

HOUSING DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE (RHDA/OHDA)

Application for Housing Development Financing

PLEASE NOTE: AHFC Reserves the right to fund projects at a lower amount than requested, and the right to deny applications that do not coincide with the Austin Strategic Housing Blueprint and policy direction from the Austin City Council.

Applicant Information	
(If the developer involves multiple entities, is a par	tnership or joint venture, please provide the requisite
information for each and identify the entity that w	ill serve as the lead organization.)
Developer Name	Owner Name
Guadalupe Neighborhood Development Corporation	on same
Street Address	
813 Eas	t 8th Street
City	State Zip
Austin	TX 78702
Contact Name	Contact Telephone
Mark Rogers	512-479-6275 ext. 6
Contact Email	7 2 2 3
mark@gua	adalupendc.org
Federal Tax ID Number	D-U-N-S Number (visit www.dnb.com for free DUNS#.)
74-2247265	15287795
The applicant/developer certifies that the data in	ncluded in this application and the exhibits attached
hereto are true and correct. Unsigned/undated s	ubmissions will not be considered.
Legal Name of Developer/Entity	Title of Authorized Officer
Guadalupe Neighborhood Development Corporation	on Executive Director
Mand - Carles Signature of Authorized Officer	<u>4-26-2019</u> Date

INSTRUCTIONS: Applications will be reviewed on a quarterly basis. All applications submitted in the review period that achieve the minimum threshold score will be reviewed by an internal panel of NHCD staff. All awards will be made by the AHFC Board of Directors. To be considered for an award, please complete this application electronically, print, sign, and deliver to:

Department of
Neighborhood Housing and
1000 East 11th Street
Austin, Texas 78702
Attn: James May
Community Development Manager

Accessible Units for Mobility Impairments Accessible Units for Sensory Impairments Use the City of Austin GIS Map to Answer the questions below 16) Is the property within 1/2 mile of an Imagine Austin Center or Corridor? 17) Is the property within 1/4 mile of a High-Frequency Transit Stop? 18) Is the property within 3/4 mile of Transit Service? 19) The property has Healthy Food Access? No 20) Estimated Sources and Uses of funds Sources Debt 130000 Third Party Equity Grant Deferred Developer Fee 65000 Other City of Austin 310000 Contractor Fees Soft Costs Financing Developer Fees City Developer Fees City Devel	Project Summary F	orm	Constant				
A) Location Description (Acreage, side of street, distance from intersection) 6711 Porter Street, Austlin, Texas 78741 50 Census Tract 7) Council District 8) Elementary School 99 Affordability Period 99 Years 10) Type of Structure 11) Occupied? 12) How will funds be used? Acquisition and Construction 13) Summary of Rental Units by MFI Level 12) How will funds be used? Acquisition and Construction 13) Summary of Rental Units by MFI Level 14		THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE		e 3) Nev	The second secon		tion?
Census Tract				_			
10 Type of Structure Single Family							1000
10 Type of Structure Single Family No	6) Census Tract	7) Council Dis	strict 8)	Elementary S	chool 9)	Affordability I	Period
No		District 3	3	ALLISON E	L L	99 Years	
No	10) Type of Structu	re	11) Occup	oied?_	12) How v	will funds be u	used?
Income Level Efficiency One Bedroom Bedroom Four (+) Bedroom Total			No		Acquisitio	n and Constr	uction
Income Level Efficiency One Bedroom Bedroom Four (+) Bedroom Total	Secretary today	13) Sui	mmary of Renta	I Units by MF	l Level		PAWANE THE SERVE SHARE
Up to 20% MFI					Three		Total
Up to 30% MFI	income Level	Efficiency	Bedroom	Bedroom	Bedroom	Bedroom	
Up to 40% MFI						131 333 - 131	
Up to 50% MFI			1	17.4			
Up to 80% MFI							
Up to 80% MFI							-
Up to 120% MFI					ļ		
No Restrictions 1							
Total Units 0					-		-
14) Summary of Units for Sale at MFI Level		-		0	0	0	
Income Level Efficiency One Two Three Four (+) Total Up to 60% MFI	Total Units	<u> </u>		-		J	
Up to 80% MFI	N-	14) Su	mmary of Units				I
Up to 30% MFI		Efficiency	One	Two	Three	Four (+)	-
Up to 120% MFI					1		
No Restrictions Total Units 15) Initiatives and Priorities (of the Affordable Units) 15) Initiative # of Units Initiative # of Units Accessible Units for Mobility Impairments Accessible Units for Sensory Impairments Use the City of Austin GIS Map to Answer the questions below 16) Is the property within 1/2 mile of an Imagine Austin Center or Corridor? 17) Is the property within 3/4 mile of Transit Service? 18) Is the property within 3/4 mile of Transit Service? 19) The property has Healthy Food Access? Debt 130000 Third Party Equity 105000 Grant 10000 Site Work 15000 City of Austin 310000 Contractor Fees Soft Costs Financing 0 Developer Fees 0							
Total Units 0 0 0 1 0 1							
15) Initiatives and Priorities (of the Affordable Units) Initiative # of Units Initiative # of Units Accessible Units for Mobility Impairments 1 Continuum of Care Units Accessible Units for Sensory Impairments 1 Use the City of Austin GIS Map to Answer the questions below 16) Is the property within 1/2 mile of an Imagine Austin Center or Corridor? Yes 17) Is the property within 1/4 mile of a High-Frequency Transit Stop? Yes 18) Is the property within 3/4 mile of Transit Service? Yes 19) The property has Healthy Food Access? No 20) Estimated Sources and Uses of funds Sources Debt 130000 Acquisition 170000 Third Party Equity Grant 10000 Site Work 15000 Deferred Developer Fee 65000 Sit Amenities Other 0 Building Costs 345000 Contractor Fees Soft Costs 90000 Financing 0 Developer Fees 0		0	0	0	1	0	
Initiative # of Units Initiative # of Units Accessible Units for Mobility Impairments 1 Continuum of Care Units Accessible Units for Sensory Impairments 1 Use the City of Austin GIS Map to Answer the questions below 16) Is the property within 1/2 mile of an Imagine Austin Center or Corridor? Yes 17) Is the property within 1/4 mile of a High-Frequency Transit Stop? Yes 18) Is the property within 3/4 mile of Transit Service? Yes 19) The property has Healthy Food Access? No 20) Estimated Sources and Uses of funds Sources Debt	Total Ollito				-1-1-11-24-X	L	
Accessible Units for Mobility Impairments 1 Continuum of Care Units Accessible Units for Sensory Impairments 1 Use the City of Austin GIS Map to Answer the questions below 16) Is the property within 1/2 mile of an Imagine Austin Center or Corridor? Yes 17) Is the property within 1/4 mile of a High-Frequency Transit Stop? Yes 18) Is the property within 3/4 mile of Transit Service? Yes 19) The property has Healthy Food Access? No 20) Estimated Sources and Uses of funds Sources Debt 130000 Acquisition 170000 Third Party Equity 105000 Off-Site Grant 10000 Site Work 15000 Deferred Developer Fee 65000 Sit Amenities Other 0 Building Costs 345000 City of Austin 310000 Developer Fees 0	I14					##	of Units
Accessible Units for Sensory Impairments 1 Use the City of Austin GIS Map to Answer the questions below 16) Is the property within 1/2 mile of an Imagine Austin Center or Corridor? Yes 17) Is the property within 1/4 mile of a High-Frequency Transit Stop? Yes 18) Is the property within 3/4 mile of Transit Service? Yes 19) The property has Healthy Food Access? No 20) Estimated Sources and Uses of funds Sources Debt 130000 Acquisition 170000 Third Party Equity 105000 Off-Site Grant 10000 Site Work 15000 Deferred Developer Fee 65000 Sit Amenities Other 0 Building Costs 345000 City of Austin 310000 Contractor Fees Soft Costs Financing 0 Developer Fees 0							or ornico
Use the City of Austin GIS Map to Answer the questions below 16) Is the property within 1/2 mile of an Imagine Austin Center or Corridor? 17) Is the property within 1/4 mile of a High-Frequency Transit Stop? 18) Is the property within 3/4 mile of Transit Service? 19) The property has Healthy Food Access? No 20) Estimated Sources and Uses of funds Sources Debt 130000 Acquisition 170000 Third Party Equity 105000 Off-Site Grant 10000 Site Work 15000 Deferred Developer Fee 65000 Sit Amenities Other 0 Building Costs 345000 City of Austin 310000 Contractor Fees Soft Costs 90000 Financing 0 Developer Fees 0				- 00111	andam or ourc	O'into	
16) Is the property within 1/2 mile of an Imagine Austin Center or Corridor? 17) Is the property within 1/4 mile of a High-Frequency Transit Stop? 18) Is the property within 3/4 mile of Transit Service? 19) The property has Healthy Food Access? No 20) Estimated Sources and Uses of funds Sources Debt 130000 Acquisition 170000 Third Party Equity 105000 Off-Site Grant 10000 Site Work 15000 Deferred Developer Fee 65000 Sit Amenities Other 0 Building Costs 345000 Contractor Fees Soft Costs 90000 Financing 0 Developer Fees 0					halaur		
17) Is the property within 1/4 mile of a High-Frequency Transit Stop? 18) Is the property within 3/4 mile of Transit Service? 19) The property has Healthy Food Access? No 20) Estimated Sources and Uses of funds Sources Debt 130000 Acquisition 170000 Third Party Equity 105000 Off-Site Grant 10000 Site Work 15000 Deferred Developer Fee 65000 Sit Amenities Other 0 Building Costs 345000 Contractor Fees Soft Costs 90000 Financing 0 Developer Fees 0	Use the City of Au	within 1/2 mile	of an Imagine	Austin Cente	r or Corridor?	Yes	
18) Is the property within 3/4 mile of Transit Service? Yes 19) The property has Healthy Food Access? No 20) Estimated Sources and Uses of funds Sources Debt 130000 Acquisition 170000 Third Party Equity 105000 Off-Site Grant 10000 Site Work 15000 Deferred Developer Fee 65000 Sit Amenities Other 0 Building Costs 345000 Contractor Fees Soft Costs 90000 Financing 0 Developer Fees 0	161 5 21 101						
19) The property has Healthy Food Access? 20) Estimated Sources and Uses of funds Sources Debt 130000 Third Party Equity 105000 Grant 10000 Deferred Developer Fee 65000 Other Other Other 310000 City of Austin 310000 Financing Developer Fees 0 Developer Fees						69	
20) Estimated Sources and Uses of funds Sources	18) Is the property	within 3/4 mile	of Transit Ser				
Debt	19) The property ha	as Healthy Foo	d Access?	No			
Debt	20) Estimated Sou	irces and Use	s of funds		•		
Third Party Equity 105000 Off-Site				1		S	470000
Grant	DECOURS AND SHIFTINGOVAL AND				No. There are not read or the first read		170000
Deferred Developer Fee	Third Part	5. The state of th					15000
Other City of Austin 0 310000 Building Costs Soft Costs Soft Costs Financing Developer Fees 345000	D-1 1 D 1			1			15000
City of Austin 310000 Contractor Fees Soft Costs 90000 Financing 0 Developer Fees 0	Deterred Develo	20					345000
Soft Costs 90000 Financing 0 Developer Fees 0	City			-	ACCOUNT OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		U-10000
Financing 0 Developer Fees 0	City of	Ausun	370000				90000
Developer Fees 0							
Total \$ 620,000 Total \$ 620,000					eveloper Fees	3	
10tai \$ 020,000 10tai \$ 020,000		Total \$	620,000		Tota	1\$ 6	320,000

Site Control Apr-19 Jul-19 Acquisition Aug-19 Apr-19 Zoning Apr-19 Apr-19 Environmental Review Jun-19 Jul-19 Pre-Development Apr-19 Nov-19 Contract Execution Apr-19 Jul-19 Closing of Other Financing Jul-19 Nov-19 Development Services Review Nov-19 Nov-19 Construction Jan-20 Oct-20 Site Preparation Jan-20 Jan-20 25% Complete Apr-20 Jan-20 50% Complete Jun-20 Jan-20 75% Complete Aug-20 Jun-20 100% Complete Oct-20 Apr-19 Marketing Apr-19 Apr-19 Pre-Listing Apr-19 Apr-19 Marketing Plan Apr-19 Apr-19 Wait List Process Apr-19 Apr-19 Disposition Sep-20 Nov-20 Lease Up Sep-20 Sep-20 Close Out Nov-20			evelopm		Start Date	End D	ate
Acquisition Zoning Environmental Review Jun-19 Pre-Development Contract Execution Closing of Other Financing Development Services Review Construction Site Preparation 25% Complete 50% Complete 100% Complete Marketing Pre-Listing Marketing Plan Wait List Process Disposition Zoning Apr-19 Apr-19	Site Con	trol			Apr	-19	Jul-19
Apr-19				Ī		From supplementarity	
Environmental Review Pre-Development Contract Execution Closing of Other Financing Development Services Review Construction Site Preparation 25% Complete 50% Complete 75% Complete 100% Complete Marketing Pre-Listing Marketing Plan Wait List Process Disposition Lease Up Apr-19	451.A. ANDS4.10. • 10.54.57 (90.94) (4.4.40.17.5)						Apr-19
Contract Execution Closing of Other Financing Development Services Review Construction Site Preparation 25% Complete 50% Complete 75% Complete 100% Complete Marketing Pre-Listing Marketing Plan Sep-20 Sep-20 Sep-20 Sep-20		nental Review			Jun	-19	Jul-19
Contract Execution Closing of Other Financing Development Services Review Construction Site Preparation Jan-20 Site Preparation Jan-20 Jan-20 Simplete Apr-20 Simplete Apr-20 Simplete Jun-20 Tomplete Aug-20 Jan-20 Aug-20 Aug-20 Aug-20 Aug-20 Aug-20 Apr-19 Apr-19 Apr-19 Apr-19 Apr-19 Apr-19 Marketing Apr-19 Apr-19 Apr-19 Apr-19 Wait List Process Apr-19 Apr-19 Apr-19 Disposition Sep-20 Nov-20 Lease Up	Pre-Deve	elopment		_	Арі	-19	Nov-19
Development Services Review Construction Site Preparation 25% Complete 50% Complete 75% Complete 100% Complete Marketing Pre-Listing Marketing Plan Wait List Process Disposition Development Services Review Jan-20 Jan-20 Apr-20 Jun-20 Apr-20 Aug-20 Oct-20 Apr-19 Apr-19 Apr-19 Apr-19 Apr-19 Apr-19 Apr-19 Sep-20 Nov-20 Sep-20					Арі	-19	
Development Services Review Nov-19 Nov-19 Construction Jan-20 Oct-20 Site Preparation Jan-20 Jan-20 25% Complete Apr-20 50% Complete Jun-20 75% Complete Aug-20 100% Complete Oct-20 Marketing Apr-19 Apr-19 Pre-Listing Apr-19 Apr-19 Marketing Plan Apr-19 Apr-19 Wait List Process Apr-19 Apr-19 Disposition Sep-20 Nov-20 Lease Up Sep-20 Sep-20	Closing or	f Other Financing			Ju	l-19	Jul-19
Site Preparation Jan-20 Jan-20 25% Complete Apr-20 50% Complete Jun-20 75% Complete Aug-20 100% Complete Oct-20 Marketing Apr-19 Apr-19 Pre-Listing Apr-19 Apr-19 Marketing Plan Apr-19 Apr-19 Wait List Process Apr-19 Apr-19 Disposition Sep-20 Nov-20 Lease Up Sep-20 Sep-20					Nov	-19	Nov-19
25% Complete Apr-20 50% Complete Jun-20 75% Complete Aug-20 100% Complete Oct-20 Marketing Apr-19 Apr-19 Pre-Listing Apr-19 Apr-19 Marketing Plan Apr-19 Apr-19 Wait List Process Apr-19 Apr-19 Disposition Sep-20 Nov-20 Lease Up Sep-20 Sep-20				-	Jar	1-20	Oct-20
25% Complete Apr-20 50% Complete Jun-20 75% Complete Aug-20 100% Complete Oct-20 Marketing Apr-19 Apr-19 Pre-Listing Apr-19 Apr-19 Marketing Plan Apr-19 Apr-19 Wait List Process Apr-19 Apr-19 Disposition Sep-20 Nov-20 Lease Up Sep-20 Sep-20					Jar	1-20	Jan-20
50% Complete Jun-20 75% Complete Aug-20 100% Complete Oct-20 Marketing Apr-19 Apr-19 Pre-Listing Apr-19 Apr-19 Marketing Plan Apr-19 Apr-19 Wait List Process Apr-19 Apr-19 Disposition Sep-20 Nov-20 Lease Up Sep-20 Sep-20					Арі	r-20	
75% Complete Aug-20 100% Complete Oct-20 Marketing Apr-19 Apr-19 Pre-Listing Apr-19 Apr-19 Marketing Plan Apr-19 Apr-19 Wait List Process Apr-19 Apr-19 Disposition Sep-20 Nov-20 Lease Up Sep-20 Sep-20					Jur	1-20	
Marketing Apr-19 Apr-19 Pre-Listing Apr-19 Apr-19 Marketing Plan Apr-19 Apr-19 Wait List Process Apr-19 Apr-19 Disposition Sep-20 Nov-20 Lease Up Sep-20 Sep-20					Aug	g-20	
Pre-Listing Apr-19 Apr-19 Marketing Plan Apr-19 Apr-19 Wait List Process Apr-19 Apr-19 Disposition Sep-20 Nov-20 Lease Up Sep-20 Sep-20					Oc	t-20	
Pre-Listing Apr-19 Apr-19 Marketing Plan Apr-19 Apr-19 Wait List Process Apr-19 Apr-19 Disposition Sep-20 Nov-20 Lease Up Sep-20 Sep-20				-	Ар	r-19	Apr-19
Wait List Process Apr-19 Apr-19 Disposition Sep-20 Nov-20 Lease Up Sep-20 Sep-20							
DispositionSep-20Nov-20Lease UpSep-20Sep-20	Marketin	ng Plan				The second secon	
Lease Up Sep-20 Sep-20	Wait List	Process			Ар	r-19	
	Disposit	tion					
Close Out Nov-20 Nov-20	Lease Up)					
	Close Ou	it			No	<i>y</i> -20	Nov-20

