and reduce the impacts of major flood events" to "and enhance water quality". GSI is not an effective strategy for flood control given the size of Austin's large rain events.	WPD	Edit Made	
99	For bullet 2.3, recommended revised text for description: "These parks can provide access to natural areas and function to enhance water quality by protecting and restoring riparian health within waterway setbacks."	WPD	Edit Made	We are effectively erasing all discussion of greenbelts as flood mitigation... this seems too bad. Even if they are not enough to replace grey infrastructure solutions, their flood management role seems significant enough to mention
129	Please change "mitigating flooding through green stormwater infrastructure" to "reducing flood risk". Although natural floodplains and detention areas in parks can help reduce flood risk, GSI is not an effective strategy for flood control given the size of Austin's large rain events.	WPD	Edit Made	
144	We assume more detail will be added for Waller Creek Conservancy, given the large-scale coordination with City partners in the Waller Creek District.	WPD	Edit Made	Detail added to the Combined Planning Area recommendations for the multiple projects, park partner info updated.
103	System-Wide Recommendations: Improve access to all community recreation centers for mobility impaired patrons through the installation of ADA compliant sidewalks and automatic facility entrance and exit doors.	PARD, Community Recreation	Edit Made	Suggestion was recorded for p82 - added this instead on p.103-104 as part of B7
144	Change the name of Waller Creek Conservancy to Waterloo Greenway.	Cultural Funding	Edit Made	
148	I know you have no control over the partners' names, but Red Line Parkway makes one think of redlining (where Black and Hispanic communities couldn't get bank loans).With that being said, the Cultural Arts Division could serve as a resource if they are looking for artists for their programming.	Cultural Funding	No edit needed	This is a valid concern and should be raised directly with Red Line Parkway, but as you note, we have to use their current official name in the LRP.
162	Add Mueller under Partnerships - acknowledge the 140 acres of parks and open space being added as part of the public-private partnership	EDD	Edit Made	
162	Change "Catellus Mueller Greenbelts" to "Mueller Perimeter Parks or Greenways"	EDD	Edit Made	
162	Note Mueller Lake Park will not be acquired by the City. It does have a public access easement over it.	EDD	Edit Made	
General inventory and mapping comment	As part of the City's public-private partnership, 140 acres of parks are being added at Mueller. Of these 140 acres, over 95 acres of parks and open space have been completed to date. Additionally, almost 75 acres of Perimeter Parks will be formally dedicated back to the City (this is in process and referenced in the Aquisition section). However, the remaining parks and open space areas at Mueller are open to the public, even though they will be owned and maintained by the Property Owner's Association. These parks and their features (such as community gardens, playscapes, basketball courts, trails, fishing, pavilions, amphitheater) should be added to the City's inventory of parkland. It is not clear how/if the Mueller parks are included in the current inventory and maps.	EDD	No Edit needed	PARD to follow up with staff
128	The plan mentions volunteers in several places. Please consider developing a section on volunteer programming recommendations as part of the LRP. Austin Parks Foundations and other organizations play a significant role in developing and guiding a strong volunteer base for work on parkland. What is the vision for making the best use of these organizations and the support their volunteers can provide? How can they best be utilized to maintain and improve parks, provide stewardship for natural areas, support park programming and help connect community members to parks?	WPD	Edit Made	Added E.4.6 "Consider centralizing programs that leverage outside volunteers and funding. This will help streamline, track metrics and provide a high quality and consistent experience for our community. Centralized functions could include volunteer management, docent programs, student internships, community and park partners, corporate sponsorships, Adopt-a-Park Program and affiliated programs/activities."
86	2 - add language related to the need to plant more trees on park land, increasing biodiversity. Suggest a goal over the next 10 years of replacing number of trees removed at a ratio of 1-1.	PARD, Forestry Program	Edit Made	Added as A.2.6
91	5 - increase tree planting to invite more use in our existing spaces good planning and design for tree planting projects maximize environmental benefits and allow for a variety of other uses	PARD, Forestry Program	Edit Made	Added under A.2.6

Page(s)	Change Proposed [be as specific as possible and provide exact desired language]	Department	Edit Tracking	LRP Team Response
93	7.1 - Could this be broadened to include Forest Management plans? Would also be good to specify tree planting and tree maintenance	PAR, Forestry Program	Edit Made	Should read "7.1 Create and implement land and forest management plans. The land and forest management plans should include vegetation and tree management guidelines with detailed planting, pruning, forest thinning, mowing, design, access and restoration plans as well as description of risks and protocols. Ensure adequate funding for maintenance of these elements beyond basic trash pickup and mowing."
