RENTAL HOUSING DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE

Application for G. O. Bond Financing – Rev. 10/17/08

Project Name: The	Village on Little Texas		·
Project Address: 400 I	Block of Little Texas Lane, Au	stin, TX Zip Code 78745	
Total # units to be assi	sted: 50 units Total # 1	units in project/property: 240 units	
Project type: 🛮 Acqu	isition 🛘 Rehabilitation 🗹 Ne	w construction Refinance Rent Buy-	Down
Amount of funds requ	ested: \$2,940,000	Terms: AHFC ownership interest	
Role of applicant in Pr	oject (check all that apply):	☑ Owner ☑ Developer ☑ Sponsor	
below for the develope	er as well as for the applicant. If t	g as the developer, please provide all of the in the developer involves multiple entities, is a part or each, and identify the entity that will serve as	tnership or
New Entity to be For	rmed (Captuity Investments T	hree, LP is current entity) (lead organization	n)
Name			
PO Box 685316			
Street Address			
Austin, TX	78768-5316	(512) 637-6377	
City	State, Zip	Telephone #	AMMINISTRA
Darin Davis	(512) 637-6377	(512) 857-0338	
Contact Person	Contact's Telephone ‡		
TBD			
Federal Tax ID Numb	er or SS#		
Madison Interests, In	nc. (developer)		
Name	io (de l'esper)		····
1717 West Sixth Stree	t. Suite 410		
Street Address			
Austin, TX	78703	(512) 328-0487 x102	
City	State, Zip	Telephone #	***************************************

Bill Lee	(512) 328-0487 x102	(512) 328-0491	والمنافقة والمنا
Contact Person	Contact's Telephone	# Fax #	
74-2297516			and the state of t
Federal Tax ID Numi	per or SS#		
The applicant/deve true and correct. <u>U.</u> <u>Captuity Investmen</u> Legal Name of Devel	nsigned/undated submissions ts Three, LP	nd exhibits contained comprising to will hot be considered. Signature of Authorized Officer	this application are
MANAGE	ER	3-11-09	
Title		Date	

- 3. Project Description In one page or less, describe the project including the information listed below:
 - a. Location by street address and include an area map with properties indicated.
 - b. Describe the type of project indicated above and if the project is considered transitional housing or permanent supportive housing. Include the type of structure (multi-family or single-family), square footage, number and size of units.
 - c. Proposed tenants including number and type of individuals to be served, and yearly income relative to all funding source requirements of 30%, 50%, 60% or 80% MFI. If the project will serve families with special needs, describe the population to be served and the supportive services program.
 - d. Indicate whether the project is occupied at the time of proposal submission.
 - e. Location of the project relative to the "Opportunity Map of Austin" attached to the Program Guidelines.
 - f. Project meets the requirements of the City's Vertical Mixed-Use (VMU) Ordinance, or is a Planned-Unit Development (PUD) or Transit Oriented Development (TOD).
 - g. Project will preserve existing affordable rental units.
 - h. If there are existing structures, provide documentation from the taxing authority or other third-party source indicating the year the structure was built.
 - i. Units reserved for Section 8 families.
 - i. Units accessible and adaptable for persons with mobility, sight or hearing disabilities.
 - k. Compatibility with current Neighborhood Plan (if applicable).
 - In addition to providing an Itemized Development Budget through your response to Question 11, summarize the key financials of the project, clearly indicating total project cost, the amount and intended use of AHFC funds requested, amount and provider of other funding and the stage of those commitments.

See attached project description. Also included are:

- Location map, zoning maps and schematic site plan.
- City of Austin Opportunity Map showing the property in a Moderate opportunity area.
- Future Land Use Map for South Congress Combined Neighborhood Planning Area showing that the proposed project is compatible with the Neighborhood Plan.

Project Description - The Village on Little Texas

Location and Type of Project

The Village on Little Texas will be a 240 unit, garden style, multifamily rental community located on the 400 Block of Little Texas Lane in Austin, Texas. A location map is included. The development will be comprised of 10 residential buildings, a community center and garages, carports and storage units. All the residential buildings will be three story walk up configurations. There are six floor plans, including 4 one-bedroom and 2 two-bedrooms. Specifically, the following unit mix is anticipated:

Units	Plan	Unit Type	SF
36	A1	One Bedroom/One Bath	595 SF
48	A2	One Bedroom/One Bath	625 SF
60	A3	One Bedroom/One Bath	715 SF
36	A4	One Bedroom/One Bath	857 SF
24	B1	Two Bedroom/Two Bath	939 SF
36	B2	Two Bedroom/Two Bath	1,057 SF
240 Units			

The total net rentable square footage of the project is 185,760 square feet. The project density is approximately 22 units per acre. The project has 60% impervious cover, which is permitted.