15 Year Rental Housing Operating Pro Forma (RHDA)

The pro forma should be based on the operating income and expense information for the base year (first year of stabilized occupancy using today's best estimates of market rents, restricted rents, rental income and expenses), and principal and interest debt service. The Department uses an annual growth rate of 2% for income and 3% for expenses. Written explanation for any deviations from these growth rates or for assumptions other than straight-line growth made during the proforma period should be attached to this exhibit.

INCOME	VEAD 1	VEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5	YEAR 10	YEAR 15
POTENTIAL GROSS ANNUAL RENTAL INCOME	\$2,400	\$2,424	\$2,448	\$2,473	\$2,497	\$2,522	\$2,548
On Control of Control	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
BOTENTIAL GROSS ANNITAL INCOME	\$2,400	\$2,424	\$2,448	\$2,473	\$2,497	\$2,522	\$2,548
Description for Vaccounty 8, Collection occ	-\$48	-\$48	-\$49	-\$49	-\$50	-\$50	-\$51
Rental Concessions	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
EFFECTIVE GROSS ANNUAL INCOME	\$2,352	\$2,376	\$2,399	\$2,423	\$2,448	\$2,472	\$2,497
EXPENSES							
General & Administrative Expenses	\$120	\$122	\$125	\$127	\$130	\$132	\$135
Management Fee	\$360	\$367	\$375	\$382	\$390	\$397	\$405
Payroll, Payroll Tax & Employee Benefits	\$120	\$122	\$125	\$127	\$130	\$132	\$135
Repairs & Maintenance	\$240	\$245	\$250	\$255	\$260	\$265	\$2/0
Electric & Gas Utilities	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$11	\$11	\$11	\$11
Water, Sewer & Trash Utilities	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$11	\$11	\$11	\$11
Annual Property Insurance Premiums	\$500	\$510	\$520	\$531	\$541	\$552	\$563
Property Tax	\$150	\$153	\$156	\$159	\$162	\$166	\$169
Reserve for Replacements	\$250	\$250	\$250	\$250	\$250	\$250	\$250
Other Expenses	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL ANNUAL EXPENSES	\$1,760	\$1,790	\$1,821	\$1,852	\$1,884	\$1,917	75.45 TG6,T¢
NET OPERATING INCOME	\$592	\$585	\$578	\$571	\$563	حددد	04C¢
DEBT SERVICE) 1	Ĉī.
First Deed of Trust Annual Loan Payment	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5	55	24	\$ C
Second Deed of Trust Annual Loan Payment	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5
Third Deed of Trust Annual Loan Payment	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5
Other Annual Required Payment	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5
Other Annual Required Payment	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5
ANNUAL NET CASH FLOW	\$567	\$560	\$553	\$546	\$538	\$530	\$521
CUMULATIVE NET CASH FLOW	\$567	\$1,127	\$1,681	\$2,226	\$2,764	\$5,434	\$8,062
Debt Coverage Ratio	23.68	23.41	23.13	22.83	22.52	22.19	C9.T7

Projected Affordability Data for Home Sales (OHDA)

	Unit Model 1	Unit Model 2	Unit Model 3	Unit Model 4	Unit Model 5	Unit Model 6	Unit Model 7
Number of Units	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of Bedrooms	З	0	0	0	0	0	
Square Footage	1400	0	0	0	0	0	
Anticipated Sale Price	\$125,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	100
Rorrower Contribution	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	10
Homebuyer Subsidy	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	46
Total Principal Amount of Mortgage	\$125,000	\$0	\$0	The state of the s		5	50
Anticipated Interest Rate	4.75%	0.00%	0 00%	\$0	\$0	\$0	1
			0.0070	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Monthly Principal Amount	\$150	\$0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00
Monthy Interest	4100	40	\$0	0.00%	0.00% 50	\$00.00%	0.00
	5500	0\$	\$0	0.00% \$0 \$0	\$0.00% \$0 \$0	0.00% \$0	0.00
Estimated Monthly Taxes	\$250	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00% \$0.00% \$0.000		\$0.00% \$0.00%	0.00% \$0.00 \$0.00
Estimated Monthly Taxes Estimated Monthly Insurance	\$250 \$250 \$75.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00% \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00		\$0.00% \$0.00 \$0.00	0.00% \$0.00 \$0.00

Project Name		
	6711 Porter	
Project Type Council District	100% Affordable District 3	
Census Tract	23.12	
AHFC Funding Request Amount	\$305,000	
Estimated Total Project Cost	\$612,000	
High Opportunity	No	
High Displacement Risk	YES	
High Frequency Transit	Yes	
Imagine Austin	Yes	
Mobility Bond Corridor	East Riverside Dr	
SCORING ELEMENTS		Description
UNITS		H of control control to 2007 NACI
< 20% MFI < 30% MFI	0	# of rental units at < 20% MFI # of rental units at < 30% MFI
District Goal	0.16%	% of annual goal reached with units
High Opportunity	0.00%	% of annual goal reached with units
Displacement Risk	0.20%	% of annual goal reached with units
High Frequency Transit	0.76%	% of annual goal reached with units
Imagine Austin	0.76%	% of annual goal reached with units
Geographic Dispersion	0.00%	% of annual goal reached with units
Mobility Bond Corridor	0.87%	% of annual goal reached with units
SCORE	1	% of Goals * 20
< 40% MFI	0	# of rental units at < 40% MFI
< 50% MFI	0	# of rental units at < 50% MFI
District Goal	0.00%	% of annual goal reached with units
High Opportunity Displacement Risk	0.00%	% of annual goal reached with units % of annual goal reached with units
Displacement Kisk High Frequency Transit	0.00%	% of annual goal reached with units
Imagine Austin	0.00%	% of annual goal reached with units
Geographic Dispersion	0.00%	% of annual goal reached with units
Mobility Bond Corridor	0.00%	% of annual goal reached with units
SCORE	0	% of Goals * 15
< 60% MFI	0	# of units for purchase at < 60% MFI
< 80% MFI	1	# of units for purchase at < 80% MFI
District Goal	0.16%	% of annual goal reached with units
High Opportunity	0.00%	% of annual goal reached with units
Displacement Risk	0.20%	% of annual goal reached with units
High Frequency Transit	0.76%	% of annual goal reached with units
Imagine Austin Geographic Dispersion	0.76%	% of annual goal reached with units % of annual goal reached with units
Mobility Bond Corridor	0.87%	% of annual goal reached with units
SCORE	0	% of Goals * 15
Unit Score	1	MAXIMUM SCORE = 350
INITIATIVES AND PRIORITIES		
Continuum of Care	0	Total # of units provided up to 100 per year
Continuum of Care Score	0	(total CoC Units/100 + HF Units/50)*20
Access to Healthy Food	No	Within 1 Mile of Healthy Food (City GIS)
Continuum of Care Weighted Score	0	Mobility, Access to Jobs, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion
2 Bedroom Units	0	Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units
3 Bedroom Units		T I A.W I . I . 2 D J
	1	Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units
4 Bedroom Units	0	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units
4 Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score	0 10	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20
4 Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade	0	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units
4 Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score	0 10 80	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA
4 Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score	0 10 80 2 2 0	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, mobility and sensory units Total units under 20% MFI
4 Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible Units Non-PSH, Non-Voucher Under 20% MFI Accessibility Score	0 10 80 2 2 0	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, mobility and sensory units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20
4 Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible Units Non-PSH, Non-Voucher Under 20% MFI Accessibility Score Metro Access Service	0 10 80 2 2 0 20 Yes	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, mobility and sensory units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Within 3/4 mile of fixed route transit
4 Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible Units Non-PSH, Non-Voucher Under 20% MFI Accessibility Score Metro Access Service Accessibility Weighted Score	0 10 80 2 2 0 20 Yes	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, mobility and sensory units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Within 3/4 mile of fixed route transit Housing Stability, Health, Mobility, Community Institutions
4 Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible Units Non-PSH, Non-Voucher Under 20% MFI Accessibility Score Metro Access Service Accessibility Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score	0 10 80 2 2 0 20 Yes	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, mobility and sensory units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Within 3/4 mile of fixed route transit
4 Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible Units Non-PSH, Non-Voucher Under 20% MFI Accessibility Score Metro Access Service Accessibility Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score UNDERWRITING	0 10 80 2 2 0 20 Yes 4 36	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, mobility and sensory units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Within 3/4 mile of fixed route transit Housing Stability, Health, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200
4 Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible Units Non-PSH, Non-Voucher Under 20% MFI Accessibility Score Metro Access Service Accessibility Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score UNDERWRITING AHFC Leverage	0 10 80 2 2 2 0 20 Yes 4 36	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, mobility and sensory units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Within 3/4 mile of fixed route transit Housing Stability, Health, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200 % of total project cost funded through AHFC request
4 Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible Units Non-PSH, Non-Voucher Under 20% MFI Accessibility Score Metro Access Service Accessibility Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score UNDERWRITING AHFC Leverage Leverage Score	0 10 80 2 2 0 20 Yes 4 36	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, mobility and sensory units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Within 3/4 mile of fixed route transit Housing Stability, Health, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200 % of total project cost funded through AHFC request 25 - (% leverage * 25)
4 Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible Units Non-PSH, Non-Voucher Under 20% MFI Accessibility Score Metro Access Service Accessibility Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score UNDERWRITING AHFC Leverage Leverage Score AHFC Per Unit Subsidy	0 10 80 2 2 0 20 Yes 4 36 50% 13 \$152,500.00	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, mobility and sensory units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Within 3/4 mile of fixed route transit Housing Stability, Health, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200 % of total project cost funded through AHFC request 25 - (% leverage * 25) Amount of assistance per unit
4 Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible Units Non-PSH, Non-Voucher Under 20% MFI Accessibility Score Metro Access Service Accessibility Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score UNDERWRITING AHFC Leverage Leverage Score AHFC Per Unit Subsidy Subsidy per unit score	0 10 80 2 2 0 20 Yes 4 36 50% 13 \$152,500.00	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, mobility and sensory units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Within 3/4 mile of fixed route transit Housing Stability, Health, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200 % of total project cost funded through AHFC request 25 - (% leverage * 25) Amount of assistance per unit [\$200,000 - per unit subsidy]*25/\$200,000
4 Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible Units Non-PSH, Non-Voucher Under 20% MFI Accessibility Score Metro Access Service Accessibility Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score UNDERWRITING AHFC Leverage Leverage Score AHFC Per Unit Subsidy	0 10 80 2 2 0 20 Yes 4 36 50% 13 \$152,500.00 6 \$76,250.00	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, mobility and sensory units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Within 3/4 mile of fixed route transit Housing Stability, Health, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200 % of total project cost funded through AHFC request 25 - (% leverage * 25) Amount of assistance per unit
4 Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible Units Non-PSH, Non-Voucher Under 20% MFI Accessibility Score Metro Access Service Accessibility Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score UNDERWRITING AHFC Leverage Leverage Leverage Score AHFC Per Unit Subsidy Subsidy per unit score AHFC Per Bedroom Subsidy	0 10 80 2 2 0 20 Yes 4 36 50% 13 \$152,500.00 6 \$76,250.00	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, mobility and sensory units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Within 3/4 mile of fixed route transit Housing Stability, Health, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200 % of total project cost funded through AHFC request 25 - (% leverage * 25) Amount of assistance per unit (\$200,000 - per unit subsidy)*25/\$200,000 Amount of assistance per bedroom
4 Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible Units Non-PSH, Non-Voucher Under 20% MFI Accessibility Score Metro Access Service Accessibility Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score UNDERWRITING AHFC Leverage Leverage Score AHFC Per Unit Subsidy Subsidy per Bedroom Subsidy Subsidy per Bedroom Score	0 10 80 2 2 0 20 Yes 4 36 50% 13 \$152,500.00 6 \$76,250.00	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, mobility and sensory units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Within 3/4 mile of fixed route transit Housing Stability, Health, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200 % of total project cost funded through AHFC request 25 - (% leverage * 25) Amount of assistance per unit [\$200,000 - per unit subsidy]*25/\$200,000 Amount of assistance per bedroom [\$200,000 - per bedroom subsidy]*25/\$200,000
4 Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible Units Non-PSH, Non-Voucher Under 20% MFI Accessibility Score Metro Access Service Accessibility Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score UNDERWRITING AHFC Leverage Leverage Score AHFC Per Unit Subsidy Subsidy per unit score AHFC Per Bedroom Subsidy Subsidy per Bedroom Score Debt Coverage Ratio (Year 5)	0 10 80 2 2 0 20 Yes 4 36 50% 13 \$152,500.00 6 \$76,250.00 15 22.52	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, mobility and sensory units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Within 3/4 mile of fixed route transit Housing Stability, Health, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200 % of total project cost funded through AHFC request 25 - (% leverage * 25) Amount of assistance per unit (\$200,000 - per unit subsidy)*25/\$200,000 Amount of assistance per bedroom (\$200,000 - per bedroom subsidy)*25/\$200,000 Measured at the 5 Year mark
4 Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible Units Non-PSH, Non-Voucher Under 20% MFI Accessibility Score Metro Access Service Accessibility Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score UNDERWRITING AHFC Leverage Leverage Score AHFC Per Unit Subsidy Subsidy per unit score AHFC Per Bedroom Subsidy Subsidy per Bedroom Score Debt Coverage Ratio (Year 5) Debt Coverage Ratio Score	0 10 80 2 2 0 20 Yes 4 36 50% 13 \$152,500.00 6 \$76,250.00 15 22.52	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, mobility and sensory units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Within 3/4 mile of fixed route transit Housing Stability, Health, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200 % of total project cost funded through AHFC request 25 - (% leverage * 25) Amount of assistance per unit (\$200,000 - per unit subsidy)*25/\$200,000 Amount of assistance per bedroom (\$200,000 - per bedroom subsidy)*25/\$200,000 Measured at the 5 Year mark Minimum = 1.0; Maximum = 1.5; 1.25 = best score
4 Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible Units Non-PSH, Non-Voucher Under 20% MFI Accessibility Score Metro Access Service Accessibility Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score UNDERWRITING AHFC Leverage Leverage Score AHFC Per Unit Subsidy Subsidy per unit score AHFC Per Bedroom Subsidy Subsidy per Bedroom Score Debt Coverage Ratio (Year 5) Debt Coverage Ratio Score Underwriting Score APPLICANT	0 10 80 2 2 0 20 Yes 4 36 50% 13 \$152,500.00 6 \$76,250.00 15 22.52 0 34	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, mobility and sensory units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Within 3/4 mile of fixed route transit Housing Stability, Health, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200 % of total project cost funded through AHFC request 25 - (% leverage * 25) Amount of assistance per unit (\$200,000 - per unit subsidy)*25/\$200,000 Amount of assistance per bedroom (\$200,000 - per bedroom subsidy)*25/\$200,000 Measured at the 5 Year mark Minimum = 1.0; Maximum = 1.5; 1.25 = best score
A Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible Units Non-PSH, Non-Voucher Under 20% MFI Accessibility Score Metro Access Service Accessibility Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score UNDERWRITING AHFC Leverage Leverage Score AHFC Per Unit Subsidy Subsidy per unit score AHFC Per Bedroom Subsidy Subsidy per Bedroom Score Debt Coverage Ratio (Year 5) Debt Coverage Ratio Score Underwriting Score Underwriting Score APPLICANT FINAL QUANTITATIVE SCORE	0 10 80 2 2 0 20 Yes 4 36 50% 13 \$152,500.00 6 \$76,250.00 15 22.52 0 34	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, mobility and sensory units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Within 3/4 mile of fixed route transit Housing Stability, Health, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200 % of total project cost funded through AHFC request 25 - (% leverage * 25) Amount of assistance per unit (\$200,000 - per unit subsidy)*25/\$200,000 Amount of assistance per bedroom (\$200,000 - per bedroom subsidy)*25/\$200,000 Measured at the 5 Year mark Minimum = 1.0; Maximum = 1.5; 1.25 = best score MAXIMUM SCORE = 100
A Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible Units Non-PSH, Non-Voucher Under 20% MFI Accessibility Score Metro Access Service Accessibility Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score UNDERWRITING AHFC Leverage Leverage Score AHFC Per Unit Subsidy Subsidy per unit score AHFC Per Bedroom Subsidy Subsidy per Bedroom Score Debt Coverage Ratio (Year 5) Debt Coverage Ratio Score Underwriting Score APPLICANT FINAL QUANTITATIVE SCORE Previous Developments Compliance Score	0 10 80 2 2 0 20 Yes 4 36 50% 13 \$152,500.00 6 \$76,250.00 15 22.52 0 34	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, mobility and sensory units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Within 3/4 mile of fixed route transit Housing Stability, Health, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200 % of total project cost funded through AHFC request 25 - (% leverage * 25) Amount of assistance per unit (\$200,000 - per unit subsidy)*25/\$200,000 Amount of assistance per bedroom (\$200,000 - per bedroom subsidy)*25/\$200,000 Measured at the 5 Year mark Minimum = 1.0; Maximum = 1.5; 1.25 = best score MAXIMUM SCORE = 100
A Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible Units Non-PSH, Non-Voucher Under 20% MFI Accessibility Score Metro Access Service Accessibility Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score UNDERWRITING AFFC Leverage Leverage Score AHFC Per Unit Subsidy Subsidy per unit score AHFC Per Bedroom Score Debt Coverage Ratio (Year 5) Debt Coverage Ratio (Year 5) Debt Coverage Ratio Score Underwriting Score APPLICANT FINAL QUANTITATIVE SCORE Previous Developments Compliance Score	0 10 80 2 2 0 20 Yes 4 36 50% 13 \$152,500.00 6 \$76,250.00 15 22.52 0 34	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, mobility and sensory units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Within 3/4 mile of fixed route transit Housing Stability, Health, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200 % of total project cost funded through AHFC request 25 - (% leverage * 25) Amount of assistance per unit (\$200,000 - per unit subsidy)*25/\$200,000 Amount of assistance per bedroom (\$200,000 - per bedroom subsidy)*25/\$200,000 Measured at the 5 Year mark Minimum = 1.0; Maximum = 1.5; 1.25 = best score MAXIMUM SCORE = 100
A Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible Units Non-PSH, Non-Voucher Under 20% MFI Accessibility Score Metro Access Service Accessibility Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score UNDERWRITING AHFC Leverage Leverage Score AHFC Per Unit Subsidy Subsidy per unit score AHFC Per Bedroom Subsidy Subsidy per Bedroom Score Debt Coverage Ratio (Year 5) Debt Coverage Ratio Score Underwriting Score APPLICANT FINAL QUANTITATIVE SCORE Previous Developments Compliance Score Proposal Supportive Services	0 10 80 2 2 0 20 Yes 4 36 50% 13 \$152,500.00 6 \$76,250.00 15 22.52 0 34	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, mobility and sensory units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Within 3/4 mile of fixed route transit Housing Stability, Health, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200 % of total project cost funded through AHFC request 25 - (% leverage * 25) Amount of assistance per unit (\$200,000 - per unit subsidy)*25/\$200,000 Amount of assistance per bedroom (\$200,000 - per bedroom subsidy)*25/\$200,000 Measured at the 5 Year mark Minimum = 1.0; Maximum = 1.5; 1.25 = best score MAXIMUM SCORE = 100
A Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible Units Non-PSH, Non-Voucher Under 20% MFI Accessibility Score Metro Access Service Accessibility Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score UNDERWRITING AHFC Leverage Leverage Score AHFC Per Unit Subsidy Subsidy per unit score AHFC Per Bedroom Subsidy Subsidy per Bedroom Score Debt Coverage Ratio (Year 5) Debt Coverage Ratio Score Underwriting Score Previous Developments Compliance Score Proposal Supportive Services Development Team	0 10 80 2 2 2 0 20 Yes 4 36 50% 13 \$152,500.00 6 \$76,250.00 15 22.52 0	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, mobility and sensory units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Within 3/4 mile of fixed route transit Housing Stability, Health, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200 % of total project cost funded through AHFC request 25 - (% leverage * 25) Amount of assistance per unit (\$200,000 - per unit subsidy)*25/\$200,000 Amount of assistance per bedroom (\$200,000 - per bedroom subsidy)*25/\$200,000 Measured at the 5 Year mark Minimum = 1.0; Maximum = 1.5; 1.25 = best score MAXIMUM SCORE = 100
A Bedroom Units Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible Units Non-PSH, Non-Voucher Under 20% MFI Accessibility Score Metro Access Service Accessibility Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score UNDERWRITING AHFC Leverage Leverage Score AHFC Per Unit Subsidy Subsidy per unit score AHFC Per Bedroom Subsidy Subsidy per Bedroom Score Debt Coverage Ratio (Year 5) Debt Coverage Ratio Score Underwriting Score APPLICANT FINAL QUANTITATIVE SCORE Previous Developments Compliance Score Proposal Supportive Services	0 10 80 2 2 0 20 Yes 4 36 50% 13 \$152,500.00 6 \$76,250.00 15 22.52 0 34	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion mobility and sensory units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Within 3/4 mile of fixed route transit Housing Stability, Health, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200 % of total project cost funded through AHFC request 25 - (% leverage * 25) Amount of assistance per unit (\$200,000 - per unit subsidy)*25/\$200,000 Amount of assistance per bedroom (\$200,000 - per bedroom subsidy)*25/\$200,000 Measured at the 5 Year mark Minimum = 1.0; Maximum = 1.5; 1.25 = best score MAXIMUM SCORE = 100