94	7.2 - include the need to broaden tree maintenance activities to improve safety surrounding trails (these trees are currently not maintained). Investigate a forestry crew for natural areas.	PAR, Forestry Program	Edit Made	
94	7.3.1 - should read "...and prioritize conducting a tree inventory that informs forest management and tree planting considerations."	PAR, Forestry Program	Edit Made	
94	7.3.4 - opening access to currently restricted spaces will require some level of tree risk assessment, and management and maintenance of trees and trails so that these spaces are sustainable. If not, they quickly become a spaghetti bowl of trails and a place that is not safe or enjoyable for the public.	PAR, Forestry Program	Edit Made	
94	7.3 - Manage natural spaces more proactively for invasive species management, biodiversity, etc. We currently do minimal work in "natural areas" and there is a tremendous amount to do. Prioritizing invasive removal, replanting native trees, potentially establishing Forestry "natural areas crews" to do the work themselves, lead volunteers or partner with a non-profit to establish a "forest steward" type volunteer program to help to restore native areas, or increase tree diversity in select areas. I can also see the potential for a "State of the Urban Forest" report specific to PAR that could speak to current needs, help direct planting or maintenance priorities or even identify risks or trends in all the acres we don't even look at yet. This would be along the lines of forest management planning.	PAR, Forestry Program	Edit Made	Added within 7.3.5
103	7 - include nature play here. Also need resources (budget or staff) to install and maintain.	PAR, Forestry Program	Edit Made	Added instead under A.7 on p95
126	prioritize a comprehensive tree inventory to better communicate the ecosystem services and value that PAR's Urban Forest provides to adjacent communities and overall city	PAR, Forestry Program	Edit Made	Added on p87 under A.2.6
throughout	Update park number throughout to 291 (rather than saying nearly 300) as of August 2019		Edit Made	
100	Can we add language about partnering with Public Works to implement the Urban Trails Master Plan under number 4. Suggested language: "Partner with the Public Works Department Urban Trails Program to implement the Urban Trails Master Plan where identified trails are located within or adjacent to parkland." This seems to go hand in hand with 4.1.2	PWD	Edit Made	
100	3.3 add urban trails "...including sidewalks, bike routes, urban trails, and transit."	PWD	Edit Made	
20, 69, 80 and others	On page 20 one bullet states having parks within walking distance and another bullet states having parkland with 1/4 mile or 1/2 mile of residents. Is that what is defined as walking distance? As walking distance is mentioned throughout the plan, it might be good to have this clearly defined somewhere.	PWD	Edit Made	While Trust for Public Land and many others use the 10-minute walk (1/2 mile to define walking distance), the City has a goal of 1/4 mile in the urban core and up to 1/2 mile beyond. Added a spread describing the walking distance to page 78
81	Maps consistently show previous layout of Violet Crown Trail	AW	GRAPHIC EDIT to be incorporated following Council Adoption - Urban Trails Shapefile Update	We will need new GIS shapefile to update this alignment
81	Figure 19 seems to be drawing some population estimates (for areas that lack parkland) from properties that have conservation easements. These properties are limited to just a few residences each, so may be overestimates for many hundreds of acres.	AW	No edit needed	Not sure what this means.... park deficient layer comes directly from PAR
94	Section 7.3.3 prescribed fire should be used to replace "controlled fire"	AW	Edit Made	
94	Section 7.3.4 it is not clear what "natural areas" are meant by this? Is it private property or other City lands or other PAR lands?	AW	Edit Made	

Page(s)	Change Proposed [be as specific as possible and provide exact desired language]	Department	Edit Tracking	LRP Team Response
94	Section 7.5 like comment above, not clear whose lands are being considered for public access easements	AW	Edit Made	This is referring to "natural lands" beyond PARD-owned parkland. This is now clarified in the strategy title, which reads "Increase public access easements within non-PARD conservation areas and natural lands." PARD regularly works with other departments and agencies to explore partnerships and opportunities to increase recreational access, but does not have jurisdiction over non-PARD maintained land.