The Community Center located at the entry to the community will be approximately 4,500 square feet. It will include leasing and management offices, maintenance shop and storage, an equipped business center, an equipped exercise facility and a small theater/lecture space. Exterior amenities will include a resort style pool, decks and shaded seating areas and landscaped open space.

The project will include a significant affordable component; with 7.5% of the units (18 units) available for residents at 30% of AMFI and 13% of the units (32 units) will be available for residents at 50% of AMFI. The balance of the units will be affordable to residents making 80% or less of AMFI.

Number of Units	Unit Type	Unit SF	Percent of MFI		
10	1 bed/1 bath	595 or 625 SF	30%		
18	1 bed/1 bath	595 or 625 SF	50%		
8	2 bed/2 bath	939 SF	30%		
14	2 bed/2 bath	939 SF	50%		
50 Units					

The property is located in a moderate priority area on the Opportunity Map of Austin. The property is not along a Vertical Mixed-Use corridor, in a Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) district or a PUD.

Section 8, Units Accessible and Adaptable

The Villages on Little Texas will comply with the City of Austin standards and reserve 10 percent (24 units) for people with mobility disabilities and two percent (5 units) to be made adaptable for persons with hearing and/or visual disabilities. Of the 24 units reserved for people with mobility disabilities, up to 50% of these will be at 50% MFI and 30% MFI rents.

The project will comply with accessibility design standards established by the City's S.M.A.R.T. Housing Initiative, and any applicable requirements listed by the City of Austin, AHFC and Federal requirements including but not limited to ADA, Fair Housing, and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

The project will accept Section 8 vouchers and list the property with the City of Austin Housing Authority.

Relocation

The project will not require relocation. It is a new construction project on vacant land.

Supportive Services

Little Dudes Learning Centers is located next to the project and works closely with the Texas Workforce Commission to offer affordable daycare to working low-income families. They provide daycare services for children 12 months to 12 years as well as offer an afterschool program and summer camp opportunities. They will work with the project to offer daycare services as needed.

The project is currently working on other partnerships to secure supportive services for the residents.

Financing

The total project cost is \$22,572,000 (including the land) and Captuity Investments is requesting \$2,940,000 from the Austin Housing Finance Corporation. These funds will be leveraged with \$18,359,000 in funds from provided under the HUD 221d4 Program and \$1,273,000 in private equity. The \$2,940,000 provided by AHFC would be used for land acquisition (\$2,000,000) and improvements (\$940,000).

Compatibility with current Neighborhood Plan

The project is located in the Sweetbriar Neighborhood and falls under the South Congress Combined Neighborhood Planning Area. The Future Land Use Map for the South Congress Combined Neighborhood Planning Area shows the site as "Mixed Residential." Which is compatible with the proposed project. The South Congress Combined Neighborhood Planning Area is currently developing a committee to review future development. Once this committee is formed the project will meet with the committee to discuss the project.

7. Development Team

Identify the entities anticipated to be involved in implementing the project including lenders, attorneys, accountants, architects, engineers, general contractor, sub-contractors, property managers and consultants. Also, indicate if any entity is certified by the City of Austin as a minority or women-owned business enterprise (MBE/WBE), or if any of the entities are also a non-profit entity.

	Name(s) & Any Comments on Role	MBE (Mark X if Yes)	WBE (Mark X if Yes)	Non-profit (Mark X if Yes)
Owner	Austin Housing Finance Corp. – General Partner	over a sa a		X
	Captuity Investments Three, LP – Limited Partner			
Developer	Madison Interests, Inc.			
Architect	Sage Architecture			
Engineer	Cunningham-Allen			
Construction	To be determined			7 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
Lender				
Other Lenders				
Attorney	Jackson-Walker			
Accountant	Reznick Group			
General	To be determined]	
Contractor				
Consultant (if	Vacri Development/Becca Bruce Dobberfuhl		X	4000
Applicable)				
Property	Capstone Real Estate Services			
Management				
Provider				
Other:				

8. Development Schedule. Complete the grid below. Re-order the steps according to the appropriate sequence for your project, and add in any other significant steps integral to your project's development. If the development schedule differs across several properties to be involved in the project, provide a development schedule for each property.

	DATE(S)
Acquisition and/or holding	Last parcel February 2009
Environmental and/or historic review (AHFC)	Early 2009
Securing HUD/final financing	September 2009
Construction Specifications and Cost estimates	July 2009
Construction Bids	August 2009
Construction Start	October 2009
Anticipated Draws (list all)	Monthly
End Construction	October 2010
Start of Rent-up	March 2010
Completion & Operation	February 2011

9. Accessible and Adaptable Units

Indicate the number of units proposed to be accessible and adaptable for persons with mobility, sight and hearing disabilities as required by RHDA Program Guidelines.