GNDC 6711 Porter RHDA/OHDA Application List of Exhibits

Exhibit A:

- 1. GNDC: BRIEF DESCRIPTION AND RELEVANT EXPERIENCE
- 2. Certificate of Status

Exhibit B: Developer Curriculum Vitae

- 1. GNDC Resume
- 2. CV of Mark C. Rogers, Executive Director
- 3. CV of Rachel Stone, Assistant Executive Director
- 4. CV Yolanda Aleman-Limon, Property Manager

Exhibit C: Financial Capacity

- 1. IRS Tax Exemption Certification
- 2. Certified Audit for 2017
- 3. List of Current or Recent GNDC Projects with funding source and amounts
- 4. GNDC Board action on April 8, 2019.

Exhibit E: Development Team to Be Determined

Exhibit F: 6711 Porter Street Project Proposal

Exhibit H: Market Assessment

Exhibit I: Good Neighbor Policy

Exhibit J: S.M.A.R.T. Housing

Exhibit K: General Services

Exhibit L: Property

Exhibit M: Property Appraisal

Exhibit N: Sales Contract

Exhibit A GUADALUPE NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION BRIEF DESCRIPTION AND RELEVANT EXPERIENCE

Guadalupe Neighborhood Development Corporation ("GNDC") is a non-profit, community based organization created in 1981. The organization began as an initiative to revitalize the Guadalupe Neighborhood, which had been losing housing and residents since the early 1960's. After defeating the French Legation Park project in 1980, which would have displaced 20 households from the neighborhood, residents formed the Guadalupe Neighborhood Area Association (GNAA). In April 1981, GNAA produced the Guadalupe Community Development Program: Phase I Plan proposing use of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds that had been set aside for the French Legation Park. Following City approval of the Phase I Plan and funding, the team purchased and renovated 10 housing units.

Mark Rogers began working as a Project Director for GNDC in 1994, and became Executive Director in 2001. Since its inception, GNDC has developed 64 single-family rental units, and enabled over 52 families to become home owners, many of which were supported with City funding. In August of 2008, GNDC completed its first affordable multi-family housing project, La Vista de Guadalupe, a twenty two unit project financed primarily thought Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs, which awarded GNDC over three million dollars in tax credits, and the Austin Housing Finance Corporation (AHFC). In 2017, GNDC developed the Jeremiah Program Moody Campus in partnership with Jeremiah Program Austin, which offers 35 units of supportive and affordable housing to Jeremiah Program participants, as well as a 4-classroom pre-k school and daycare. This project was also supported by \$2 million in forgivable loans from the AHFC. GNDC is currently developing the 11-acre Guadalupe-Saldana Net-Zero Subdivision with a mix of multi-family, townhomes, duplex and single-family homes. At this time 59 units have been completed and, at final build-out, the innovative subdivision will provide 125 permanently affordable homes.

GNDC owns and manages all of its rental properties, and uses a Community Land Trust model to sell homes for homeownership, keeping them permanently affordable. GNDC is committed to respect the people it serves and is dedicated to improve the quality of life in the neighborhoods where it works. The organization has a long and successful history of working with the City of Austin to fund, develop, construct and manage its affordable housing for East Austin residents.



Office of the Secretary of State

Certificate of Fact

The undersigned, as Secretary of State of Texas, does hereby certify that the document, Articles of Incorporation for GUADALUPE NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION (file number 55666001), a Domestic Nonprofit Corporation, was filed in this office on April 06, 1981.

It is further certified that the entity status in Texas is in existence.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto signed my name officially and caused to be impressed hereon the Seal of State at my office in Austin, Texas on January 22, 2019.



Phone: (512) 463-5555

Prepared by: SOS-WEB

David Whitley Secretary of State

Fax: (512) 463-5709 TID: 10264 Dial: 7-1-1 for Relay Services Document: 862693910003

Exhibit B: Developer Curriculum Vitae

Attached:

- 1. GNDC Resume
- 2. CV of Mark C. Rogers, Executive Director
- 3. CV of Rachel Stone, Assistant Executive Director
- 4. CV Yolanda Aleman-Limon, Property Manager

Mark C. Rogers, Ph.D.



AREAS OF EXPERTISE

Nonprofit residential development, affordable housing, green building, partnership development

EXPERIENCE

Executive Director
Guadalupe Neighborhood Development Corporation

1994-Present Austin, TX

- Leads the growth of Guadalupe Neighborhood Development Corporation while focusing on its mission of preserving the residential character of, and prioritizing the households with ties to, the neighborhoods where it works.
- Oversees the operations and growth of the corporation while minimizing debt. 78% of GNDC's operating budget is generated by rental income.
- Oversees the development projects which include the 11-acre Guadalupe-Saldana Net-Zero Subdivision planned for 125 units of affordable, green housing, a growing portfolio of Community Land Trust homes, and the management of 105 rental units, and a variety of scattered site development initiatives.
- Coordinates partnerships and collaborations for various initiatives, including the Alley Flat
 Initiative with the University of Texas School of Architecture's Center for Sustainable
 Development and the Austin Community Design and Development Center and the Jeremiah
 Program Moody Campus with the Jeremiah Program Austin.
- · Supervises development staff regarding grant and financing applications.
- Manages the design and programming of the development of new properties.
- Oversees compliance requirements to ensure the funding requirements for various projects.
- · Manages the corporations and partnership assets and develops budgets for each.

Private Consultant

2004-Present

Austin, TX

• Worked with several nonprofit and for-profit developers on a variety of projects including small subdivisions and infill rental and ownership projects.

PROJECTS LIST

- GNDC Exterior Rehab Project. 16 rental units. Rehabilitation. 1993-1994
- Major Interior Rehab Project. 18 rental units. Rehabilitation. 1995-1996
- Montopolis Good Neighbor Program. 6 lease-to-own. Rehabilitation ownership. 1995-1999
- Guadalupe Area Infill Project. 17 homes. New construction ownership. 2000-2004
- Guadalupe HIP 2000. 9 rental units. New and rehab. 1999-2003
- RHDA Rental Infill Project. 7 rental units. New construction. 2005-2007
- · La Vista de Guadalupe. 22 rental unit. Multi-family tax credit. 2005-2008
- SOL Rental Project. 8 rental units. Acquisition. 2009
- SOL Ownership Project. 6 homes. Ownership shared equity. 2009-2010
- 1313 Willow Community Land Trust. 1 home. Community Land Trust (CLT) ownership 2012
- 807 Waller CLT. 1 home. CLT ownership. 2014
- · Guadalupe-Saldana Net-Zero. 125 units. Rental & ownership 2005-ongoing
 - 4 Duplex Project. 8 units. Rental. 2013
 - Rainey Street Relocation. 4 homes. CLT relocation ownership. 2014-2015
 - Jeremiah Program Moody Campus. 35 rental units. Multi-family. 2014 2017
 - Father Joe Znotas Street Phase II. 8 CLT ownership. 2017-18
 - Father Joe Znotas Street Phase III. 4 CLT ownership. 2018
 - RHDA Scattered Infill. 7 rental units. 2017-19.

Rachel R. Stone

EMPLOYMENT Program Development Manager

2015-Present

International Center for Appropriate and Sustainable Technology (ICAST), Austin TX

- Develop and manage four clean energy programs for a 501(c)3 national nonprofit and CDFI implementing and financing green rehab and preservation of multifamily affordable housing;
- Provide legal, technical assistance, research, and policy analysis on best practices for executing clean energy, affordable housing, workforce development, and financing programs in low income communities;
- Lead fundraiser and grant writer; awarded \$3M+ in grants, contracts, and donations over 3 years.

Policy Coordinator

2014

South-Central Partnership for Energy Efficiency as a Resource (SPEER), Austin TX

- Planned events, executed workshops, and coordinated information sharing and initiative developments for City Energy Leadership Council, a collaboration between Austin and six Texas local governments.
- Provided technical assistance and drafted model documents (resolution, contract, and application) for use by cities and counties establishing local Property-Assessed Clean Energy (PACE) programs.
- Staffed the SPEER Commission on Energy Efficiency; researched and drafted extensive policy papers;
 organized and led webinars, workshops, and panels involving 300+ participants.