97	on Chapter headings like this, it may be better to not use abbreviation/acronym for LRP.	AW	Edit Made	
101	in funding trails section, first sentence, the word "are" can likely be deleted from "currently are in place"	AW	Edit Made	
170	Under Acquisitions - Circle C Metropolitan Park the Connection of Hielscher Tract through Water Quality Land. There are significant conflicts with this and it should be removed from this document.	AW	Edit Made	
8	2nd paragraph of the Introduction:"...for Land, Facilities, and Program." Should be Programs or Programming?	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	chose "programs"
8	2nd paragraph of the Introduction:"...for Land, Facilities, and Program." Should be Programs or Programming?	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	Used "programs"
13	3rd paragraph on PARD & The New Deal. Second/last sentence is quite long. Found it a little hard to unpack.	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	
36	Under "Favorite Parks", Roy Guerrero is misspelled	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	
37	Inconsistent capitalization throughout page. Exs: "Sports programming (Basketball, volleyball, swimming, Disc Golf)", and "Better, More Consistent Year-round Maintenance & Repair Programs for buildings,vegetation and infrastructure". Impacts readability.	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	
39	Change "...participants raised concerns on equity..." to "...concerns about equity..."	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	
40	What is the GO Collaborative Team? Is it defined or explained earlier in the document? (if so, I've missed it.)	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	eliminated throughout - changed to consultant team rather than including names of specific sub-consultants
68	Under "Growth", suggest the following: "Austin is experiencing similar growth, such as to Atlanta...."	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	
72	Photo is captioned as "Yett Park." I'm only aware of Yett Creek Park in the PARD system, and I don't remember this much water there....	PARD, Natural Resources Division	Edit Made	You are correct, this is not Yett Creek Park
89	Be consistent with names of Walter E Long Lake (it's not called Decker Lake any longer).	WPD	Edit Made	Should check for consistency throughout document
89	Find balance between the goals stated earlier for more natural areas with lake shoreline access.	Office of Sustainability	No edit needed	Assume this is in reference to 3.4. This language has already been edited to emphasize that increased ater access should only be carried out where safe, appropriate and sustainable.
85	You don't have to change this but when you state, "ENSURE PARKS ACT AS A RELIEF FROM URBAN LIFE", are you stating that 'Urban Life' is bad?	Office of Sustainability	No edit needed	valid concern, but keeping it as is
99	"Acquire land to provide better connectivity between parks." Can we get more information on this? Are you planning to acquire land based on the Imagine Austin Growth Plan on page 79?	Office of Sustainability	No edit needed	The Imagine Austin Centers and Corridors mapping and growth projections are one factor in parkland aquisitions. See Chapter 5 - parkland acquisition description (p 137) and site analysis factors.
181	"THE ACTION PRIORITY RANKING TOOL (THE MATRIX) IS BEING DEVELOPED..." There are a lot of actions in this document (which is great!) so I look forward to seeing all the actions in one list with the lead, funding and timeline identified. Great work!	Office of Sustainability	No Edit needed	
39	Across the board PARD youth sport/multi-use fields are in a poor state. Investing dollars, time and resrouces to these amenities to provide quality/acceptable spaces for our youth should be a high priority.	PARD, Community Recreation	No edit needed	Have added a strategy about SWOT assessments of facilites D6.1
62	Multi-purpose athletic facilities.Multi-purpose fields exist within the parks system. However, most of the fields that do exist for PARD youth sport leagues are in poor condition, especially compared to adult athletic spaces and spaces rented out from the City by private leagues.	PARD, Community Recreation	No edit needed	This section does not address the quality of the facilities, only whether they are present or not. This comment did not come up in community input, so not sure if it is appropriate to incorporate it as a priority/recommendation of the plan?

Page(s)	Change Proposed [be as specific as possible and provide exact desired language]	Department	Edit Tracking	LRP Team Response
170	Improvement of the South Austin Recreation Center ball field. Irrigation, leveling of field, new sod or turf.	PARD, Community Recreation	Edit Made	
14	In 1875, the original 23 acres dedicated for Pease Park, the additional acres were purchased in 1929	PARD, Park Planning	No edit needed	Not sure of edit needed - should we add info about the 1929 expansion from purchase?
14	PARD had 17,364 acres of parkland in 2018	PARD, Park Planning	Edit Made	Will replace throughout, and test acre per person calculations.....
37	Circle C - correct park name is Circle C Ranch at Slaughter Creek Metropolitan Park	PARD, Park Planning	Edit Made	
37	Gustavo "Gus" L. Garcia District Park - not just the Recreation Center	PARD, Park Planning	Edit Made	
134	Undeveloped/Limited Use: remove ...as soon as possible after acquisition... Level 1 Development: ADD Level 0 Development: Level 0 development PARD cleared trails and allows for minimal access prior to pursuing Level 1 Development.	PARD, Park Planning	Edit Made	Note - other input indicated that all levels should be shifted so that it now is Level 1 (your suggested Level 0), Level 2 (former Level 1), Level 3 (former Level 2), Level 4 (former Level 3).