	All ground floor units as needed Units adap	otable for person	ns with mobility disabiliti	.es
	Units accessible for persons with mobility	disabilities		
	Units adaptable for persons with sight and	hearing disabili	ities	
	5 Units accessible for persons with sight and	hearing disabili	ities	
10.	Experience and Qualifications – Rental Develo	pment and Pr	operty Management	
	a. Is this the developer's first housing project?	□ Yes	☑ No	
	b. Completed projects (complete table below):			

COMPLETED PROJECTS									
Address	ress Number New or of Units Rehab		Type of Property (apartments, SF units, etc.)	Year Completed					
Carmel –Phase 2 – Laredo, TX	176 units	N	Market Rate Rental	2008					
Mesquite Terrace – Pharr, TX	106 units	N	Tax Credit Rental	2008					
Sunset Haven – Brownsville, TX	100 units	N	Tax Credit Rental	2008					
Las Canteras- Pharr, TX	100 units	N	Tax Credit Rental	2006					
Sanctuary Lofts – San Marcos, TX	202 units	N/R	Student Housing	2006					
Villa del Sol – Brownsville, TX	200 units	R	Tax Credit Rental	2006					
Windsor Cypress – Houston, TX	208 units	N	HUD 221 d 4 Rental	2003					
Carmel – Phase 1 – Laredo, TX	184 units	N	Market Rate Rentals	2005					
The Boulevard – Austin, TX	120 Units	N	Affordable Condos	2004					
Grove Place – Austin, TX	184 Units	N	Tax Credit Rental	2003					
Clark's Crossing – Laredo, TX	160 Units	N	Tax Credit Rental	2002					
The Hamilton – Laredo, TX	165 units	R	Tax Credit Rental	1999					
Windsor Place – Laredo, TX	176 units	N	HUD 221 d 4 Rental	2000					
Los Encinos – Laredo, TX	46 Units	N	For Sale Townhomes	2000					
Totals	2,127								

c. Describe below the experience/qualifications in completing projects similar in size and scope that indicates the developer's ability and capacity to implement the proposed project. Of particular importance is the developer's experience with successfully completing a) projects that triggered Davis-Bacon and other Federal Labor Standards, b) federally-funded projects involving temporary or permanent relocation of tenants, or c) projects involving the testing and appropriate treatment of Lead-based paint and/or asbestos, if the proposed project will involve any of those three dimensions. If this is the applicant's first project of this type, please provide a detailed description of the experience of the other members of the development team with similar projects.

As evidenced in the table above, Bill Lee of Madison Interests, Inc. has extensive experience in developing multifamily housing projects. In partnership with Bill Skeen of Tekoa Partners, Ltd. they developed more than 2,100 for-sale and for-rent multi-family units in Texas since 1999.

Tekoa Partners, Ltd. was formed in 2001. It is a partnership between Vaquillas Development, Ltd and Madison Interests, Inc. Madison Interests served as the operating partner for Tekoa.

The high quality of Tekoa's work has been recognized numerous times, including:

- 2002 HUD Secretary/National Trust of Historic Preservation, Project of the Year
- 2004 Community Impact Award from Austin Business Journal for Grove Place
- 2004 American Planning Association Award for Project of the Year for Sanctuary Lofts
- 2004 Nominee of Affordable Housing Magazine for Best Special Needs Project for Grove Place
- 2006 Adapt Disability Hero Award

Tekoa Partners/Madison Interests has been involved with all phases of development from conception to construction completion and operation. Of the 14 projects noted above 2 received federal HUD funding and 7 received funding under TDHCA's Low Income Housing Tax Credit Program. All of these projects triggered Federal Labor Standards, including Davis-Bacon reporting and 2 projects dealt with the treatment of lead-based paint and/or asbestos.

Since The Villages on Little Texas will be financed under the HUD 221(d)4 Program, Federal Labor Standards will be required. The project will not require relocation or the treatment of lead-based paint and/or asbestos.

In addition to their extensive background in the successful development of multifamily communities, the principals of Tekoa Partners, Ltd., also have significant experience in the development of subdivisions and planned communities.

From 1977 to 1986, Bill Lee and Bill Skeen held senior management positions with several major national and regional community development companies, including Arvida, Trammell Crow Residential and Barnes/Connally Partnership. During that time they collectively developed over 1,500 single family lots in seven different communities.

From 1986-1994 Bill Lee served as Managing Principal of Madison Interests, a national and international consulting firm specializing in the planning and development of master planned communities and resorts. Madison had clients in over 25 states, throughout the Caribbean and Europe. During this period of time Mr. Lee worked on communities totaling over 200,000 acres with an estimated value in excess of \$3,000,000,000.