Clean Energy Attorney

2013-2014

Environment Texas, Austin TX

- Led organizing and advocacy efforts expanding policies to promote solar power, wind power, and energy
 efficiency at the local and state level in Texas.
- Drafted and published research and policy fact sheets, developed media campaigns and coalitions, provided outreach and coordination of grassroots organizing.
- Researched and wrote grants, managed funder relationships, solicited new funds from high level donors.

Staff Attorney

2011-2013

United States Department of Housing & Urban Development (HUD), Fort Worth TX

- Provided research, counseling and written memoranda to resolve legal and regulatory questions regarding fair housing, community development grants, and administrative law..
- Assisted FEMA on disaster response, improved collaboration with outside agencies and government grantees, and proactively identified training and improvement needs within agency.

Policy Assistant

2007-2008

Center for Maximum Potential Building Systems, Austin TX

- Co-authored the <u>Mueller Green Resource Guide</u>, a regulatory guide for builders and homeowners; through research, development of case studies, writing, editing and design work.
- Organized and participated in the 2008 Gulf Coast Green Symposium and Expo.
- Developed internal methods for calculating, tracking, and reporting water and energy savings on projects seeking LEED-certification.

GIS Technician

2006-2007

City of Austin Watershed Protection Department, Austin TX

- Implemented GIS (Geographic Information Systems) and fieldwork methods for data surveillance.
- Cataloged historical flood control structures to update storm water databases and inspection efforts.

Rachel R. Stone

913 Nile St, Austin TX 78702 | 203-640-1678 | RRStone@gmail.com

CLINICS & INTERNSHIPS

Student Attorney, UT Community Development Clinic, Austin TX

2010-2011

- Prepared legal memoranda and policy paper on legislation and regulatory reform for disaster relief.
- Successfully changed an onerous tax law impacting low income mobile home owners through drafting of legislation, research, and providing testimony before Texas Senate on housing policy.

Law Clerk, Lower River Colorado Authority, Austin TX

Fall Semester 2010

- Provided legal research assistance to general counsel of state utility and river protection agency.
- Prepared legal memoranda on administrative, environmental, land use, and corporate law issues.

Summer Associate - The Moffett Group, Washington DC

Summer 2010

- Provided research and updates on policy reform and history of bills relevant to clients' goals.
- Tracked legislative action on renewable energy, consumer protection, and international relations.

Law Clerk, Texas Civil Rights Project, Austin TX

Spring Semester 2009

- Assisted with trial preparation and research concerning prisoner and disability rights.
- Prepared visa application and achieved citizenship for client, an immigrant victim of domestic violence.

Save Our Springs Alliance - Law Clerk, Austin TX

Summer 2009

- Researched and prepared legal memoranda on water law and standing.
- Represented organization at stakeholder meetings advocating for a stronger tree-protection ordinance.

Foundation Communities - Resident Services Intern, Austin TX

Summer 2008

- Researched, developed concepts, and wrote two grants for in-house healthcare services program.
- Collected and entered survey input data on resident experience, drafted reports regarding data.

EDUCATION

Texas State Bar Admission, 2011

J.D., The University of Texas School of Law, 2011 Austin TX

- Justice Center Award Recipient for Extraordinary Commitment to Public Service, 2011
- UT Center for Public Policy and Dispute Resolution Mediation Certification, 2010

B.A., Brown University, 2006 Providence RI

Double Major: Urban Studies & Literary Arts

VOLUNTEER WORK

Executive Committee Member, Austin Housing Coalition, Austin TX

2017-Present

Plan agenda and efforts of coalition, contribute to policy and strategic planning, assist with events.

Vice President, Artstillery Board of Directors, Dallas TX

2017-Present

Provide legal, administrative, and strategic planning support for arts/economic development non-profit.

Affordable Housing Group Chair, 2018 Bond Election Advisory Task Force, Austin TX

2016-2018

 Ran stakeholder meetings, participated in town halls, organized public feedback efforts for bond recommendations; successfully gained unanimous support for largest housing bond in Austin history.

Treasurer, Solar Austin Board of Directors, Austin TX

2014-Present

- Lead efforts on policy, advocacy and fundraising efforts all-volunteer advocacy non-profit.
- Plan, execute, and manage monthly educational happy hours and annual holiday fundraiser

YOLANDA ALEMÁN-LIMÓN

EXPERIENCE:

Property Manager

October 1, 2003 - Present

Guadalupe Neighborhood Development Corporation

- Receive all correspondence in person, telephone, mail, e-mail and fax
- Receive and record receipts for rental payments for 71 GNDC properties and La Vista de Guadalupe apartment complex
- Receive and receipt payments for 3 mortgage properties.
- Prepare and issue payments for all bills, including taxes, insurance premiums, maintenance and repair of properties, following the established procurement policies.
- Files kept in appropriate settings and locations to accommodate access by authorized Board, Staff, Auditors, Funding Providers, and Investors.
- Collect necessary income information from applicants and from new and existing tenants to determine eligibility for certification or re-certification in various programs.
- Oversee compliance with Federal, State and City guidelines and regulations in accordance to Low-Income Housing Tax Credit; HOME and RHDA programs.
- · Prepare new and renewal leases.
- · Manage move-out and move-in process.
- Prepare and deliver notices for late rent, late mortgage, lease violations and eviction.

Senior Patient Account Representative City of Austin, Health and Human Services

February 1993 - September, 2009

- City of Austin, Health and Human Services
- Insured Billing and Collection of all first, second and third party billing.
- Verified insurance data from Medicaid Software for accuracy and completeness.
- Managed appeals for Medicaid, Medicare and HMO's in a timely manner
- Managed patient accounts to verify insurance or guarantor payer paid for services rendered.
- Contacted appropriate clinic sites by e-mail, fax or phone
- Managed itemized billing statements for Law Firms and other professional agencies.
- Collected and applied fees for the itemized statements.
- Directed 6 co-workers, served as Acting-Supervisor when needed.

Senior Administrative Clerk City of Austin, Woman and Children (WIC) Program

October 1985 - February 1993

- Interviewed clients to ensure eligibility for State and City guidelines.
- Explained program to clients and issued WIC coupons.
- Translated for Spanish-speaking clients.
- Maintained and documented weekly inventory of coupons.
- Prepared reports for State and Federal agency departments.

EDUCATION:

G.E.D Austin Community College, Austin, Texas

1985

SKILLS:

Bilingual in Spanish, Proficient in Microsoft Word, bookkeeping, and basic

accounting

Exhibit C: Financial Capacity

GNDC has been developing affordable housing since the 1980s and has maintained a 30+ year relationship with the Austin Housing Finance Corporation throughout those projects. GNDC is well versed and experienced in multiple funding sources including, but not limited to, Low Income Housing Tax Credits, HUD's Neighborhood Stabilization Program, General Obligation Bonds, Community Development Block Grants, HOME funds as well as private Foundation support for its affordable housing developments. Some of GNDC's projects include a mix of AHFC funds with grant funding, such as the 35-unit Jeremiah Project, while others have CHDO, LIHTC, and other HUD funds layered into the project. GNDC has successfully developed 64 single-family rental units, 57 multifamily units, and over 52 homeownership units utilizing city, state, and foundation funding.

Attached:

- 1. IRS Tax Exemption Certification
- 2. Certified Audit for 2017
- 3. List of Current or Recent GNDC Projects with funding source and amounts
- 4. The GNDC Board approved dedicating its own funds and application at a regular board meeting April 8, 2019. However, a board resolution had not been prepared in advance. It will be submitted as soon as possible.

Internal Revenue Service District Director

FEB 9 1983

Date:

FEB G 4 1000

GUADALUPE NEIGHBORBOOD DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION : 1212 EAST 9TH STREET AUSTIN, TX 78702 Department of the Treasury

Employer Identification Number:

74-2247265
Accounting Period Ending:
OCTOBER 31
Foundation Status Classification:
170 (b) (1) (A) (vi) and 509 (a) (1)
Advance Ruling Period Ends:
OCTOBER 31, 1986
Person to Contact:
EO TECHNICAL ASSISTOR
Contact Telephone Number:
(214) 767-2728
EO:7215:WHJ

Dear Applicant:

Based on information supplied, and assuming your operations will be as stated in your application for recognition of exemption, we have determined you are exempt from Federal income tax under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Because you are a newly created organization, we are not now making a final determination of your foundation status under section 509(a) of the Code. However, we have determined that you can reasonably be expected to be a publicly supported organization described in section 170(b) (1) (A) (vi) and 509(a) (1).

Accordingly, you will be treated as a publicly supported organization, and not as a private foundation, during an advance ruling period. This advance ruling period begins on the date of your inception and ends on the date shown above.

Within 90 days after the end of your advance ruling period, you must submit to us information needed to determine whether you have met the requirements of the applicable support test during the advance ruling period. If you establish that you have been a publicly supported organization, you will be classified as a section 509(a)(1) or 509(a)(2) organization as long as you continue to meet the requirements of the applicable support test. If you do not meet the public support requirements during the advance ruling period, you will be classified as a private foundation for future periods. Also, if you are classified as a private foundation, you will be treated as a private foundation from the date of your inception for purposes of sections 507(d) and 4940.

Grantors and donors may rely on the determination that you are not a private foundation until 90 days after the end of your advance ruling period. If you submit the required information within the 90 days, grantors and donors may continue to rely on the advance determination until the Service makes a final determination of your foundation status. However, if notice that you will no longer be treated as a section 509(a)(1) organization is published in the Internal Revenue Bulletin, grantors and donors may not rely on this determination after the date of such publication. Also, a grantor or donor may not rely on this determination if he or she was in part responsible for, or was aware of, the act or failure to act that resulted in your loss of section 509(a) (1) status, or acquired knowledge that the Internal Revenue Service had given notice that you would be removed from classification as a section 509(a)(1) organization.

If your sources of support, or your purposes, character, or method of operation change, please let us know so we can consider the effect of the change on your exempt status and foundation status. Also, you should inform us of all changes in your name or address.

Generally, you are not liable for social security (FICA) taxes unless you file a waiver of exemption certificate as provided in the Federal Insurance Contributions Act. If you have paid FICA taxes without filing the waiver, you should call us. You are not liable for the tax imposed under the Federal Unemployment Tax Act (FUTA).

Organizations that are not private foundations are not subject to the excise taxes under Chapter 42 of the Code. However, you are not automatically exempt from other Federal excise taxes. If you have any questions about excise, employment, or other Federal taxes, please let us know.

Donors may deduct contributions to you as provided in section 170 of the Code. Bequests, legacies, devises, transfers, or gifts to you or for your use are deductible for Federal estate and gift tax purposes if they meet the applicable provisions of sections 2055, 2106, and 2522 of the Code.

You are required to file Form 990, Return of Organization Exempt from Income Tax, only if your gross receipts each year are normally more than \$10,000.* If a return is required, it must be filed by the 15th day of the fifth month after the end of your annual accounting period. The law imposes a penalty of \$10 a day, up to a maximum of \$5,000, when a return is filed late, unless there is reasonable cause for the delay.

You are not required to file Federal income tax returns unless you are subject to the tax on unrelated business income under section 511 of the Code. If you are subject to this tax, you must file an income tax return on Form 990-T. In this letter, we are not determining whether any of your present or proposed activities are unrelated trade or business as defined in section 513 of the Code.

You need an employer identification number even if you have no employees. If an employer identification number was not entered on your application, a number will be assigned to you and you will be advised of it. Please use that number on all returns you file and in all correspondence with the Internal Revenue Service.

Because this letter could help resolve any questions about your exempt status and foundation status, you should keep it in your permanent records.

If you have any questions, please contact the person whose name and telephone number are shown in the heading of this letter.

IC: JUNES W. PIPER

ESCLOSORS: 872-C

Sincerely yours,

R. C. Voskuil
District Director

For tax years ending on and after December 31, 1982, organizations whose ross receipts are not normally more than \$25,000 are excused from filing Form 90. For guidance in determining if your gross receipts are "normally" not one than the \$25,000 limit, see the instructions for the Form 990.

Letter 1045(DO) (6-77)

Department of the Treasury Director, Exempt Organizations

Date:

MAY 2 4 2000

Guadalupe Neighborhood Development Corporation 1113 E 9th St. Austin, TX 78702 Internal Revenue Service P.O. Box 2508 Cincinnati, OH 45201

Employer Identification Number: 74-2247265

Document Locator Number: 310069476EO

Contact Person - ID Number: Mr. Evans - 31-02826

Contact Telephone Number: (877) 829-5500 Toll-Free

Our Letter Dated: October, 1986 Addendum Applies:

No

Dear Sir or Madam:

We have received your correspondence dated February 23, 2000, which includes Form 8734.

Since your organization was issued its determination letter, the Internal Revenue Code has been revised and organizations exempt under 501(c)(3) are classified as either private foundations or public charities described in 509(a). Our records do not indicate that we have made this determination for your organization.

Your exempt status under section 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code as an organization described in section 501(c)(3) is still in effect. Based on the information you submitted, we have determined that you are not a private foundation within the meaning of section 509(a) of the Code because you are an organization of the type described in sections 509(a)(1) and 170(b)(1)(A)(vi).

Grantors and contributors may rely on this determination unless the Internal Revenue Service publishes notice to the contrary. However, if you lose your section 509(a)(1) status, a grantor or contributor may not rely on this determination if he or she was in part responsible for, or was aware of, the act or failure to act, or the substantial or material change on the part of the organization that resulted in your loss of such status, or if he or she acquired knowledge that the Internal Revenue Service had given notice that you would no longer be classified as a section 509(a)(1) organization.

If we have indicated in the heading of this letter that an addendum applies, the addendum enclosed is an integral part of this letter.

Because this letter could help resolve any questions about your private foundation status, please keep it in your permanent records.

If you have any questions, please contact the person whose name and telephone number are shown above.

Sincerely yours,

Steven T. Miller
Steven T. Miller
Director, Exempt Organizations

Letter 1050 Modified (DO/CG)

Exhibit E: Development Team:

*To Be Determined

Exhibit F: 6711 Porter Street Project Proposal

6711 Porter Street falls within the Montopolis Neighborhood Plan, adopted in 2001. The Future Land Use Map adopted by the Montopolis Neighborhood Plan was updated in 2018, and identifies the property as single-family.

GNDC will demolish the dilapidated structures and construct GNDC two new homes on the property. The primary home will have 3 bedrooms and 2.5 bathrooms. This home will be sold to a household with income at or below 80% MFI via a community land trust model. The other home will be a detached 1-bedroom fully accessible secondary apartment. GNDC will prioritize households with ties to the Montopolis Neighborhood for both homes and specifically those who have been displaced or who are facing displacement

GNDC accepts Section 8 vouchers but is not holding units specifically for vouchers. The property will comply with the Land Development Code and the adopted Building Codes for the City of Austin, be 50% accessible, very close to a transit stop, contain 100% affordable housing with mixed levels of income up to 80% MFI, and will meet Austin Energy Green Building design requirements, thereby meeting all S.M.A.R.T. Housing Requirements.

GNDC is requesting acquisition and construction-related funds to complete this project.

Exhibit H: Market Assessment

GNDC has a waitlist with nearly 800 households.

Exhibit I: Good Neighbor Policy

GNDC began a study of affordable housing opportunities in the Montopolis Neighborhood in November, 2017. GNDC engaged Austin Community Design and Development Center (ACDDC) to assist with researching and developing a report on housing opportunity, as well as organizing community outreach. ACDDC organized two full-scale community meetings at the Montopolis Recreation Center and numerous small meetings with leadership from the Montopolis Neighborhood. In February, 2018, GNDC and ACDDC organized a meeting with Montopolis leadership that included, Susanna Almanza, Ruby Roa, Pedro Hernandez, Ana Villalobos and Johnny Limon. Others, including Dr. Fred McGhee, President of the Carson Ridge Neighborhood Association, were invited, but were unable to attend.