154	Correct Park Name: Lamar Beach at Town Lake Metropolitan Park, Mayfield Nature Preserve, Waller Creek Greenbelt, Ann and Roy Butler Hike and Bike Trail, Blunn Creek Greenbelt, Butler Shores at Town Lake Metropolitan Park	PARD, Park Planning	Edit Made	
162	Correct Park Names: Dottie Jordan Neighborhood Park, Colony Park District Park	PARD, Park Planning	Edit Made	
166	Correct Park Names: Roy G. Guerrero Colorado River Metropolitan Park	PARD, Park Planning	Edit Made	
170	Correct Park Names: Circle C Ranch at Slaughter Creek Metropolitan Park, AB Dittmar Neighborhood Park, Bauerle Ranch At Slaughter Creek Greenbelt	PARD, Park Planning	Edit Made	
8, 20, 50, 52	PARD has 17,343 acres of dedicated parkland, the department manages almost 20,000 acres of land and water, and 291 parks (not 300)	PARD, Park Planning	Edit Made	Will replace to be consistently 17,343 acres of dedicated PARD parkland
158	Correct Park Names: Georgian Acres Neighborhood Park, Quail Creek Neighborhood Park, Janet Long Fish Park @ Harris Branch Greenbelt, Pioneer Crossing Neighborhood Park	PARD, Park Planning	Edit Made	
38	Add a period to #5 after "multi-use spaces"	PARD, Recycling Program	Edit Made	
90	Community Gardens - update total gardens to 26: "Through the Community Gardens Program Austin residents are not only able to re-engage with their food and enjoy affordable, fresh, local, nutritious and culturally-appropriate food, they are also able to develop a sense of pride and stewardship, benefit from the therapeutic effect of connecting to nature and soil, and foster friendships and cooperation across racial, socioeconomic, and age groups. Throughout Austin there are 67 community gardens, 26 of which are on City of Austin land. Of the 26 public gardens, six are specifically designed for seniors and are located at senior centers and recreation centers. Plots at the existing community gardens are generally made available for a seasonal or yearly fee, with some offering sliding fee scales."	PARD, Recycling Program	Edit Made	
129	Change wording to: Implement comprehensive recycling and composting standards at all facilities to meet City of Austin Zero Waste Plan goals.	PARD, Recycling Program	Edit Made	
155	Hard to see any elementary/middle schools on the central map (no yellow dots)	PARD, Recycling Program	Edit Made	The schools were all consolidated to purple - middle/elementary are included in map, legend was out of date
166	Upgrades to Montopolis Park Multipurpose Field - (turf field, lighting upgrade, score board, seating area)	PARD, Community Recreation	Edit Made	
166	Upgrade swimming pool / splash pad at Civitan Park	PARD, Community Recreation	Edit Made	
166	Convert multipurpose field at Roy Guerrero Park to permanent soccer field with irrigation, lighting, seating area, and score board	PARD, Community Recreation	Edit Made	
166	Replace existing pool with indoor swimming pool facility at Montopolis Park	PARD, Community Recreation	Edit Made	
27	1st paragraph, last sentence- "A statistically valid ____?" (Is this blank supposed to say survey?)	PARD, CIP	Edit Made	
31	1st para, last sent. "Online virtual meetings allow residents....most convenient to them.	PARD, CIP	Edit Made	
39	Identify local needs Section. #4. 1st sentence. "Where should new facilities should be.."	PARD, CIP	Edit Made	

Page(s)	Change Proposed [be as specific as possible and provide exact desired language]	Department	Edit Tracking	LRP Team Response
62	2nd parag., 1st sent. "Sinlge-purpose fields and courts...patterns more so then than	PARD, CIP	Edit Made	
108	In-Progress Austin's Urban... Is the intention to say "The downtown Austin vision, created by....a connected and integrated of parks and places"? (Perhaps delete of)	PARD, CIP	Edit Made	
125	Right hand side- under "Cost of Ownership" Mid paragrpah-"...effective lifespan will fall short and could could result in a hazard..."	PARD, CIP	Edit Made	
127	Right hand side. "Participants earn \$15 per hour an and help clear..."	PARD, CIP	Edit Made	
127	3.4 Instead of "selling" consider using "focus" staff and volunteers on educating the residents and visitors...	PARD, CIP	Edit Made	Changed to "prioritize a comprehensive tree inventory to better communicate the ecosystem services and value that PARD's Urban Forest provides to adjacent communities and overall city"
132	Far right at end of paragraph. "In either case the individual park master planning processes are, or process is... " (process is singular)	PARD, CIP	Edit Made	
135	Level II, 2nd paragraph, 1st sentence. "...and major recreation facilities such as a sports complexes or a swimming pools."	PARD, CIP	Edit Made	
102	5.1/5.2: The action items is not specific to parks. Suggest striking reference to action item and instead referencing the indicator on pg. 179 to "increas the access by active modes to and around parks and trails."	ATD	No edit needed	We kept as is because 5.1 ensures PARD commits to be involved in the safety of the pedestrian network within 1/4 mile walkshed of their parks. 5.2 ensures PARD takes on a similar advocacy role for transit and bikeshare networks that serve the park system.