From 1986-1994 Bill Skeen served as chief operating officer for the REO subsidiary of a major Texas financial institution where he was responsible for an asset portfolio of over \$1,500,000,000. Included in this portfolio were significant single family land assets including both subdivisions and planned communities. Mr. Skeen successfully liquated the portfolio by master planning, making loans to assist development and assembling groups of homebuilders to purchase developed lot inventory. Major planned communities in the portfolio included the Dominion in San Antonio, 1,800 acre Jourdan Crossings in Travis County, now the site of the Samsung manufacturing plant and the Forest Creek golf course community in Round Rock.

d. Indicate who will provide property management services. Provide documentation to demonstrate the entity's level of experience and track record in operating federally-funded properties of similar size.

Property management The Villages on Little Texas will be provided by Capstone Real Estate Services, Inc.

Since 1969 Capstone Real Estate has been providing property management services in the South and Southwest. Capstone Real Estate Services is a full-service, third party management firm that currently manages more than 50,000 multifamily units. Currently Capstone manages more than 21,000 affordable units. This includes units funded under the LIHTC Program, the RTC/AHDP Affordable Housing Program, Taxexempt Home Programs, Section 8, and Military rent restricted units. Capstone has a compliance department that handles all the required reporting under government programs.

The Villages on Little Texas meets the criteria of a "typical project" that Capstone has managed in the past.

Locally their offices are located at 210 Barton Springs Road, Suite 300, Austin, Texas 78704.

Company Profile for Capstone is attached.

CAPSEONE

REAL ESTATE SERVICES, INC.

"Our mission is to enhance our client's investments and create quality living and working environments."

PROFILE

Capstone Real Estate Services, Inc. is a full-service, third-party management firm presently managing approximately 50,000 multi-family units and 1,000,000 square feet of commercial space. Founded in 1969, Capstone maintains 11 offices and employs over 1,300 people in the field of property management, and our portfolio extends to approximately 1.25 cities. The size of our portfolio ranks us as the 13th overall largest management firm and among the top 5 largest third-party management firms in the nation.

* Austin * Dallas * San Antonio * Corpus Christi * Houston * El Paso * Jacksonville *Phoenix * Nashville *Little Rock *San Diego

Neither Capstone nor its principals owns, buys or sells investment real estate and we have no conflicts with our clients' interests in this regard. This distinguishes us from many competing firms and enables us to provide the personalized service each property deserves, without the distraction that ownership can cause. Consequently, we focus on the client's goals beginning with take-over. Third-party real estate management is the business on which we have built our reputation, and we are committed to providing the highest standards of service and integrity to our clients. In addition, Capstone has no franchise relations or affiliated companies and this makes Capstone compatible with your interests.

PROPERTY MANAGEMENT SERVICES

Pre-Acquisition Due Diligence Services

Interior Walk Through, Market Analysis, Operating Projections, Lease File Review, Exterior Observations

New Development Planning

Project Design Consulting, Marketing Consulting, Lease-up Specialists

Full Service Property Management

Traditional Multifamily Housing, Student Housing, Seniors Housing, Military Housing, Renovation Supervision, Manufactured Home Parks, Homeowners Associations, High/Mid Rises, Office, Retail

NEW CONSTRUCTION

Capstone's apartment new construction management experience is extensive. The firm's new development background includes project design consulting, pre-construction planning, décor consulting, promotion and full lease-up for 125 properties totaling 26,201 units in 55 cities and 7 states since 1994. Our objective is to reach full occupancy and maximize the bottom line in the shortest time possible in accordance with the owner's investment parameters. About 75% of our lease-ups have been completed in 9 months or less and a full 51% have been completed in 6 months or less.

AFFORDABLE

Currently, Capstone manages over 21,000 affordable units. This includes the LIHTC Program, the RTC/AHDP Affordable Housing Program, Tax Exempt, Home Programs, Section 8, Military Rent-Restricted, etc. To better serve its clients, Capstone has a Compliance Department to effectively monitor properties it manages with governmental reporting requirements. We understand the importance of being "in compliance" and the process that accompanies it.

COMMERCIAL

Capstone's Commercial Division approximately 1,000,000 square feet of space. Our experience includes every property type from office retail centers, industrial parks buildings, warehouse facilities 10 downtown buildings, neighborhood centers and malls. Our comprehensive experience in commercial real estate and our commitment to building relationships with clients, tenants, and the brokerage community provides results for our clients' investments.

PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL DEVELOPMENT

The key to quality client service is motivated and well-trained personnel. Our **training department** conducts extensive monthly seminars to each of our regional offices covering:

- Leasing & Marketing
- Fair Housing

- Risk Management
- Accounting Software
- Resident Retention
- Performance Evaluation

Our corporate-wide incentive program, "Accelerating Income Monthly" (AIM), rewards on-site staff for increasing the property's economic occupancy. The program aligns on-site staff, District Manager and Owner objectives into one concise statement; increase property income and value.