On March 17th 2018, a community wide meeting was help after over 1000 flyers in both English and Spanish were distributed door-to-door throughout the neighborhood. Marla Torrado, a fluent Spanish speaker, joined GNDC staff to address any questions in Spanish. The meeting

was attended by about 50 people, most of whom were homeowners in the Montopolis Neighborhood. Neighborhood representatives, including Dr. McGhee, Pedro Hernandez and Ana Villalobos from the Community Development Commission attended that meeting, as did leadership from the Montopolis Neighborhood Association and the Montopolis Neighborhood Plan Contact Team.

A follow up meeting was held on April 14, 2018 that was also very well attended. Participation was solicited with flyers and emails to the various neighborhood associations, the Franciscan Sisters, the Montopolis Friendship Community Center, Dolores Church and the Latino Health Care Forum and individual leaders including Susana Almanza, Dr. Fred McGhee, Johnny Limon, Dr. Jane Rivera, Pedro Hernandez and others.

A variety of other meetings were held with individuals, small groups, residents of mobile home parks, and Church leadership between March and September 2018. A draft of GNDC's <u>Right to Remain: Montopolis Neighborhood</u> report was presented to the community at the April meeting and a copy was later reserved at the Ruiz Library for residents to review. We asked residents and property owners to give us their comments and suggestions.

As this project moves forward, GNDC will continue community outreach and engagement. GNDC plans to have at least 2 community meetings to allow neighbors to weigh in on design and development details of this and the Escuela Nueva project it's developing in Montopolis. GNDC will notify property owners with properties within 500 feet of the property and registered neighborhood organizations whose boundaries include the project.

Exhibit J: S.M.A.R.T. Housing

GNDC will submit an application for review by Sandra Harkins, Project Coordinator once funding is recommended.

Exhibit K: General Services

At this time, GNDC is not planning to provide additional services.

Exhibit L: Property

- a. Maps referencing the geographic priorities established in the Strategic-Housing Blueprint are attached.
- b. The land will be acquired as part of the project.
- c. The Zoning Verification letter will be sent it with the S.M.A.R.T. Housing letter once the S.M.A.R.T. Housing review is complete. No zoning change is needed.
- d. The property is owned by Gloria and Albert Gonzales who are reviewing the sales contract. Letter of intent attached
- e. It is our understanding that the Environmental will be completed by the City of Austin.

Exhibit M: Property Appraisal

Ward Appraisals

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report File # 047r19

	The purpose of this summary appraisal report is	is to provide the lender/client with an		v supported opinio			t nronerty		
	Property Address 6711 Porter St	to be provided and instruction of the control of th	City Austin	y capportou, opinio	State T	Water State of the	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE		
	Borrower N/A	Owner of Public Rec		ia V Gonzales		Travis	741		
	Legal Description Lot 15, Block 11, Chern		AIDERT A GIOI	ia v Gorizales	odulity	ITAVIS			
	Assessor's Parcel # 0308160115	100KY, 140. 17	Tax Year 2018		R F Tax	es \$ 3,764			
⊢	Neighborhood Name Montopolis		Map Reference 9			Tract 0023.12			
E	Occupant Owner Tenant Vacant	Special Assessment		□ PUD	HOA \$ 0	per year	per month		
单	Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple	Leasehold Other (describe)					_ por mona.		
S	Assignment Type Purchase Transaction	Refinance Transaction X Othe	r (describe) Estimate i	market value-lig	uidation	100000			
	Lender/Client Guadalupe Neighborhood	Development (Address 813	E. 8th Street, Austin.	. TX 78702					
	Is the subject property currently offered for sale or	r has it been offered for sale in the twelv	e months prior to the effec	tive date of this app	aisal?	Yes X No	at bos we re-		
	Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and	d date(s). No sales or listings v				S and the tax rec	ords.		
E	I did did not analyze the contract for sa	ale for the subject purchase transaction.	Explain the results of the a	nalysis of the contra	ct for sale or wh	ny the analysis was no	t		
	performed.						9.547		
다			A SIMESSIM	02-440-02-07-11		West State Control of the Control of			
R	Contract Price \$ Date of Contract	ct Is the property sell	er the owner of public reco	ord? Yes	No Data Sour	ce(s)			
CONTRACT	Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sal	ale concessions, gift or downpayment as	sistance, etc.) to be paid b	y any party on beha	If of the borrowe	er? 🔲 Yo	es No		
S	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe t	the items to be paid.					Strong Strong		
	Note: Race and the racial composition of the ne	neighborhood are not appraisal factor	S.						
	Neighborhood Characteristics		nit Housing Trends		One-Unit Hous	sing Present La	ind Use %		
	Location 🔀 Urban 🗌 Suburban 🦳 Rui			Declining	PRICE A	AGE One-Unit	80 %		
٥		nder 25% Demand/Supply 🔲 Shortag		Over Supply §	(000)	yrs) 2-4 Unit	5 %		
8	Growth ☐ Rapid 🔀 Stable ☐ Slo				25 Low	O Multi-Family	5 %		
푼		r to the north, Burleson to the we			25 High	60 Commercial	5 %		
圆	south, and US 183 to the east. The Au-				75 Pred.	35 Other	5 %		
ᅙ	Neighborhood Description Subject located	d in a residential neighborhood i	n southeast Austin c	haracterized by	medium size	ed homes maintai	ned in		
빌	average to new condition. Access to en				average. Er	mployment stabil	ty and		
	appeal to market is average for the Aus								
	Market Conditions (including support for the above	e conclusions) Property values	in the defined area	are generally st	able with sup	oply and demand	in		
	balance. Marketing times typically range and VA loans at 3-8% interest with selle	le from 0 to 3 months which ind	cates a stable marke	et. Financing is	available thro	ough Conventiona	II, FHA		
	Dimensions See tax records	Area 6855 sf	Shane	Generally rec	angular Vi	ew N;Res;			
					aligulal vi	ew N,Res,			
	Specific Zoning Classification SF-3-NP Zoning Description Single family residential Zoning Compliance 🔀 Legal 🗌 Legal Nonconforming (Grandfathered Use) 📄 No Zoning 📋 Illegal (describe)								
	Is the highest and best use of subject property as i				s 🔀 No If	No, describe High	est and		
	best use is for removal and replacement		opositionations) the presen	1000.	2 2 140 11	No, describe High	estanu		
	Utilities Public Other (describe)		(describe)	Off-site Improve	ments - Type	Public	Private		
Ш	Electricity 🔀 🗌	Water 🔀 🗌		Street Asphal		×			
ī	Gas 🔀 🗌	Sanitary Sewer 🔀 🗌		Alley None					
	FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes		FEMA Map # 4845	53C0605J	FEN	MA Map Date 01/06	/2016		
	Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for		No If No, describe			3			
	Are there any adverse site conditions or external fa		ronmental conditions, land	d uses, etc.)?	Yes 🔀	No If Yes, describ	е		
	There were no adverse easements or e	encroachments noted.							
				<u> </u>					
	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pro	operty Appraisal Files ML	S Assessment and T	Tax Records F	rior Inspection	Property Owner			
	Other (describe)		Data Source for Gross		Records				
	General Description	General Description	Heating/Cooling	Amer		Car Storag	e		
	Units X One One with Accessory Unit	Concrete Slab X Crawl Space	FWA HWBB	Fireplace	s) # 0	None			
	# of Stories 1.0	Full Basement Finished	Radiant			Driveway # of (Cars 1		
	Type ☑ Det. ☐ Att. ☐ S-Det./End Unit ☐	Partial Basement Finished	X Other Wall	✓ Patio/Dec	k Open Dr	riveway Surface C	Concrete		
		xterior Walls Frame/poor	Fuel Gas	N Porch Er	try	Garage # of (
		oof Surface CompShg/avg	Central Air Conditioni	ng Pool No	ne 🗵	Carport # of (Cars 1		
		utters & DownspoutsNone/AdeqOve		▼ Fence Ch	ain link	Attached X D	etached		
	The state of the s	/indow Type Aluminum/avg	Other	Other No	ne	Built-in			
	Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven		crowave Washer/Dry						
ITS		5 Rooms 2 Bedrooms	1.0 Bath(s)	1,048	Square Feet of G	Gross Living Area Abo	ve Grade		
ROVEMENTS	Additional features (special energy efficient items,	, etc.) Front entry porch, patio		1177-1177					
ΛĒ	Describe the condition of the property and data as	ourse(s) (including one such a sed of sec	in deterioration account		\				
RO	Describe the condition of the property and data so is in need of either complete renovation					subject, in poor o	condition,		
M	not gain access to the property and has	e made cortain assumptions ha	by a structure that c	nation and tunio	ilgnest and t	pest use. The app	These		
	are "extraordinary assumptions" which,	if proven incorrect could affect	the conclusions of t	his report Some	al property to	noms haths etc)	was		
	obtained from the tax records. This prop			and report. Com	outu (oout	orno, batro, oto,	1140		
	Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or adv	lverse conditions that affect the livability,	soundness, or structural i	integrity of the prope	rty?	Yes 🔀 No			
	If Yes, describe.					4900000			
	BOOK TO THE WAR TO SHOW THE STATE OF THE STA			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	apar a				
	Does the property generally conform to the neighb	norhood (functional utility etula conditio	n use construction at \	? X Yes	☐ No If No,	describe			
	2000 the property generally contonin to the neighb	romood functional utility, Style, Collditio	ii, uat, conatiuction, etc.)	: Tes	LINU II NO,	udscribe.			
				The state of the s	11 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -				