144	Change "Waller Creek Conservancy" to "Waterloo Greenway"	ATD	Edit Made	
149	Current Plans & Initiatives: Focus on increasing connections to teh MetroRail Red Line commuter rail? I think the mention of the connection to transit is important	ATD	Edit Made	Added instead under Priorities and Goals for the next 10 years
151	2033 change to 2023	ATD	Edit Made	
152	% Hispanic Stands out - purposeful?	ATD	No edit needed	Yes, this is purposeful. Hispanic residents make up a significant portion of the population of Austin and the proportion of Hispanic residents is an important aspect of park planning because it may effect the languages needed for signage and programming to effectively serve the community nearby
152	2nd bullet: "keep up with growing demand"	ATD	Edit Made	
154	Lamar Beach: Which elements of the master plan, Phase I or Phase II? ATD has expressed concerns with the realignment of Cesar Chavez and the Phase II concept was not included in the Austin Strategic Mobility Plan: https://austin.maps.arcgis.com/apps/View/index.html?appid=a877535f5a564b89ad7d788c8391f158	ATD	Edit Made	Phase I of the Lamar Beach Master Plan
154	Waller Creek: change "Waller Creek Conservancy" to "Waterloo Greenway"	ATD	Edit Made	
155	Park-Deficient Layer: All 1/4 mi in this area? or is some 1/2 mi and some 1/4?	ATD	No edit needed	Park deficient layer use both the 1/4 and 1/2 depending on location in or outside of the urban core.
155	Odd that hike & bike trail is shown as a proposed urban trail as opposed to existing... it's this way in the ASMP too, so that must be based on the Urban Trails Master Plan for future improvements to urban trail standards?	ATD	GRAPHIC EDIT to be incorporated following Council Adoption - Urban Trails Shapefile Update	Need updated shapefile
156	2nd bullet: "while more residents live in walking distance"	ATD	Edit Made	
159	Check existing vs proposed urban trails. Here's the map of the Urban Trail System that was adopted with the ASMP: https://austin.maps.arcgis.com/apps/View/index.html?appid=20cb757053154508a38f3a14b4331052	ATD	GRAPHIC EDIT to be incorporated following Council Adoption - Urban Trails Shapefile Update	Need updated shapefile
159	suggest removing legend items for airport and downtown for this area	ATD	Edit Made	
160	1st bullet: "expanding walkable..." change to "expanding multimodal access to these facilities" - bicycling, walking, scooting, transit, etc.	ATD	Edit Made	
160	2nd bullet, displacement: may be good to acknowledge that these areas have already experienced significant displacement	ATD	Edit Made	added "continued" displacement

Page(s)	Change Proposed [be as specific as possible and provide exact desired language]	Department	Edit Tracking	LRP Team Response
162	Highschools: Northeast	ATD	Edit Made	
162	pocket parks consistent with MLK TOD Regulating Plan?	ATD	Edit Made	Added to the table: acquire land consistent with the MLK TOD Regulating Plan
172	Multi-Modal park acces: accessible by foot and by bike....and scooter!	ATD	Edit Made	
175	Check existing vs proposed. ASMP is showing some "proposed" as existing Tier I urban trails	ATD	GRAPHIC EDIT to be incorporated following Council Adoption - Urban Trails Shapefile Update	Need updated shapefile
176	Funding Options, end of intro: Suggest clarifying which options are currently being used but could be expanded vs options that are not being used.	ATD	Edit Made	
177	Impact Fees: TX state law (LGC 395) doesn't currently allow impact fees for parks. Maybe clarify that this would require legislation?	ATD	Edit Made	
177	What about parkland dedication and fee-in-lieu?	ATD	No Edit needed	See P 135 - Parkland acquisition, dollars from parkland dedication fees are tracked seperately.
178	Fees/Charges: market-driven or cost-of-service driven?	ATD	No Edit needed	This could be either market driven or cost-of-service driven. Actual costs should be considered in setting the fees.
178	Property Taxes: Connect property tax to debt service on bond issuances	ATD	No Edit needed	PARD to follow up with staff, is the suggestion to explain if property tax can be used to pay downtn debt service?
179	SI/Benefit District: This also describes a PID, specifically a maintenance and operations PID, such as the downtown PID (DAA manages).	ATD	Edit Made	Add last sent to Special Improvement District: For example, the Downtown Austin Alliance manages and operates a public improvement distirct for downtown.