ACCREDITATIONS

Accredited Management Organization (AMO®) by the Institute of Real Estate Management (IREM®)

Certified Property Managers (CPM®), Accredited Resident Managers (ARM®)

Certified Apartment Managers (CAM®), Qualified Tax Credit Manager (QTCM®)

Certified Occupancy Specialist (COS®), Housing Credit Certified Professional (HCCP®)

Certified Apartment Maintenance Technician (CAMT®), Public Housing Manager (PHM)

Registered Apartment Manager (RAM), National Apartment Leasing Professional (NALP)

TESTIMONIALS -

"I have been very pleased with the performance of Capstone...The Success and efforts on the part of the management and staff are apparent. I fully intend to continue our relationship."

Doug Bisset, Vice President J.P. Morgan Investment Management

"I want to compliment Capstone for its cooperation in the sale of my listing. The on-site and corporate staff was always enthusiastic and helpful. The professional attitude demonstrated definitely helped the sale occur, even though the purchaser self-manages. I will definitely recommend Capstone to buyers seeking a manager that understands how the bottom line affects the final sales price."

Ellen Muskin, Senior Associate Hendricks & Partners "Fully leased in nine months. Terrific effort, Capstone. No questions, your attention to detail made the difference."

David Saling, Project Manager Campbell-Hogue Associates

"As you know, our building houses our National Neadquarters and a grocery market that reflects our company ideals and culture. It is important to our company that this be maintained. Capstone's professional management attention and care has been highly instrumental in ensuring this goal is achieved and that we project the quality image the public expects. On behalf of our organization, thank you for your contribution to our success,"

Mike Willoughby, Facility Manager Whole Foods Market

FEXECUTEVE OFFICERS

James W Berkey, President
Matthew C. Lutz, Executive Vice President
Hugh A. Cobb, Senior Vice President
Michael Gettman, Vice President

Our experience works for you.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Matthew C. Lutz, Executive Vice President matt.hutz@capstonemanagement.com



210 Barton Springs Road, Suite 300, Austin, Texas 78704 (512) 646-6700 (512) 646-6798 fax www.capstonemanagement.com



Detailed Project Cost Schedule

Net Rentable SF		185,524				Units	240		
Common Area - Clubhouse		5,500							
Common Area - Misc.		13,650	_ (e:	st.)					
Total Gross SF		204,674							
Category		Amount		дин		HFC Loan	Inv. Member		Paid At Closing
Land Costs									
Land Lease	\$	13,334	\$	13,334				\$	13,334
Land Acquisition	_\$	2,000,000	<u></u>		\$	2,000,000		\$	2,000,000
Total Land Costs	\$	2,013,334	\$	13,334	\$	2,000,000	s -	\$	2,013,334
Soft Costs									
Professional Fees					_				
Design Architect	\$	437,500	\$	437,500				\$	437,500
Design Supervision	\$	97,180	\$	97,180					
Interior Designer	\$	15,000			\$	15,000		\$	5,000
Reimburseables	\$	25,000	l		\$	25,000			
Fees Other	<u> </u>	-			ļ		\$ -	\$	*
Total Professional Fees	\$	574,680	\$	534,680	\$	40,000	\$ -	\$	442,500
Predevelopment / Feasibility									
Market Study	\$	7,000	\$	7,000	l			\$	7,000
Initial Appraisal	\$	4,500	\$	4,500				\$	4,500
Final Appraisal	\$	4,500	\$	4,500				\$	4,500
Geotechnical (Soil Test)		24,600					\$ 24,600	ı	24,600
Phase One - HUD		2,400		2,400	1			Į.	2,400
Phase One - AHFC		10,000				10,000			10,000
Survey		19,988		9,973			10,015		10,015
Other		3,500			 	3,500		 	3,500
Total Predevelopment / Fesibility	\$	76,488	\$	28,373	\$	13,500	\$ 34,615	\$	66,515
Closing / Financing / Legal			r				4		
Owner's Title - HUD	\$		\$	42,374	ı			\$	42,374
Owner's Title - Land	\$	10,039	\$	10,039	1			\$	10,039
Owners Legal Costs		135,000	\$	50,000	\$	85,000		\$	135,000
Owner Cost Cert		10,000	Ļ	10,000	L		<u> </u>	1	
Total Closing / Financing / Legal	\$	197,413	\$	112,413	\$	85,000	\$ -	\$	187,413
Loan Fees								1	
Financing Fee	\$	362,566	1	362,566				\$	362,566
Permanent Placement Fee	\$	181,283	1	181,283	l			\$	181,283
Mortgage Insurance	\$	163,155	\$	163,155	l			\$	163,155
HUD Examination Fee	\$	54,385					\$ 54,385	1	54,385
HUD Inspection Fee	\$	90,642	\$	90,642	l			\$	90,642
Organizational		10,000	\$	10,000	<u>Ļ</u>			\$	10,000
Total Loan Fees	\$	862,030	S	807,645	\$	•	\$ 54,385	\$	862,030
Interim Construction Interest		688,875	\$	688,875	\$	•			
Total Soft Costs	\$	2,399,486	\$	2,171,986	\$	138,500	\$ 89,000		