There are 4 comparab	le properties currently	offered for sale in	the subject neighborh	ood ranging in price	from \$ 125,000	to \$ 225	5.000
There are 19 comparab	le sales in the subjec	t neighborhood within	the past twelve mon	ths ranging in sale p	rice from \$ 125,00	00 to \$ 2	225,000 .
FEATURE	SUBJECT		LE SALE # 1		BLE SALE # 2		LE SALE # 3
Address 6711 Porter St		917 Vargas Rd		6713 Porter St	0.122.7/ 2	6610 Galindo St	LE ONLE # 0
Austin, TX 7874	1	Austin, TX 7874	1	Austin, TX 7874	1	Austin, TX 7874	1
Proximity to Subject		0.22 miles N		0.01 miles E		0.11 miles SW	
Sale Price	\$	U.ZZ IIIICS IV	\$ 150,000		\$ 172,000		\$ 155,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	\$ 168.35 sq.ft.		\$ 133.96 sq.ft.			\$ 155,000
Data Source(s)	ψ oq.ic.	MLS/Tax/Agent;	- Contract of the Contract of				2011.00
Verification Source(s)				MLS/Tax/Agent;		MLS/Tax/Agent;I	
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DECODIDATION	MLS-ABOR #75		MLS-ABOR #65		MLS-ABOR #982	
Sales or Financing	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Concessions		ArmLth		ArmLth		ArmLth	
Date of Sale/Time		Cash;0		Cash;0		Cash;0	
		s11/18;c10/18		s03/19;c02/19	ļ	s07/18;c06/18	
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;	
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Common		Fee Simple		Fee Simple	
Site	6855 sf	7362 sf	0	6708 sf	0	6839 sf	0
View	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;	
Design (Style)		DT1.0;Bungalow		DT1.0;Bungalow	/	DT1.0;Bungalow	
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4		Q4	100.00	Q4	
Actual Age	55	64	0	69	0	58	0
Condition	C6	C6		C6	-	C6	
Above Grade		Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths	1	Total Bdrms. Baths	
Room Count	5 2 1.0	5 2 2.0	0	5 1 1.0	0	6 3 1.0	0
Gross Living Area	1,048 sq.ft.	891 sq.ft.	0	1,284 sq.ft.	0	858 sq.ft.	0
Basement & Finished	0sf	0sf		0sf		0sf	
Rooms Below Grade							
Functional Utility	Average	Average		Average		Average	
Display Heating/Cooling	Wall/window	Central	0	Wall/window		None	0
Energy Efficient Items	None	None		None		None	
Garage/Carport	2gd1cp1dw	1ga1dw	0	None	0	None	0
Porch/Patio/Deck	Porches	Porches		Porches		Porches	
NO.							
SE		977			N 100 U		
Heating/Cooling Energy Efficient Items Garage/Carport Porch/Patio/Deck Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price			_			CHI BOLLEN VIENNAMENTALIA IV.	
Net Adjustment (Total)		_ +	\$ 0	+ -	\$ 0	+ -	\$ 0
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 0.0 %	All and the second seco	Net Adj. 0.0 %		Net Adj. 0.0 %	
UI UUITIPATADIGS		Gross Adj. 0.0 %		Gross Adj. 0.0 %	\$ 172,000	Gross Adj. 0.0 %	\$ 155,000
did did not research	the sale or transfer his	story of the subject pro	operty and comparable	sales. If not, explain			
Ø I ⊠ did ∐ did not research	the sale or transfer his	story of the subject pro	operty and comparable	sales. If not, explain			
					the effective date of thi	s annraisal	
My research ☐ did 🔀 did	not reveal any prior sa				the effective date of thi	s appraisal.	
My research did M did Data Source(s) MLS and 1	not reveal any prior sa	es or transfers of the	subject property for th	e three years prior to			
My research ☐ did 🔀 did Data Source(s) MLS and T My research ☐ did 🔀 did	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa	es or transfers of the	subject property for th	e three years prior to	the effective date of thi		
My research ☐ did 🔀 did Data Source(s) MLS and ☐ My research ☐ did 🔀 did Data Source(s) MLS and ☐	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sal Fax records	es or transfers of the	subject property for the	e three years prior to the year prior to the da	ate of sale of the compa	arable sale.	3).
My research ☐ did 🔀 did Data Source(s) MLS and T My research ☐ did 🔀 did	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p	es or transfers of the	subject property for the comparable sales for the story of the subject pro	e three years prior to the year prior to the da perty and comparable	ate of sale of the compa	arable sale. al prior sales on page 3	
My research did did Data Source(s) MLS and T My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T Report the results of the research	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p	les or transfers of the les or transfers of the rior sale or transfer his	subject property for the	e three years prior to the year prior to the da perty and comparable	ate of sale of the compa	arable sale. al prior sales on page 3	3). RABLE SALE #3
My research did did Data Source(s) MLS and T My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T Report the results of the research	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p	les or transfers of the les or transfers of the les or transfers of the les or transfer his	subject property for the comparable sales for the story of the subject pro	e three years prior to the year prior to the da perty and comparable	ate of sale of the compa	arable sale. al prior sales on page 3	
My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T My research did did Data Source(s) MLS and T Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the estimates of the estim	subject property for the comparable sales for the story of the subject pro COMPARABLE S.	e three years prior to the year prior to the da perty and comparable ALE #1 C	ate of sale of the compa e sales (report additions COMPARABLE SALE #	arable sale. al prior sales on page 3 COMPAF	RABLE SALE #3
My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s)	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the erior sale or transfer his BJECT	subject property for the comparable sales for the subject pro COMPARABLE S.	e three years prior to the da perty and comparable ALE #1 C	ate of sale of the compa e sales (report additions COMPARABLE SALE # ecords/MLS	arable sale. al prior sales on page 3 COMPAF	RABLE SALE #3
My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p SU Tax records/ 03/26/2019	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the erior sale or transfer his BJECT	subject property for the comparable sales for the subject pro COMPARABLE S. Tax records/MLS 03/26/2019	e three years prior to the da the year prior to the da sperty and comparable ALE #1 C Tax n 03/26	ate of sale of the compare sales (report additions compared to the compared to	arable sale. al prior sales on page 3 COMPAF Tax records 03/26/2019	SABLE SALE #3
My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p SU Tax records/ 03/26/2019	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the erior sale or transfer his BJECT	subject property for the comparable sales for the subject pro COMPARABLE S. Tax records/MLS 03/26/2019	e three years prior to the da the year prior to the da sperty and comparable ALE #1 C Tax n 03/26	ate of sale of the compa e sales (report additions COMPARABLE SALE # ecords/MLS	arable sale. al prior sales on page 3 COMPAF Tax records 03/26/2019	SABLE SALE #3
My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p SU Tax records/ 03/26/2019	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the erior sale or transfer his BJECT	subject property for the comparable sales for the subject pro COMPARABLE S. Tax records/MLS 03/26/2019	e three years prior to the da the year prior to the da sperty and comparable ALE #1 C Tax n 03/26	ate of sale of the compare sales (report additions compared to the compared to	arable sale. al prior sales on page 3 COMPAF Tax records 03/26/2019	S/MLS
My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p SU Tax records/ 03/26/2019	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the erior sale or transfer his BJECT	subject property for the comparable sales for the subject pro COMPARABLE S. Tax records/MLS 03/26/2019	e three years prior to the da the year prior to the da sperty and comparable ALE #1 C Tax n 03/26	ate of sale of the compare sales (report additions compared to the compared to	arable sale. al prior sales on page 3 COMPAF Tax records 03/26/2019	S/MLS
My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p SU Tax records/ 03/26/2019	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the erior sale or transfer his BJECT	subject property for the comparable sales for the subject pro COMPARABLE S. Tax records/MLS 03/26/2019	e three years prior to the da the year prior to the da sperty and comparable ALE #1 C Tax n 03/26	ate of sale of the compare sales (report additions compared to the compared to	arable sale. al prior sales on page 3 COMPAF Tax records 03/26/2019	SABLE SALE #3
My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and TMy research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and TReport the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p Su Tax records/ 03/26/2019 history of the subject p	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the erior sale or transfer his BJECT MLS Topoperty and comparab	subject property for the comparable sales for the story of the subject pro COMPARABLE S. Tax records/MLS 03/26/2019 ble sales No seconds No se	e three years prior to the da the year prior to the da sperty and comparable ALE #1 C Tax n 03/26	ate of sale of the compare sales (report additions compared to the compared to	arable sale. al prior sales on page 3 COMPAF Tax records 03/26/2019	SABLE SALE #3
My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p Su Tax records/ 03/26/2019 history of the subject p	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the erior sale or transfer his BJECT	subject property for the comparable sales for the story of the subject pro COMPARABLE S. Tax records/MLS 03/26/2019 ble sales No seconds No se	e three years prior to the da the year prior to the da sperty and comparable ALE #1 C Tax n 03/26	ate of sale of the compare sales (report additions compared to the compared to	arable sale. al prior sales on page 3 COMPAF Tax records 03/26/2019	SABLE SALE #3
My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and TMy research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and TReport the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p Su Tax records/ 03/26/2019 history of the subject p	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the erior sale or transfer his BJECT MLS Topoperty and comparab	subject property for the comparable sales for the story of the subject pro COMPARABLE S. Tax records/MLS 03/26/2019 ble sales No seconds No se	e three years prior to the da the year prior to the da sperty and comparable ALE #1 C Tax n 03/26	ate of sale of the compare sales (report additions compared to the compared to	arable sale. al prior sales on page 3 COMPAF Tax records 03/26/2019	S/MLS
My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and TMy research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and TReport the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p Su Tax records/ 03/26/2019 history of the subject p	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the erior sale or transfer his BJECT MLS Topoperty and comparab	subject property for the comparable sales for the story of the subject pro COMPARABLE S. Tax records/MLS 03/26/2019 ble sales No seconds No se	e three years prior to the da the year prior to the da sperty and comparable ALE #1 C Tax n 03/26	ate of sale of the compare sales (report additions compared to the compared to	arable sale. al prior sales on page 3 COMPAF Tax records 03/26/2019	S/MLS
My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p Su Tax records/ 03/26/2019 history of the subject p	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the erior sale or transfer his BJECT MLS Topoperty and comparab	subject property for the comparable sales for the story of the subject pro COMPARABLE S. Tax records/MLS 03/26/2019 ble sales No seconds No se	e three years prior to the da the year prior to the da sperty and comparable ALE #1 C Tax n 03/26	ate of sale of the compare sales (report additions compared to the compared to	arable sale. al prior sales on page 3 COMPAF Tax records 03/26/2019	S/MLS
My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p Su Tax records/ 03/26/2019 history of the subject p	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the erior sale or transfer his BJECT MLS Topoperty and comparab	subject property for the comparable sales for the story of the subject pro COMPARABLE S. Tax records/MLS 03/26/2019 ble sales No seconds No se	e three years prior to the da the year prior to the da sperty and comparable ALE #1 C Tax n 03/26	ate of sale of the compare sales (report additions compared to the compared to	arable sale. al prior sales on page 3 COMPAF Tax records 03/26/2019	S/MLS
My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p Su Tax records/ 03/26/2019 history of the subject p	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the erior sale or transfer his BJECT MLS Topoperty and comparab	subject property for the comparable sales for the story of the subject pro COMPARABLE S. Tax records/MLS 03/26/2019 ble sales No seconds No se	e three years prior to the da the year prior to the da sperty and comparable ALE #1 C Tax n 03/26	ate of sale of the compare sales (report additions compared to the compared to	arable sale. al prior sales on page 3 COMPAF Tax records 03/26/2019	SABLE SALE #3
My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p Su Tax records/ 03/26/2019 history of the subject p	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the erior sale or transfer his BJECT MLS Topoperty and comparab	subject property for the comparable sales for the story of the subject pro COMPARABLE S. Tax records/MLS 03/26/2019 ble sales No seconds No se	e three years prior to the da the year prior to the da sperty and comparable ALE #1 C Tax n 03/26	ate of sale of the compare sales (report additions compared to the compared to	arable sale. al prior sales on page 3 COMPAF Tax records 03/26/2019	SABLE SALE #3
My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and TMy research did did Data Source(s) MLS and TReport the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Summary of Sales Comparison A	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p Tax records/ 03/26/2019 history of the subject p	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the erior sale or transfer his BJECT MLS Oroperty and comparate distribution of the erior sale or transfer his BJECT MLS Oroperty and comparate distribution of the erior sale or transfer his BJECT	subject property for the comparable sales for the story of the subject pro COMPARABLE S. Tax records/MLS 03/26/2019 ble sales No seconds No se	e three years prior to the da the year prior to the da sperty and comparable ALE #1 C Tax n 03/26	ate of sale of the compare sales (report additions compared to the compared to	arable sale. al prior sales on page 3 COMPAF Tax records 03/26/2019	SABLE SALE #3
My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Summary of Sales Comparison A	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p Su Tax records/ 03/26/2019 history of the subject p	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the estor sale or transfer his BJECT MLS Toroperty and comparate and compar	subject property for the comparable sales for the story of the subject pro COMPARABLE S. Tax records/MLS 03/26/2019 bile sales No series.	e three years prior to the da perty and comparable ALE #1 Co Tax n 03/26 sales activity in the data of the control of the data of the control	esales (report additions) comparable SALE # ecords/MLS 6/2019 ne allotted time pe	arable sale. al prior sales on page 3 COMPAF Tax records 03/26/2019 Priod per the tax re	AABLE SALE #3 S/MLS ecords and MLS.
My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and TMy research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and TReport the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Summary of Sales Comparison A	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p Su Tax records/ 03/26/2019 history of the subject p Approach *See A	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfer his BJECT MLS TOPOPETY and comparate ditional Comme	subject property for the comparable sales for the story of the subject pro COMPARABLE S. Tax records/MLS 03/26/2019 oble sales No separate.	e three years prior to the da perty and comparable ALE #1 Tax n 03/26 sales activity in the	e sales (report additions compared to the comp	arable sale. al prior sales on page 3 2 COMPAF Tax records 03/26/2019 briod per the tax re	AABLE SALE #3 s/MLS ecords and MLS.
My research did Ms and My research did Ms and My research did Ms did Data Source(s) MLS and My research report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Summary of Sales Comparison A	not reveal any prior sa Tax Records not reveal any prior sa Tax records n and analysis of the p Tax records/ 03/26/2019 history of the subject p Approach *See A	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfer his BJECT MLS Oroperty and comparate diditional Comme	subject property for the comparable sales for the story of the subject pro COMPARABLE S. Tax records/MLS 03/26/2019 ole sales No sents.	e three years prior to the da perty and comparable ALE #1 Co Tax n 03/26 sales activity in the	sales (report additions: comparable sales (report additions: comparable sale # ecords/MLS 6/2019 ne allotted time pe	arable sale. al prior sales on page 3 2 COMPAF Tax records 03/26/2019 priod per the tax re proach (if developed)	AABLE SALE #3 S/MLS ecords and MLS.
My research did Ms and My research did Ms and My research did Ms did Data Source(s) MLS and My research report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Summary of Sales Comparison A	not reveal any prior sa Tax Records not reveal any prior sa Tax records n and analysis of the p Tax records/ 03/26/2019 history of the subject p Approach *See A	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfer his BJECT MLS Oroperty and comparate diditional Comme 70,000 170,000	subject property for the comparable sales for the story of the subject pro COMPARABLE S. Tax records/MLS 03/26/2019 ole sales No sents.	e three years prior to the da perty and comparable ALE #1 Co Tax n 03/26 sales activity in the	sales (report additions: comparable sales (report additions: comparable sale # ecords/MLS 6/2019 ne allotted time pe	arable sale. al prior sales on page 3 2 COMPAF Tax records 03/26/2019 priod per the tax re proach (if developed)	AABLE SALE #3 S/MLS ecords and MLS.
My research did Ms and My research did Ms and My research did Ms did Data Source(s) MLS and My research report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Summary of Sales Comparison A	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p Tax records/ 03/26/2019 history of the subject p Approach *See A Tax records/ 1726/2019 history of the subject p Tax records/ 1826/2019 history of the subject p	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfer his BJECT MLS Oroperty and comparate or transfer his establishment of the most religion of the most religion of this report.	subject property for the comparable sales for the subject programmer of the subject property of the subject proper	e three years prior to the da the year prior to the da sperty and comparable ALE #1 Tax n 03/26 sales activity in the reloped) \$ stimating value si es in the area area	Income Applicate of sale of the comparate of sales (report additions) Be sales (report additions) Be cords/MLS BY 2019 The allotted time per allotted ti	Tax records 03/26/2019 eriod per the tax re proach (if developed) practions between keted for income.	\$ buyers and The Cost
My research did Ms and My research did Ms and My research did Ms did Data Source(s) MLS and My research report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Summary of Sales Comparison A	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p SU Tax records/ 03/26/2019 history of the subject p Approach *See A parison Approach \$ 17 parison Approach \$ 17 parison Approach is conside. The Income Appreaed applicable in is*. Subject to Sub	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfer his BJECT MLS Topoperty and comparate transfer his BJECT Topoperty and comparate transfer his report.	subject property for the comparable sales for the story of the subject pro COMPARABLE S. Tax records/MLS 03/26/2019 ble sales No series No series No series No series No series No series Approach (if deviable method of eseveloped as homes and specifications of series no serie	e three years prior to the da sperty and comparable ALE #1 Tax in 03/26 sales activity in the data area area in the basis of a hyon.	Income Applicate interport additions as ales (report additions as ales as ales (report additions as ales as ales (report additions as ales as ales as ales (report additions as ales as ales as ales as ales (report additions as ales ale	Tax records 03/26/2019 eriod per the tax re proach (if developed) practions between the improvements here	\$ buyers and The Cost
My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and T My research did did Data Source(s) MLS and T Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Summary of Sales Comparison A Indicated Value by Sales Compar Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison A	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p Su Tax records/ 03/26/2019 history of the subject p Approach *See A	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfer his BJECT MLS TOPOPETY and comparate transfer his BJECT TOPOPETY and comparate transfer his BJE	subject property for the comparable sales for the story of the subject pro COMPARABLE S. Tax records/MLS 03/26/2019 ble sales No selection of the sales No selection of the sales No selection of the sales not sales n	e three years prior to the da perty and comparable ALE #1 Comparab	Income Application that irs or alterations have	Tax records 03/26/2019 eriod per the tax re proach (if developed) practions between the improvements here	\$ buyers and The Cost
My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and TMy research did did Data Source(s) MLS and TReport the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Summary of Sales Comparison A Indicated Value by Sales Compar Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison A	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p Su Tax records/ 03/26/2019 history of the subject p Approach *See A	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfer his BJECT MLS TOPOPETY and comparate transfer his BJECT TOPOPETY and comparate transfer his BJE	subject property for the comparable sales for the story of the subject pro COMPARABLE S. Tax records/MLS 03/26/2019 ble sales No selection of the sales No selection of the sales No selection of the sales not sales n	e three years prior to the da perty and comparable ALE #1 Comparab	Income Application that irs or alterations have	Tax records 03/26/2019 eriod per the tax re proach (if developed) practions between the improvements here	\$ buyers and The Cost
My research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and TMy research did did did Data Source(s) MLS and TReport the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Summary of Sales Comparison Assumary of	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p Tax records/ 03/26/2019 history of the subject p Approach *See A ison Approach \$ 17 parison Approach \$ pproach is considered applicable in is.". Subject to following repairs or a sed on the extraordina	es or transfers of the es or transfer his BJECT MLS Oroperty and comparate diditional Comme 70,000 170,000	subject property for the comparable sales for the story of the subject pro COMPARABLE S. Tax records/MLS 03/26/2019 ole sales No series. Cost Approach (if deviable method of every experience of a hypothetical crue condition or deficie	e three years prior to the date the year prior to the date perty and comparable ALE #1 Comparable ALE #1 Tax in 03/26 sales activity in the sales activity in the area are in the basis of a hypondition that the repancy does not require	Income Applince it reflects interior typically mark	Tax records 03/26/2019 riod per the tax re oroach (if developed) rractions between seted for income. It the improvements he been completed, or	\$ buyers and The Cost ave been subject to the
My research ☐ did ☑ did ☐ Data Source(s) MLS and ☐ My research ☐ did ☑ did ☐ Data Source(s) MLS and ☐ Report the results of the research ☐ ITEM ☐ Date of Prior Sale/Transfer ☐ Data Source(s) ☐ Effective Date of Data Source(s) ☐ Analysis of prior sale or transfer ☐ Data Source(s) ☐ Analysis of prior sale or transfer ☐ Data Source(s) ☐ Indicated Value by Sales Comparison ☐ Sellers in the marketplace ☐ This appraisal is made ☑ "as completed, ☐ subject to the comparison ☐ Summary of Sales Comparison ☐ Sellers in Ithe marketplace ☐ Subject to the Sales Completed, ☐ subject to the Sales Comparison ☐ Sales Completed, ☐ subject to the Sales Comparison ☐ Sales Com	not reveal any prior sa Fax Records not reveal any prior sa Fax records n and analysis of the p Tax records/ 03/26/2019 history of the subject p Approach *See A ison Approach \$ 17 parison Approach \$ pproach is considered applicable in is.". Subject to following repairs or a sed on the extraordina	es or transfers of the es or transfers of the es or transfers of the estate or transfer his BJECT MLS Topoperty and comparate or transfer his BJECT MLS Topoperty and comparate or transfer his BJECT MLS Topoperty and comparate or transfer his BJECT Topoperty and comparate or transfer his basic or transfer his report. Completion per plans derations on the basic or the subject per popinion of the mass of the subject per popinion of the ma	subject property for the comparable sales for the story of the subject pro COMPARABLE S. Tax records/MLS 03/26/2019 ble sales No service sales No service sales No service sales not sales and specifications of a hypothetical condition or deficie reperty from at least sarket value, as definition or deficie reperty from at least sarket value, as definition or deficie reperty from at least sarket value, as definition or deficie reperty from at least sarket value, as definition or deficie reperty from at least sarket value, as definition or deficie reperty from at least sarket value, as definition or deficie reperty from at least sarket value, as definition or deficie reperty from at least sarket value, as definition or deficie reperty from at least sarket value, as definition or deficie reperty from at least sarket value, as definition or deficie reperty from at least sarket value, as definition or deficie reperty from at least sarket value, as definition or deficie reperty from at least sarket value, as definition or deficie reperty from at least sarket value, as definition or deficie reperty from at least sarket value, as definition or deficie reperty from at least sarket value, as definition or deficie reperty from at least sarket value, as definition or deficie reperty from at least sarket value, as definition or definition	e three years prior to the da perty and comparable ALE #1 C3/26 sales activity in the data area are in the basis of a hypondition that the repancy does not require to the street, defined, of the real prior to the data area, of the real prior to the data area area, the street, defined area, of the real prior to the treet prior to the real prior to the r	Income Applince it reflects interior typically mark	Tax records 03/26/2019 eriod per the tax re proach (if developed) eractions between seted for income. In the improvements he been completed, or	\$ buyers and The Cost ave been subject to the