155, 159, 163, 167, 171, 175	MetroRail Commuter Line (Existing/Under Construction): Think these should be split into two designations, since Red Line exists and Green Line commuter line doesn't	ATD	GRAPHIC EDIT to be incorporated following Council Adoption - Commuter Rail Shapefile Update	Map edit
155, 159, 163, 167, 171, 175	Other (non-passenger) Rail Lines: Not shown on this map (that I can see). Remove?	ATD	GRAPHIC EDIT to be incorporated following Council Adoption - Commuter Rail Shapefile Update	Map edit
155, 159, 163, 167, 171, 175	What about future dedicated transitway corridors (Project Connect Orange and Blue line)? Seems like only some transportation elements are included in the map	ATD	GRAPHIC EDIT to be incorporated following Council Adoption - Commuter Rail Shapefile Update	Map edit
155, 159, 163, 167, 171, 175	Existing/Proposed Urban Trails under Transportation in Legend: Duplicative with the Urban Trails legend section	ATD	Edit Made	
46	Agree - counter to other city parking policies. For example, ASMP policies to "efficiently use existing parking supply" and "right-size future parking supply to encourage sustainable trip options"	ATD	Edit Made	The desire for free parking being free came up many times in public comments, and is a valid area for further study to ensure low-income residents in park-deficient areas are able to access PARD parkland. However, this parking-price-specific comment is adequately covered under the point about reducing fees that may prevent low-income residents from participating and is addressed later on in the plan strategies. Free parking reference has been removed.

Page(s)	Change Proposed [be as specific as possible and provide exact desired language]	Department	Edit Tracking	LRP Team Response
Idea	I agree with Chris' sentiments around positioning or framing Urban Life in Austin to mean the inclusion of natural and open space rather than "relief from Urban Life".	EDD	No edit needed	We appreciate your concern and share your perspective that parks are an essential component of urban life, but we found that this wording generally resonated with people in the community engagement process and helped to synthesize multiple themes that were about stress relief, unprogrammed spaces, and preserving natural areas that were not structured in the way other urban spaces are. Our intention is to convey that parks complement urban life and that in order to continue to do so it is important to uphold their role as a place of stress relief, calm and natural beauty.
Idea	Only other high-level comments are around funding. Consideration for equity and access are hit upon early in the plan but may need to be reiterated in the context of funding. There is mention of private funding and "market-driven fees," examples of funding that can in some cases stress inequities and create barriers to access. Just a thought to remain consistently sensitive to these topics, especially when talking about funding.	EDD	Edit Made	For Page 178, added to the final para: The following options are the most feasible to implement in the City of Austin to fund PARD's work and should be fully explored and vetted within the next year. Review of additional funding options should consider potential impacts on equity and be tested through the Office of Equity assessment tool.
Idea	Otherwise, I'm happy to see some of the workforce connections that I know about highlighted and I see the opportunity to work with those going forward, so no major comments.	EDD	No edit needed	
8	Photo credit should read: "Austin History Center, Austin Public Library"	PARD, Programs	Edit Made	
10	Photo credits should read: "Austin History Center, Austin Public Library"	PARD, Programs	Edit Made	
10	Photo of children holding hands in circles at Rosewood Park is not attributed to Juneteenth celebration	PARD, Programs	Edit Made	
11	Source for map should probably be the GLO and not DAA (where did DAA get it from)	PARD, Programs	Edit Made	
11	Woldridge Park is misspelled	PARD, Programs	Edit Made	
12	Second Column, first paragraph: Change "bricks and mortar" to "physical." B&M is a relatively new phrase to describe a physical location and not an online location. It seems odd to use the phrase when describing a park in the 1920s.	PARD, Programs	Edit Made	
13	Photo credit should read: "Austin History Center, Austin Public Library"	PARD, Programs	Edit Made	
14	Photo credit should read: "Austin History Center, Austin Public Library"	PARD, Programs	Edit Made	
14	"Eeyore's Birthday Party" Event which was...event should not be capitalized and there should be a comma in front of which, so "Eeyore's Birthday Party" event, which was...	PARD, Programs	Edit Made	
15	Rosewood Park: Change the word "first public park to serve..." to "only public park to serve..." based on the 1928 plan	PARD, Programs	Edit Made	
15	May want to touch on Parque Zaragoza built as only public park to serve Mexicans and Mexican Americans - equity	PARD, Programs	Edit Made	Need to figure out how to make room for this graphically.
22	Who Can Partner with parks, Non profit section: extra space between particular and park	PARD, Programs	Edit Made	
57	Map has numbered location markers on it but nothing in the legend indicates what these are	PARD, Programs	Edit Made	The #s are for the rec centers.... we remove them from the map
88	"WPA-era" should be changed to "New Deal era" as WPA was only one agency within the city working during the New Deal time	PARD, Programs	Edit Made	
112	Heritage Tourism might be better represented than with an animal paw print	PARD, Programs	Edit Made	
155, 159, 163, 167, 171, 175	All schools on maps are designated high schools, but many are also elementary and middle; maybe change legend to just say "School"	PARD, Programs	Edit Made	
56	Service Areas for pocket and button parks - should these be 1/4 mile (as shown) or 1/2 mile? Do school parks and greenbelts also need a service area defined (and rec center/pool only sites)? I think we need a broader discussion on the park types/service areas page	PARD, Asset Management	No edit needed	These service areas are correct for current park categorization standards and represent the outcome of significant discussion. Other special purpose parks and facility types do have service area standards, but we felt that level of detail might obscure the big-picture takeaways of the hierarchy and variety within the system. Discussion of park types and service area standards will continue beyond this LRP process but the elements documented on this page represent the current agreed-upon standards for PARD parkland.