Category		Amount		HUD	AHFC Loan		Inv. Member		Closing	
Marketing Costs										
Start-Up / Advertising										
Lease Up Reserve	\$	100.000			\$	100,000				
Materials / Brochures / Signage		-	ŀ							
Total Marketing Costs	\$.	100,000	\$	-	\$	100,000	\$	-	\$	•
Building Construction Costs										
Demolition	\$	65,250	1		\$	7.099	\$	58,151		
Testing	\$	15,000			\$	15,000				
Contract Amount	•	15,605,480	\$	15,605,480	ľ					
Contractor Other Fees		209,500	5	209,500						
Bond Premium		128,000	\$	128,000						
Contractor Fee		500,000	1				5	500,000	l	
Environmental Clean Up		25,000					5	25,000		
Total Building Construction Costs	S	16,548,230	s	15,942,980	Ś	22,099		583,151		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3	10,346,230	l a	15,942,960	3	22,099	1 3	203,121	3	•
Indirect Construction Costs										
Property Tax	\$	16,000					\$	16,000		
Insurance - Partnership	\$	45,000			\$	45,000			\$	45,000
FF&E	\$	150,000			5	116,250	\$	33,750		
Other Misc. Construction Fees	<u>\$</u>	20,000	L				\$	20,000		20,000
Total Indirect Construction Costs	\$	231,000	\$	-	5	161,250	\$	69,750	\$	65,000
Letters of Credit										
Working Capital	\$	362,566	l		1		\$	362,566	\$	362,566
Initial Operating Deficit	5	518,151	l		\$	518,151				518,151
Total Letters of Credit	\$	880,717	\$	- 15 G	\$	518,151	\$	362,566	\$	880,717
Developer Fees										
Syndication Fee			1		1		\$	_	\$	-
Sponsor Partner Overhead							\$	-	\$	=
Developer Fee	\$	600,000			l		\$	600,000	\$	45,000
Total Developer Fees	<u>\$</u> \$	600,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	600,000	\$	45,000
Total Project Cost	\$	22,772,767	\$	18,128,300	\$	2,940,000	\$	1,704,467	\$	3,004,051
Total Uses	\$	22,772,767	l						l	
Sources	•	22.772.767	\$	18,128,300	\$	2,940,000	\$	1,704,467		
Balance to be Funded	\$	(0)	Ė		H		- -		 	

Α	HFC	as GP - No	Property Taxes	S			
Sources of Funds	****						
Equity							
Equity			5.6%	\$	1,273,000		
Land Acquisition			8.9%		2,000,000		
Construction Funding			4.2%		940,000	_	
Total Equity			18.7%			\$	4,213,000
Debt			81.3%				18,359,000
Total Sources of Funds						\$	22,572,000
Uses of Funds	*****						
Direct Costs							
Land			8.9%	\$	2,000,000		
Construction Costs		-	75.0%		16,928,232		
Total Direct Costs			83.9%			\$	18,928,232
Indirect Costs							
Indirect Construction Costs			2.0%	\$	460,657		
Soft Costs			7.2%		1,622,102		
Marketing			0.2%		50,000		
Construction Interest		-	3.1%		697,509		
Total Indirect Costs			12.5%				2,830,268
Consulting			0.2%				40,500
Developer Fees & Overhead			3.4%				773,000
Total Uses of Funds						\$	22,572,000
Investor Equity Requirement				RD	HA per Unit		
Builder Fee	\$	500,000			58,800.00		
Developer Fee	\$	698,000			00,000.00		
Syndication Fee	\$	75,000					
Equity Investment	*			Inve	stor Returns		
Total Equity	\$ 1	,273,000		IRR			22%
				10Yr.	Cash on Cash		28%

- 12. Funds Proposal Provide the following information to facilitate financial review of the proposed project:
 - a. **Sources and Uses of Funds** Complete Tables A & B below, identifying all sources and uses of funds to implement project and include evidence of funds anticipated (financial statements, letters of commitments, etc.).
 - b. **Leveraging** Complete Table C below. Include evidence of other funds leveraged by AHFC funds to implement the project such as owner equity and commitments from private and/or other public resources.
 - c. Operating Proforma In a format comparable to Table D below, prepare a minimum twenty (20) year financial Operating Proforma which realistically reflects the operation of the project relative to current and anticipated revenues, expenses and debt. The Proforma must indicate the anticipated debt coverage ratio (DCR) calculated as follows: net operating income (NOI)/debt service (DS) = DCR. Refer to Program Guidelines for additional information related to DCR and "Supportive Housing Projects."