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report File # 047r19 See Additional Comments on Attached Sheet COST APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae) Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) The cost approach was not considered applicable in this report. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE Source of cost data DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ \$ Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Sq.Ft. @ \$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) =\$ Garage/Carport Sq.Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-New =\$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation =\$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements _=\$ Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 10 Years INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Indicated Value by Income Approach Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDs (if applicable) Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes No Unit type(s) Detached Attached Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units Total number of units sold Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data source(s) Yes No If Yes, date of conversion Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No If No, describe the status of completion. Are the common elements leased to or by the Homeowners' Association? Yes No If Yes, describe the rental terms and options. Describe common elements and recreational facilities

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER // / / / /	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature Amula Wal	Signature
Name James Robert Ward	Name
Company Name / Ward Appraisals	Company Name
Company Address 1707 Romeria Dr	Company Address
Austin, TX 78757-3323	
Telephone Number 512.452.7305	Telephone Number
Email Address bobward@wardtopia.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 03/26/2019	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 03/26/2019	State Certification #
State Certification # 1321560	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State TX	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 11/30/2019	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	☐ Did not inspect exterior of subject property
6711 Porter St	☐ Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
Austin, TX 78741	Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 170,000	
LENDER/CLIENT	COMPARABLE SALES
Name No AMC	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Name Guadalupe Neighborhood Development Corp	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Address 813 E. 8th Street, Austin, TX 78702	Date of Inspection
Email Address	

	FEATURE	CUDIFOT		OCCUPATION.				
		SUBJECT		BLE SALE # 4	COMPARAB	LE SALE #5	COMPARABI	E SALE #6
	Address 6711 Porter St		6310 Porter St					
	Austin, TX 78741	1	Austin, TX 7874	41	_			
	Proximity to Subject		0.25 miles NW					
	Sale Price	\$	G.EG HINGS 1444	\$ 150,000		Te.		^
	Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area					\$		\$
		\$ sq.ft			\$ sq.ft.		\$ sq.ft.	
	Data Source(s)		MLS/Tax/Agent	;DOM 2				
	Verification Source(s)		MLS-ABOR #82					The state of the s
	VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
	Sales or Financing	BEGGIAN FIGH		1 () \$ riajustrion	DEGOMI TION	T(-) \$ Aujustinent	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Aujustment
	Concessions		ArmLth					
			Cash;0			magni pre		
	Date of Sale/Time	Date of the	s09/18;c04/18					
т	Location	N;Res;	N;Res;	An g				
ᄒ	Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple	 	1			
8	Site							
Ř		6855 sf	7797 sf	0				
酉	View	N;Res;	N;Res;					
3	Design (Style)	DT1 0-Bungalow	DT1.0;Bungalov	M				
õ	Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4					
왍	Actual Age							
ጃ		55	71	0				
¥	Condition	C6	C6					
ES COMPARISON APPROACH	Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths	i	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths	
S	Room Count	5 2 1.0	5 2 1.0				Junio. Dunio	
Щ	Gross Living Area	1,048 sq.ft.			25.4			
SAL				. 0	sq.ft.		sq.ft.	
97	Basement & Finished	0sf	0sf					
	Rooms Below Grade							
	Functional Utility	Average	Average					
	Heating/Cooling			+				
		Wall/window	Wall/window					
	Energy Efficient Items	None	None		The second secon			
	Garage/Carport	2gd1cp1dw	None	0				
	Porch/Patio/Deck	Porches	Porches					
		1 0101100	1 Oronoo					
						leans -		
	Net Adjustment (Total)		- -	\$ 0	- -	\$	П+ П-	\$
	Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 0.0 %		Net Adj. %		Net Adj. %	¥
	of Comparables		Gross Adj. 0.0 %			6		•
		and analysis of the a	rior cale or transfer b	150,000	Gross Adj. %	3	Gross Adj. %	\$
	Report the results of the research	and analysis of the p	rior sale or transfer n).
	ITEM	St	JBJECT	COMPARABLE SA	LE#4 C0	MPARABLE SALE # 5	5 COMPARA	ABLE SALE # 6
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer					HA.A		
			HARLES OF THE STREET					
	Price of Prior Sale/Transfer				- 1			
ξ	Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	Toy records	MALC	Tow records (NALC)				
TORY	Data Source(s)	Tax records		Tax records/MLS				
HISTORY	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	03/26/2019		03/26/2019				
E HISTORY	Data Source(s)	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	attached sheet.			
ALE HISTORY	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	attached sheet.			
STOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	attached sheet.			
SALE HISTORY	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
SALE HISTORY	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
SALE HISTORY	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	attached sheet.			
SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
I SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
I SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
I SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
I SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
I SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
I SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
I SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
I SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
I SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
I SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
I SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
I SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
I SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
I SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
I SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
I SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			
SALE HISTOR	Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	03/26/2019		03/26/2019	e attached sheet.			

Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report File No. 047r19

The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lend				ditions prevalent in	the subject	
neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all ap	opraisal reports with an effe		April 1, 2009.	Ctota TV	7ID Codo 707	
Property Address 6711 Porter St Borrower N/A		City Austin		State TX	ZIP Code 787	41
Instructions: The appraiser must use the information	required on this form as t	he hasis for his/her co	nclusions and must provide s	support for those co	onclusions rena	ırdina
housing trends and overall market conditions as report it is available and reliable and must provide analysis explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources in the analysis. If data sources provide the required in average. Sales and listings must be properties that continuous control of the contr	orted in the Neighborhood as indicated below. If any will be able to provide dat information as an average i	section of the appraisa required data is unava a for the shaded areas nstead of the median,	I report form. The appraiser m lable or is considered unreliab below; if it is available, howen the appraiser should report the	oust fill in all the info lle, the appraiser m ver, the appraiser n e available figure ar	ormation to the ust provide an nust include the nd identify it as	extent data an
subject property. The appraiser must explain any and		8 8 8				
Inventory Analysis	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current – 3 Months		Overall Trend	
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	10	73	2	Increasing		Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	1.67	24.33	0.67	Increasing		Declining
Total # of Comparable Active Listings	3	1 1 7	2		➤ Stable ➤ Stable	Increasing Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	1.8 Prior 7–12 Months	1.7 Prior 4–6 Months	3.0 Current – 3 Months	Decining	Overall Trend	Increasing
Median Comparable Sale Price	173,500	172,500	172,250	Increasing		Declining
Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	20	12	58		X Stable	Increasing
Median Comparable List Price	189,900	172,500	170,000	Increasing	X Stable	Declining
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	13	2	3	- Control of the Cont		Increasing
Median Sale Price as % of List Price	99.1	100	101	Increasing	-	Declining
Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistar Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the	nce prevalent? X Yes		used from 20/ to E0/ increasi-		Stable Stable	Increasing
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the ma There are foreclosures in the area but the			icluding the trends in listings and a property on the market, ex			According to the second
	go done a seco					
Cite data sources for above information. MLS	, Federal Housing Fir	nance Agency, N	ews Reports			
Cite data sources for above information. MLS	, Federal Housing Fir	nance Agency, N	ews Reports			
Cite data sources for above information. MLS Summarize the above information as support for you an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and with	ur conclusions in the Neigh	borhood section of th	appraisal report form. If you			uch as
Summarize the above information as support for you	ur conclusions in the Neigh ndrawn listings, to formulat that the area market slightly positive market reciation rate over the subject's immediate f listings on page 2 of	borhood section of the your conclusions, pass in a period of sect category overall pass few years. neighborhood and the report will not be report	e appraisal report form. If you ovide both an explanation and ability. This is confirmed. The number of forecld The overall market trend considered homes sirt be the same as that o	I support for your of d by data from osures serve as id is toward sta milar in age, loo n the 1004mc	the Federal s a break on bility. Data cation and signment of the cation and signment of the si	Housing housing used in the ze. ** It
Summarize the above information as support for you an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and with An analysis of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places Texas in a support of prices which have been at a steady approximately approximately sis from the MLS focused on the should be recognized that the number of measures listings for the past 3 months of the past 3 months.	ur conclusions in the Neighdrawn listings, to formulate that the area market is lightly positive market eciation rate over the subject's immediate f listings on page 2 of while page 2 reflects	borhood section of the your conclusions, p is in a period of set category overal past few years. In a period borhood and the report will no current listings.	e appraisal report form. If you ovide both an explanation and ability. This is confirmed. I. The number of forecld. The overall market trend considered homes sind to be the same as that of some of the listings on the interpretation of the same as the same of the listings on the interpretation of the same as the same of the listings on the interpretation of the same as the sam	I support for your of d by data from osures serve as id is toward sta milar in age, loc n the 1004mc for	the Federal s a break on bility. Data cation and signment of the cation and signment of the si	Housing housing used in the ze. ** It
Summarize the above information as support for you an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and with An analysis of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places Texas in a sprices which have been at a steady approximately approximately sis is from the MLS focused on the should be recognized that the number of measures listings for the past 3 months we expired or been withdrawn. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or coopera Subject Project Data	ur conclusions in the Neighdrawn listings, to formulate that the area market is lightly positive market eciation rate over the subject's immediate f listings on page 2 of while page 2 reflects	borhood section of the your conclusions, p is in a period of set category overal past few years. In a period borhood and the report will no current listings.	e appraisal report form. If you ovide both an explanation and ability. This is confirmed. I. The number of forecld The overall market trend considered homes sire to be the same as that o some of the listings on the same of the same as the come of the listings on the same as the come of the listings on the same as the come of the listings on the same as the come of the listings on the same as the come of the listings on the content of the same as the come of the listings on the content of the same as the content of the content of the same as the content of the same as the content of th	support for your of d by data from osures serve as id is toward stamilar in age, loc in the 1004mc for he 1004mc for Name:	onclusions. the Federal s a break on bility. Data a cation and si. form. The 10 m will have o	Housing housing used in the ze. ** It '04mc form closed or
Summarize the above information as support for you an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and with An analysis of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places Texas in a supprices which have been at a steady approximately approximately sis is from the MLS focused on the should be recognized that the number of measures listings for the past 3 months we expired or been withdrawn. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or coopera Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	ur conclusions in the Neigh drawn listings, to formulat that the area market is slightly positive market reciation rate over the subject's immediate f listings on page 2 of while page 2 reflects	borhood section of the your conclusions, p is in a period of set category overall a past few years. In the report will not current listings. Section of the report listings.	e appraisal report form. If you ovide both an explanation and ability. This is confirmed. I. The number of forecld. The overall market trend considered homes sind to be the same as that of some of the listings on the interpretation of the same as the same of the listings on the interpretation of the same as the same of the listings on the interpretation of the same as the sam	support for your of d by data from osures serve as id is toward stamilar in age, loo in the 1004mc for he 1004mc for he location.	onclusions. the Federal s a break on bility. Data a cation and si. form. The 10 m will have c	Housing housing used in the ze. ** It 04mc form closed or
Summarize the above information as support for you an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and with An analysis of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places Texas in a sprices which have been at a steady approximately approximately sis is from the MLS focused on the should be recognized that the number of measures listings for the past 3 months we expired or been withdrawn. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or coopera Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	ur conclusions in the Neigh drawn listings, to formulat that the area market is slightly positive market reciation rate over the subject's immediate f listings on page 2 of while page 2 reflects	borhood section of the your conclusions, p is in a period of set category overall a past few years. In the report will not current listings. Section of the report listings.	e appraisal report form. If you ovide both an explanation and ability. This is confirmed. I. The number of forecld. The overall market trend considered homes sind to be the same as that of some of the listings on the interpretation of the same as the same of the listings on the interpretation of the same as the same of the listings on the interpretation of the same as the sam	support for your of d by data from osures serve as id is toward stamilar in age, loo n the 1004mc for he 1004mc for he location in the locatio	onclusions. the Federal s a break on billity. Data i cation and si. form. The 10 m will have c	Housing housing used in the ze. ** It 04mc form closed or
Summarize the above information as support for you an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and with An analysis of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places Texas in a sprices which have been at a steady approximate and a steady	ur conclusions in the Neigh drawn listings, to formulat that the area market is slightly positive market reciation rate over the subject's immediate f listings on page 2 of while page 2 reflects	borhood section of the your conclusions, p is in a period of set category overall a past few years. In the report will not current listings. Section of the report listings.	e appraisal report form. If you ovide both an explanation and ability. This is confirmed. I. The number of forecld. The overall market trend considered homes sind to be the same as that of some of the listings on the interpretation of the same as the same of the listings on the interpretation of the same as the same of the listings on the interpretation of the same as the sam	support for your of d by data from osures serve as id is toward stamilar in age, loo in the 1004mc for he 1004mc for he location.	onclusions. the Federal s a break on bility. Data a cation and si. form. The 10 m will have c	Housing housing used in the ze. ** It 04mc form closed or
Summarize the above information as support for you an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and with An analysis of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the assumed that the number of the assumed by the support of the past 3 months of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooper	ur conclusions in the Neigh indrawn listings, to formulat that the area market is liightly positive marke reciation rate over the subject's immediate f listings on page 2 of while page 2 reflects tive project, complete the Prior 7–12 Months	iborhood section of the your conclusions, p is in a period of s to category overall past few years. neighborhood and the report will no current listings. S	e appraisal report form. If you ovide both an explanation and ability. This is confirmed. I. The number of forecld. The overall market trend considered homes sind to be the same as that of some of the listings on the interpretation of the same as the same of the listings on the interpretation of the same as the same of the listings on the interpretation of the same as the sam	support for your of d by data from osures serve as id is toward stamilar in age, loon the 1004mc for he 1004mc for location in a	onclusions. the Federal s a break on bility. Data cation and si form. The 10 m will have c Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Housing housing used in the ze. ** It 04mc form closed or Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for you an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and with An analysis of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the assumed that the number of the assumed by the support of the past 3 months of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooper	ur conclusions in the Neigh indrawn listings, to formulat that the area market is liightly positive marke reciation rate over the subject's immediate f listings on page 2 of while page 2 reflects tive project, complete the Prior 7–12 Months	iborhood section of the your conclusions, p is in a period of s to category overall past few years. neighborhood and the report will no current listings. S	e appraisal report form. If you ovide both an explanation and ability. This is confirmed. In the number of forecle. The overall market trend considered homes sint be the same as that of some of the listings on the contract of the contract of the listings on the contract of the listings of the contract of the listings	support for your of d by data from osures serve as id is toward stamilar in age, loon the 1004mc for he 1004mc for location in a	onclusions. the Federal s a break on bility. Data cation and si form. The 10 m will have c Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Housing housing used in the ze. ** It 04mc form closed or Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for you an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and with An analysis of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the assumed that the number of the assumed by the support of the past 3 months of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooper	ur conclusions in the Neigh indrawn listings, to formulat that the area market is liightly positive marke reciation rate over the subject's immediate f listings on page 2 of while page 2 reflects tive project, complete the Prior 7–12 Months	iborhood section of the your conclusions, p is in a period of s to category overall past few years. neighborhood and the report will no current listings. S	e appraisal report form. If you ovide both an explanation and ability. This is confirmed. In the number of forecle. The overall market trend considered homes sint be the same as that of some of the listings on the contract of the contract of the listings on the contract of the listings of the contract of the listings	support for your of d by data from osures serve as id is toward stamilar in age, loon the 1004mc for he 1004mc for location in a	onclusions. the Federal s a break on bility. Data cation and si form. The 10 m will have c Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Housing housing used in the ze. ** It 04mc form closed or Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for you an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and with An analysis of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the assumed that the number of the assumed by the support of the past 3 months of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooper	ur conclusions in the Neight adrawn listings, to formulat that the area market slightly positive market reciation rate over the subject's immediate f listings on page 2 of while page 2 reflects tive project, complete the Prior 7–12 Months	borhood section of the your conclusions, page is in a period of section of the your conclusions, page is in a period of section of the years. In the report will not current listings. Section of the report will not current listings. Section of the years	e appraisal report form. If you ovide both an explanation and ability. This is confirmed. In the number of forecle. The overall market trend considered homes sint be the same as that of some of the listings on the contract of the contract of the listings on the contract of the listings of the contract of the listings	support for your of d by data from osures serve as id is toward stamilar in age, loon the 1004mc for he 1004mc for location in a	onclusions. the Federal s a break on bility. Data cation and si form. The 10 m will have c Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Housing housing used in the ze. ** It 04mc form closed or Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for you an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and with An analysis of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places Texas in a sprices which have been at a steady appranalysis is from the MLS focused on the should be recognized that the number of measures listings for the past 3 months of expired or been withdrawn. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or coopera Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	ur conclusions in the Neight adrawn listings, to formulat that the area market slightly positive market reciation rate over the subject's immediate f listings on page 2 of while page 2 reflects tive project, complete the Prior 7–12 Months	borhood section of the your conclusions, page is in a period of section of the your conclusions, page is in a period of section of the years. In the report will not current listings. Section of the report will not current listings. Section of the years	e appraisal report form. If you ovide both an explanation and ability. This is confirmed. In the number of forecle. The overall market trend considered homes sint be the same as that of some of the listings on the contract of the contract of the listings on the contract of the listings of the contract of the listings	support for your of d by data from osures serve as id is toward stamilar in age, loon the 1004mc for he 1004mc for location in a	onclusions. the Federal s a break on bility. Data cation and si form. The 10 m will have c Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Housing housing used in the ze. ** It 04mc form closed or Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for you an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and with An analysis of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the assumed that the number of the assumed by the support of the past 3 months of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooper	ur conclusions in the Neight adrawn listings, to formulat that the area market slightly positive market reciation rate over the subject's immediate f listings on page 2 of while page 2 reflects tive project, complete the Prior 7–12 Months	borhood section of the your conclusions, page is in a period of section of the your conclusions, page is in a period of section of the years. In the report will not current listings. Section of the report will not current listings. Section of the years	e appraisal report form. If you ovide both an explanation and ability. This is confirmed. In the number of forecle. The overall market trend considered homes sint be the same as that of some of the listings on the contract of the contract of the listings on the contract of the listings of the contract of the listings	support for your of d by data from osures serve as id is toward stamilar in age, loon the 1004mc for he 1004mc for location in a	onclusions. the Federal s a break on bility. Data cation and si form. The 10 m will have c Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Housing housing used in the ze. ** It 04mc form closed or Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for you an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and with An analysis of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the assumed that the number of the assumed by the support of the past 3 months of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooper	ur conclusions in the Neight adrawn listings, to formulat that the area market slightly positive market reciation rate over the subject's immediate f listings on page 2 of while page 2 reflects tive project, complete the Prior 7–12 Months	borhood section of the your conclusions, page is in a period of section of the your conclusions, page is in a period of section of the years. In the report will not current listings. Section of the report will not current listings. Section of the years	e appraisal report form. If you ovide both an explanation and ability. This is confirmed. In the number of forecle. The overall market trend considered homes sint be the same as that of some of the listings on the contract of the contract of the listings on the contract of the listings of the contract of the listings	support for your of d by data from osures serve as id is toward stamilar in age, loon the 1004mc for he 1004mc for location in a	onclusions. the Federal s a break on bility. Data cation and si form. The 10 m will have c Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Housing housing used in the ze. ** It 04mc form closed or Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for you an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and with An analysis of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the assumed that the number of the assumed by the support of the past 3 months of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooper	ur conclusions in the Neight adrawn listings, to formulat that the area market slightly positive market reciation rate over the subject's immediate f listings on page 2 of while page 2 reflects tive project, complete the Prior 7–12 Months	borhood section of the your conclusions, page is in a period of section of the your conclusions, page is in a period of section of the years. In the report will not current listings. Section of the report will not current listings. Section of the years	e appraisal report form. If you ovide both an explanation and ability. This is confirmed. In the number of forecle. The overall market trend considered homes sint be the same as that of some of the listings on the contract of the contract of the listings on the contract of the listings of the contract of the listings	support for your of d by data from osures serve as id is toward stamilar in age, loon the 1004mc for he 1004mc for location in a	onclusions. the Federal s a break on bility. Data cation and si form. The 10 m will have c Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Housing housing used in the ze. ** It 04mc form closed or Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for you an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and with An analysis of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the assumed that the number of the assumed by the support of the past 3 months of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooper	ur conclusions in the Neight adrawn listings, to formulat that the area market slightly positive market reciation rate over the subject's immediate f listings on page 2 of while page 2 reflects tive project, complete the Prior 7–12 Months	borhood section of the your conclusions, page is in a period of section of the your conclusions, page is in a period of section of the years. In the report will not current listings. Section of the report will not current listings. Section of the years	e appraisal report form. If you ovide both an explanation and ability. This is confirmed. In the number of forecle. The overall market trend considered homes sint be the same as that of some of the listings on the contract of the contract of the listings on the contract of the listings of the contract of the listings	support for your of d by data from osures serve as id is toward stamilar in age, loon the 1004mc for he 1004mc for location in a	onclusions. the Federal s a break on bility. Data cation and si form. The 10 m will have c Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Housing housing used in the ze. ** It 04mc form closed or Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for you an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and with An analysis of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places. Texas in a support of the assumed that the number of the assumed by the support of the past 3 months of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooperated that the number of measures is a unit in a condominium or cooper	ur conclusions in the Neight adrawn listings, to formulat that the area market slightly positive market reciation rate over the subject's immediate f listings on page 2 of while page 2 reflects tive project, complete the Prior 7–12 Months	borhood section of the your conclusions, page is in a period of section of the your conclusions, page is in a period of section of the years. In the report will not current listings. Section of the report will not current listings. Section of the years	e appraisal report form. If you ovide both an explanation and ability. This is confirmed. In the number of forecle. The overall market trend considered homes sint be the same as that of some of the listings on the contract of the contract of the listings on the contract of the listings of the contract of the listings	support for your of d by data from osures serve as id is toward stamilar in age, loon the 1004mc for he 1004mc for location in a	onclusions. the Federal s a break on bility. Data cation and si form. The 10 m will have c Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Housing housing used in the ze. ** It 04mc form closed or Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for you an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and with An analysis of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places Texas in a sprices which have been at a steady approximate analysis is from the MLS focused on the should be recognized that the number of measures listings for the past 3 months of expired or been withdrawn. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperal Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the proforeclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact	ur conclusions in the Neight adrawn listings, to formulat that the area market slightly positive market reciation rate over the subject's immediate f listings on page 2 of while page 2 reflects tive project, complete the Prior 7–12 Months	borhood section of the your conclusions, p is in a period of section of the your conclusions, p is in a period of section of the years. neighborhood and the report will not current listings. Section of the report will not current listings. Section of the years of t	e appraisal report form. If you rovide both an explanation and ability. This is confirmed. The overall market trend considered homes sint be the same as that of some of the listings on the contract of the considered homes as that of some of the listings on the contract of the listings and the number of REO listings and the number of REO listings and the number of REO listings and the contract of REO listings and the number of REO	support for your of d by data from osures serve as id is toward stamilar in age, loon the 1004mc for he 1004mc for location in a	onclusions. the Federal s a break on bility. Data cation and si form. The 10 m will have c Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Housing housing used in the ze. ** It 04mc form closed or Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for you an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and with An analysis of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places Texas in a sprices which have been at a steady approximate analysis is from the MLS focused on the should be recognized that the number of measures listings for the past 3 months wexpired or been withdrawn. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperal Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the proforeclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact	ur conclusions in the Neight adrawn listings, to formulat that the area market slightly positive market reciation rate over the subject's immediate f listings on page 2 of while page 2 reflects tive project, complete the Prior 7–12 Months	iborhood section of the your conclusions, p is in a period of s the category overall past few years. neighborhood and the report will no current listings. S following: Prior 4–6 Months In the your conclusions of the report will not current listings. S following: Prior 4–6 Months Signature of the year	e appraisal report form. If you rovide both an explanation and ability. This is confirmed. The overall market trend considered homes sint be the same as that of some of the listings on the contract of the considered homes of the listings on the common of the listings and the number of REO listings and the num	support for your of d by data from osures serve as id is toward stamilar in age, loon the 1004mc for he 1004mc for location in a	onclusions. the Federal s a break on bility. Data cation and si form. The 10 m will have c Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Housing housing used in the ze. ** It 04mc form closed or Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for you an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and with An analysis of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places Texas in a sprices which have been at a steady approximate analysis is from the MLS focused on the should be recognized that the number of measures listings for the past 3 months wexpired or been withdrawn. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperal Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the proforeclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact	ur conclusions in the Neight adrawn listings, to formulat that the area market slightly positive market reciation rate over the subject's immediate f listings on page 2 of while page 2 reflects tive project, complete the Prior 7–12 Months	iborhood section of the your conclusions, p is in a period of set category overal past few years. neighborhood and the report will not current listings. Set conclusions in the report will not current listings. Set conclusions in the report will not current listings. Set conclusions in the report will not current listings. Set cu	e appraisal report form. If you ovide both an explanation and ability. This is confirmed. In the number of forecle. The overall market trend considered homes sint to be the same as that office of the listings on the common of the listings on the number of REO listings and the nu	support for your of d by data from osures serve as id is toward stamilar in age, loon the 1004mc for he 1004mc for location in a	onclusions. the Federal s a break on bility. Data cation and si form. The 10 m will have c Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Housing housing used in the ze. ** It 04mc form closed or Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for you an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and with An analysis of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places Texas in a sprices which have been at a steady approximate analysis is from the MLS focused on the should be recognized that the number of measures listings for the past 3 months wexpired or been withdrawn. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperal Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the proforeclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact	ur conclusions in the Neighdrawn listings, to formulate that the area market is liightly positive market excitation rate over the subject's immediate. I listings on page 2 of while page 2 reflects tive project, complete the Prior 7–12 Months oject? Yes Notes Note to on the subject unit and page 2 to on the subject unit and page 3 to on the su	signature Signature Signature Signature Supervis Compar Compar Compar Compar Compar Compar Compar Conclusions, p set category overal past few years. neighborhood an fithe report will not current listings. S Following: Prior 4–6 Months Signature Supervis Compar Compar	e appraisal report form. If you ovide both an explanation and ability. This is confirmed. I. The number of forecld. The overall market trend considered homes sint to be the same as that office of the listings on the constant of the listings on the number of REO listings and the	support for your of d by data from osures serve as id is toward stamilar in age, loon the 1004mc for he 1004mc for location in a	onclusions. the Federal s a break on bility. Data cation and si. form. The 10 m will have of Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable In listings and s	Housing housing used in the ze. ** It 04mc form closed or Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for you an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and with An analysis of the above data indicates the Finance Agency that places Texas in a sprices which have been at a steady appropriate and support analysis is from the MLS focused on the should be recognized that the number of measures listings for the past 3 months of expired or been withdrawn. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or coopera subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the proforeclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact of the proforeclosed properties. Signature Appraiser Name James Robert Ward Company Name Vard Appraisals	ur conclusions in the Neighndrawn listings, to formulate that the area market is liightly positive market reciation rate over the subject's immediate of listings on page 2 of while page 2 reflects tive project, complete the Prior 7–12 Months oject? Yes N	signature Signature Signature Signature Supervis Compar Compar Compar Compar Compar Compar Compar Conclusions, p set category overal past few years. neighborhood an fithe report will not current listings. S Following: Prior 4–6 Months Signature Supervis Compar Compar	e appraisal report form. If you ovide both an explanation and ability. This is confirmed. In the number of forecle. The overall market trend considered homes sint to be the same as that office of the listings on the common of the listings on the number of REO listings and the nu	support for your of d by data from osures serve as id is toward stamilar in age, loon the 1004mc for he 1004mc for location in a	onclusions. the Federal s a break on bility. Data cation and si form. The 10 m will have c Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Housing housing used in the ze. ** It 04mc form closed or Declining Declining Increasing Increasing

Freddie Mac Form 71 March 2009

Page 1 of 1

Fannie Mae Form 1004MC March 2009

General Text Addendum

File No. 047r19

Borrower	N/A				morning resignation before the same street	
Property Address	6711 Porter St					
City	Austin	County	Travis	State TX	Zip Code 78741	
Lender/Client	Guadalupe Neighborhood De	evelopment Corp	\$			

COMMENTS ON THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH

The subject, a one story single family residence with frame exterior walls, contains approximately 1,048 square feet of living area per the tax records. The subject appears to be maintained in poor condition and the highest and best use is felt to be removal and replacement with a newer, larger structure that blends with the neighborhood.

*Only the exterior of the subject was viewed by the appraiser.

The comparable sales were therefore drawn from the subject's general market neighborhood, were adjusted accordingly for dissimilar features and were felt to most accurately reflect the current market in the area. All of the comparables were of properties similar in condition and marketed as "tear down" houses.

ADJUSTMENTS:

No adjustments were made for the comparables since they are all considered to be "tear down" houses.

The comparable sales used in the report were all closed. After adjusting the comparable sales for all of the factors stated above, they form a value range of \$150,000 to \$172,000 which translates into a per square foot range of \$143.13 to \$164.12. The estimated value of the subject property is \$170,000, or \$162.21 per square foot which is considered appropriate due to the subject's size and amenity package. All of the sales, when adjusted, indicate a limited range of value for the subject. It is my opinion that the estimated market value of the report is well supported by the Sales Comparison Analysis presented in this report

USPAP ADDENDUM

APPRAISAL PURPOSE / INTENDED USER:

This appraisal report is intended for use in a mortgage finance transaction by Guadalupe Neighborhood Development Corp, its successors and assigns. This report is not intended for any other use or user.

SCOPE OF WORK:

The scope of this appraisal was to examine the interior and exterior of the subject, hand measure the improvements (except in the case of new construction where builder's plans and specifications were utilized) and analyze those market forces impacting the value. Square footage figures for subject property are derived from actual measurements taken at the time of the site visit, are an ESTIMATE ONLY and are not guaranteed or warranted. They should not be considered accurate for any other purposes other than this appraisal analysis. A professional engineer/architect should be consulted for actual living area measurements. Pertinent market data was collected and analyzed in such a manner that conforms with ordinary appraisal standards prevalent within the industry. MLS sales/listings, agent data, builder data, tax records, Marshall and Swift, property owners, and other publicly available sources were researched within the defined sub-market area (neighborhood) of the subject. The final estimate of value stated in this report is the Market Value as defined by the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.

EXPOSURE TIME/MARKETING TIME:

The estimated marketing time is based on an observation of the exposure time of MLS sales and listings within the subject area. It is assumed that the subject is competitively priced and competently marketed.

PERSONALTY (non-realty) TRANSFERS:

The appraiser is not aware of any non-realty items that were transferred that would impact the value as delineated in this report.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

The appraiser's engagement and/or compensation in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results, nor from directions by the client regarding the attainment of a stipulated conclusion, nor the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this report.

The appraisal should not be considered a report on the physical items that are a part of the property. Although the appraisal may contain information about the physical items being appraised (including their adequacy and/or condition), it should be clearly understood that this information is only to be used as a general guide of property valuation, and is not to be used as a complete or detailed physical inspection report. The appraiser is not qualified to render an opinion in these areas. If any interested party is concerned about the existence, condition, or adequacy of any particular item, I/we strongly recommend that a home inspector, licensed by the Texas Real Estate Commission, be retained for a detailed inspection.

This Appraisal Report is intended to comply with the reporting requirements set forth under Standards Rule 2-2(b) of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. As such, it presents only summary discussions of the data, reasoning, and analyses that were used in the appraisal process to develop the appraiser's opinion of value. Supporting documentation that is not provided with the report concerning the data, reasoning, and analyses is retained in the appraiser's work file. The depth of the discussion contained in this report is specific to the needs of the client and for the intended use stated in the report. The appraiser is not responsible for unauthorized use of this report.