Page(s)	Change Proposed [be as specific as possible and provide exact desired language]	Department	Edit Tracking	LRP Team Response
113	Caption should read "Oakwood Cemetery" instead of "Oak Hill Cemetery"	PARD, Asset Management	Edit Made	
128	I'm not clear on what recommendation E5 means. Is it only to work on completing and implementing more master plans or to include partners in a special way? This is the only recommendation that doesn't have bullets describing targets beneath it in Chapter 4.	PARD, Asset Management	Edit Made	Deleted, covered in more detail by other strategies, determined this strategy was not needed.
132	Defining when a Master Plan is appropriate - we discussed this in our Core team meetings (Greenbelts/Neighborhood/Pocket/School typically would not need one). Within recommendations, standardize terminology - Master Plan, Vision Plan, Concept Plan are all used	PARD, Asset Management	Edit Made	Reviewed for consistency with PARD
156	"Top Issues" bullet to "Extend diverse programs at new rec centers" is unclear. The heading makes it sound like we are proposing two new recreation centers, but the description refers to expanding programming similar to what's offered at North Austin YMCA and Gus Garcia to park sites more broadly	PARD, Asset Management	Edit Made	
158	Correct name under partnerships: Gilleland Creek; Correct names under Park Development and Implementation: Gustavo "Gus" Garcia District Park, Riata Neighborhood Park	PARD, Asset Management	Edit Made	
158	Clarify partnerships "Austin Energy" - is this the site next to North Star Greenbelt?	PARD, Asset Management	Edit Made	work with Austin Energy to better connect Walnut Creek Metropolitan Park to the neighborhood to the south
162	The recommendation under "Master Planning" is referring to Walnut Creek Sports Park - not Walnut Creek Metropolitan Park	PARD, Asset Management	Edit Made	
162	Correct name under partnerships: Gilleland Creek	PARD, Asset Management	Edit Made	
164	Top Issues - write out "population density"	PARD, Asset Management	Edit Made	
166	Under acquisitions - change descriptions from "create parkland" to acquire parkland	PARD, Asset Management	Edit Made	
176	Change Austin Parks Foundation to partners in "PARD has primarily relied upon the general fund (funded primarily by property taxes), grants, bond programs and the Austin Parks Foundation to fund the operations of, and/or capital improvements to its parks and recreation system."	PARD, Asset Management	Edit Made	
136, 137	Asset Management doesn't have engineering capacity. Capital Improvement Program Planning/Management is a responsibility of the group that would support these strategies.	PARD, Asset Management	Edit Made	Changed to: The Asset Management Division will also play a role in supporting Citywide Strategy E through its information technology, geospatial, business process, and Capital Improvement Program Planning/Management.
164, 168, 172	Top Issues - change 2nd bullet 1st sentences to say "and" instead of "with" when discussing the population under 18. It reads like this is a subset of the population that is in poverty.	PARD, Asset Management	Edit Made	
164, 168, 172	Top Issues - 2nd bullet 1st sentences - is this the "average poverty rate" of all the planning areas within the sub-area or is it just a poverty rate of the sub-area?	PARD, Asset Management	No edit needed	Calculated at the Sub-Area level, not the planning area level. So, it reflects an average of the whole Sub-Area.