TABLE A: SOU	Intended Use of Funds (Predevelopment, Acquisition, Construction, Soft Costs)				
	Term	Interest	Amount	Evidence (Deed	
		Rate		Sales Contract)	
Owner Equity			\$1,273,000		Construction, soft costs, pre- development
Private Financing (List Lenders)					
Other Sources (List Below)					
HUD 221(d)4 Program			\$18,359,000		Construction, soft costs
			***		A
Proposed RHDA Funds			\$2,940,000	weeks	Acquisition/Construction
Totals			\$22,572,000		

(\$22,572,000 includes land acquisition of \$2,000,000)

TABLE B: USES O	F FUNDS SU	MMARY
	Total Cost	Cost/Unit
Predevelopment	in soft costs	\$
Acquisition	\$2,000,000	\$8,333
Hard Costs	\$16,928,232	\$70,534
Soft & Carrying Costs	\$2,830,268	\$11,793
Other Costs (marketing, developer Fees, consulting	\$813,500	\$3,390
Total Project Costs	\$22,572,000	\$94,050

TABLE C: LEVE	RAGE SUMMARY	
TOTAL RHDA FUNDS	\$2,940,000	
TOTAL OTHER FUNDS	\$19,632,000	
LEVERAGE (%)	13.0%	

Table D Operating Proforma

See attached 20-yr Operating Proforma.

