### Late Backup

# M/WBE Program Update for the City of Austin

Colette Holt, Esq. March 2020



## City of Richmond v. J.A. Croson Co.

- Race-based public contracting programs are subject to strict scrutiny
- Court struck down Richmond's 30% MBE quota
- Government defendant has burden of production
- Plaintiff has ultimate burden of proof
- Government can use its spending powers to eradicate private discrimination to avoid being a "passive participant" in a discriminatory marketplace



## City of Richmond v. J.A. Croson Co.

- No need to prove the agency discriminated
- "Societal" discrimination not sufficient
- All racial & ethnic groups must suffer in the local marketplace
- Disparities between population & agency utilization of minorities & women is insufficient
- Race-neutral measures must be seriously considered
- Strict scrutiny need not be fatal in fact: some affirmative action programs are permissible



#### Adarand v. Peña

- Applied strict scrutiny to federal enactments through the 5th Amendment
- USDOT & other agencies reviewed contracting affirmative action programs
- Led to major revisions to 49 CFR Part 26 for the USDOT Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Program for federal-aid transportation contracts



- Two pronged test
  - Strong basis in evidence of the government's "compelling interest" in remedying discrimination
  - Remedies must be "narrowly tailored" to that evidence
- Ultimate question of law not fact; standard of review is de novo
- "Intermediate scrutiny" for gender?
- Location & size subject to "rational basis" scrutiny



- Narrow tailoring
  - Examine the efficacy of race- & gender-neutral remedies
    - Contract "unbundling"
    - Reduced insurance & bonding requirements
    - Small business setasides
    - Mentor-protégé programs
    - Technical assistance
  - Goals must be based upon availability
    - Contract by contract goals are required
    - Contract goals must based on the availability of M/W/DBEs to perform the anticipated scopes of work of the project



- Program must be flexible
  - No quotas or race-based setasides
  - Good faith efforts must be permitted
  - Can't prefer a bidder that met goals over good faith efforts
  - Can't prefer a bidder that exceeded goals over one that merely met goals
  - No "points" for participation
- Remedies cannot be over- or under-inclusive
  - Beneficiaries must be established by the evidence; "random inclusion" of minority groups is a red flag of illegitimate motives
  - Economic disadvantage & business size tests required
  - Firms must graduate from the program



- Review the burden on third parties
  - Some burden sharing is necessary for any effective remedy
  - Reduce through race- & gender-neutral measures, such as SBE setasides, unbundling, etc.
- Consider program duration & engage in regular review
  - Determine measures of success
  - Set a sunset date
  - Review the program & conduct a new study approximately every 5 years





16 Carriage Hills • San Antonio, Texas 78257 773.255.6844 • colette.holt@mwbelaw.com www.mwbelaw.com • Twitter: @mwbelaw