20, 80	"Urban Core" isn't defined in the document. We should include this on p. 20 and correct when the policy was adopted on this page (2009). By this definition, the Urban Core is the area bounded by Highway 71 on the South, MoPac on the West, and 183 on the north and south. We had some questions this spring from Council about whether the Core needs to be redefined to account for patterns of population density.	PARD, Asset Management	Edit Made	Nancy - I made this edit on p20 and added to the definition of the Urban Core in the p74 callout. I just wanted to confirm with you that city council adopted the policy in 2009 (not 2016 as it was stated previously) that committed to ensure every resident was within within 1/4 or 1/2mi of a park depending on their location (within vs. beyond Urban Core)
20, 86, 133	clarify goal of 20 or 24 acres per thousand residents - which are we trying to achieve in the 10-year planning horizon? I think the intention is one is a near-term target (20) and the other is aspirational (24). Page 20 says we're "committed to achieving a 20 park-acres/1,000 residents standard". Page 86 says "maintaining the current park acres standard of 20 acres/1,000 residents while striving to achieve 24 acres/1,000 residents". Page 133 says "The plan sets a goal of 24 acres of parkland per every 1,000 residents".	PARD, Asset Management	No edit needed	page 20 - City is committed to achieving a higher standard.... then talk about the goal later

Page(s)	Change Proposed [be as specific as possible and provide exact desired language]	Department	Edit Tracking	LRP Team Response
9, 15, 50, 52	Consistency on acreage numbers: "nearly 20,000 acres of PARD parkland" (9); "Parkland Acres (2018) 18,600+" (15); "owns and maintains more than 20,000 acres of land" (50); "19,000+ Acres of Parkland" (52);	PARD, Asset Management	Edit Made	Will replace to be consistently 17,343 acres of dedicated PARD parkland
12	1928 Plan: may want to expand a bit on the language that acknowledges the segregation legacy of the 1928 Plan, and acknowledge that while the Park classification system is still in use, we are continuing to work to overcome the 1928 plan's legacy of segregation by ensuring that all Austinites have access to quality parks, and increasing the diversity of participation in PARD programs.	Planning and Zoning	Edit Made	open to further feedback! Initial revision made through addition of final paragraph on p13
24	Imagine Austin: Add sentence to description: "The broad vision of Imagine Austin is supplemented by various small area plans which include recommendations for parks in particular areas."	Planning and Zoning	Edit Made	
59	Should flood buy-out properties be included on the "other" map?	Planning and Zoning	No edit needed	Not at this time - unless the buyout properties are intended for publicly accessible parkland, they are not a relevant park type. They should be developed into one of the existing park types such as a preserve, greenbelt - it is important to define the intended ecological function and community of users beyond the original reason for acquisition.
125	Insert additional language regarding trade-off between aquisition, parkland development, and asset management. What is our Plan B if we don't have enough funding to buy land to meet our targets, and develop it, and cover life-cycle costs?	Planning and Zoning	No edit needed	PARD staff will follow up to get more detail on additional language, but no changes for this revision.
125, 150	Asset management is referenced in the total cost sidebar on page 125 and as part of the score card, but may need a more explicit action relating to collecting data and funding rehab and reinvestment in existing assets. Consider adding an action to an appropriate section that calls for continuing to develop a complete asset inventory including condition, risk, and criticality. This inventory can provide the data that supports an understanding of rehab needs, and feeds into the City's overall asset management system including the Comprehensive Infrastructure Assessment portion of the Long-Range CIP Strategic Plan.	Planning and Zoning	Edit Made	Added under E.1.6
119, Sidebar	AUSTIN'S ART IN PUBLIC PLACES (AIPP) PROGRAM WAS ESTABLISHED IN 1985 AND INVITES LOCALLY AND NATIONALLY KNOWN ARTISTS TO HELP REPRESENT THE LOCAL VALUES AND CULTURAL HISTORY OF AUSTIN THROUGH A R T. The program is funded through a 2% set aside for capital improvement projects and includes locations such as the airport, convention center, libraries, parks and recreation centers, police stations, and along streets. An Art in Parks walking tour can be developed through a partnership with AIPP and shared on PARD's website and through educational materials.The AIPP percent-for-art ordinance will continue to bring new artworks to Austin's parks, providing more opportunities for the community to experience art in Austin's parks and community centers.	Art in Public Places Program	Edit Made	Suggested edits in red - 1) there is no walking tour of art in the parks right now, but its a great idea! It should include the art brought to the parks through Laura Esparza's program and AIPP. 2) The continuation of the TEMPO program is an annual decision of the Arts Commission. Prefer to highlight the ongoing opportunities provided by the percent-for-art ordinance in this ten-year document.
152-175	WOW! Really excited to see how Public Art Installations shakes out as a preferred amenity by highlighted City area. Captured these metrics to help tell the AIPP story. Thank you!	Art in Public Places Program	No edit needed	
118	Senior swimming times or a swimming pool designated to senior health/inclusion. Having water aerobics is such a huge deal in health with mobility issue and limitation with the senior population.This would make us more inclusive and standard practice of other cities PARD in TexasWe could retro fit an east Austin pool (ex. Parque Zaragoza Pool) or build one on the centers grounds.Conley-Guerrero SAC has park land and quad which could house this.	PARD, Programs	Edit Made	
162	Adding outdoor pickleball courts on the side of Conley Guerrero SAC to build awareness of this up and coming sport for seniors and the community.	PARD, Programs	Edit Made	
99	intro text #2: remove en dash		No edit needed	Leaving this as-is.

Page(s)	Change Proposed [be as specific as possible and provide exact desired language]	Department	Edit Tracking	LRP Team Response
56	Consider a new dog-specific park type (bark park), which would provide a fenced-in social gathering spot with benches, a water station and an agility course where dogs can safely exercise and play with their owners and care-takers.	PARD, Park Planning	Edit Made	Added instead under the strategy that talks about dog park standards under A.6 (p94)