	AHFC as GP - No Property Taxes
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Escalators	768																
Expense	**																
		*	00000	F 488 3	YEARA	YEARS	YEAR	YEAR ?	YEAR 8	YEARS	YEAR 10		- 1	ļ	YEAR 14	1	YEAR 16
Estimated Gross income	,	2	\$ 2,298,836 \$	2,367,801 \$	2,438,838 \$	00	\$ 2.587,361 \$	2,664,981	\$ 2,744,931 \$		2,912,097		3,089,444 \$	5 3,182,127 \$	232,939	5 3,375,918 3	247,125
Securitary Income	•	158,620		8	173,328	178,528	183 884	188,431	7 040 014	1028 214 \$	9.119.080	\$ 3212 632 5	\$ 3,309,011 \$	5		177	3,724,321
Potential Grass Income			5 Z.452,215 3	2,555,081 e	471 847		22.3691	(133,249)		(141,364)	(145,805)	(149,973)	(154,472)	(159,106)	(163,880)	(168,796)	(173,860)
Vacancy & Collection Loss	# 3€ a us	(7.931)	(9,169)	(8,414)	(8,895)	(8,926)	(9,194)	(9,470)	(9,754)	(10,047)	(10,348)	(10,659)	(10,978)	(11,309)	(11.647)	(11.996)	(12,356)
Sental Concertions		0	o	О	0	-+	o	0	0	0	0 000 0	2 DE 2 DOO	2 143 58	3 737 847 \$	9 335 06	3 435 053 \$	3,539,105
Effective Gross Income		\$ 2,270,875 \$	2,339,104		\$ 2,481,556 \$	2,558,002	\$ 2.632.682 \$	\$ 2,711,663 \$	\$ 2,793,043 \$	2,876,803 5	7,803,107			7,431,927	200,000	·	
Operating Expenses:		15 103	15.707	16,335	16,989	17.668	18,375	19,110	19,875	20,689	21,496	22.356	23,250	24,190	25,148	28,154	27,200
General & Administrative			! !	17,500	19.025	18,568	19,123	19,696	20,287	20,896	21,523	22,168	22,834	23,518	132 347	137.641	143,148
Menenement		79,484	RZ,683	85,970	69,409	92,985	36.705	100,573	104,598	108,790	135.131	873.87B	492.825	512,330	532.924	554,136	576,302
Payoff & Payoff tax		320,000	332,600	348,112	359,956	374,355	389,329	404,902	4.21,USB	45. 194 P. 194 P	200.00	82.959	96.678	100,545	104,567	108,749	113,089
Ropairs & Maintenance		62,900	65,312	67,924	70.547	65,45	48 + 33	70.858	73.692	76.640	79,705	92,834	86,209	88,659	93,244	96,974	100,853
Children		55,000	41.600	43.264	44,995	46.794	48,666	50,613	52,837	54,743	56,932	59,210	61,578	7 2 3	98,603	69,267	72,039
		10,000	10,400	10.616	11,249	11,699	12,187	12,653	13,159	13,686	14,233	14,802	15,380	16,010	132 684	122,541	137,694
Reducement Beserves		73,890	78,627	79,692	92,690	96,195	89.643	93,229	96,958	100,836	104,870	108,004 50 210	61 578	64 041	66.603	69,267	72,038
Narketing		40,000	41,600	43.264	44,995	46,794	\$89 R\$	34 379	12,637	598	35.241	36.650	38.118	39,641		42.876	44,591
Supportive Services		25,000	25,750	28,780	27,851	26.300	5 897 335	823.038	8 970,162	1,009,766 \$	1,048,907	-	\$ 1,134,053 \$	1,179,186	\$ 1,228,119 \$	\$ 1,274,921 \$	
Total Operating Expenses		3 (22,06/	130, rul 3	077	1-	+~	\$ 1 795 347	<u></u>	\$ 1.822.851		1,914,200		\$ 2,009,509 \$	2,068,681		v	2,212,437
Net Operating Income				9 6	-		•	1361.069	1.361.069	1,361,069	1,361,069	1.361,069	1,381,069	1,361,069	1,361,069	ŀ	1,361,069
Debt Service		0 197 849	2 27.334	249.981	290.506 \$	331,932	\$ 374,278	\$ 417,558	\$ 461,782	506.96B S	553,131	\$ 600,283	5 648,439 \$	697,612 5	747,815 \$	799,053	100 001
		4 44	4.47	1 18	121	1.24	1.27	1.31	¥.	1,37	1,41	144	1.48	1,51	1.55	1.39	707
Debt Coverage Katta							1	000	i	9 070 070 0	3 604 307	4 201 590	5 4 850 029 \$	\$ 5.547.641 \$	6,295,457	\$ 7,094,520 \$	\$ 7,945,897
Cumulative Cash Flow		\$ 197,839	\$ 415,174 \$		\$ 855,659 \$					3,048,170	434	477£		25 E S	%65 28		67%
Cash on Cash Return		15%	18%	20%	23%	26%	%6Z	8,55	8,00	# 25 c	200	752.0	79.56	25	9.3%	%9.6	9.8%
Return on Total Assets		6.8%	7.0%	7.1%	7.3%	7.5%	7.7%	×6.	4.3.4	92.0	800	2					
<u>irvestor Equity</u> \$ (1,273,000)	{apa																
Control of the Contro	32%																
10 yr. Aver. Cash on Cash Return	28%																
20 yr. Aver. Lassi oil Lassi rationi		2 839	\$ 385 755 \$	249.981	\$ 290,505 \$	331,932	\$ 374,278	\$ 417,558	\$ 481,782	\$ 508,998 \$	553,131	\$ 600,283	\$ 648,439 \$	\$ 697,612 \$	5 747,916 \$	799,063 \$	1387
Arailadie Ivi Cistributher	_	-															
Partnership Distribution AHEC	4		30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30% 30%	30%
Limited Patriers Sharing Ratio	9609	20%	25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26	50% 20%	20%	50%	20%	30%	30%	35%	35%	1 % 2 % 2 % 2 %	35%	36%	35%	35%	%5€
General Perbers Shanng Kabo Lease up Income	460		3 4	f	: :			101.101	490 626	\$ 160.081 \$		4 190 095		\$ 209.284 \$	\$ 224,346	239,719 \$	255,410
AHFC	\$ 138,259	\$ 56,352	\$ 68,201 \$	74,994	\$ 187,151 \$	99,590 185,966	\$ 117,293	\$ 125,267	\$ 138,535 \$ 138,535	162,091 \$	165,939		194,532		\$ 224,345	239,719 \$	\$ 255,410
Limited Partners - A. Limited Partners - B	\$ 92,173	9 69		46,956		56,386	\$ 74,858	\$ 148,145	\$ 161,624			\$ 210,099	226,954		281/38 2		
Cumulative Distributions			183.064	117 000	740 b74	524.537	636,820	762.087	900,622	1,052,712	1,218,651	1,398,738	1,593,268		2 026 898		2,522,028
Limited Partners - A	\$ 230,432		\$ 438,019 \$	553,009	\$ 708,262 \$	874,228	\$ 424,547		\$ 1,325,168 \$ 732,315	\$ 1,477,259 \$	1,643,198	\$ 1,923,283 \$ 1,313,449	\$ 2,017,815 \$	\$ 2,227,099 \$ 1,784,587	\$ 2,046,302	\$ 2,325,974 \$	2,623,953
Littled T munit * . o		•															

YEAR 20	3,375,919	238,927	3,515,846	(168,796)	(11,895)	0	3,435,053		26 54	28,925	137,641	554 136	108,749	98,974	69,267	17,317	127.590	69,267	42.B76	1.278.895	2,156,158	1,361,069	795,086	1.58	7.090.545	,400
•	u		۳,				s													41	s		s		•	,
YEAR 19	5 3,789,828	270,040	998'690'> \$	(188,981)	(13,502)	0	\$ 3,868,183	-	30,596	28,082	161,020	648,261	127,221	113,448	81,033	20,258	149,262	91,033	50,158	\$ 1.490.371	\$ 2,375,812	1,361,069	\$ 1014.743	1,75	\$10.824.566	
YEAR 18	\$ 3,688,958	262,175	\$ 3,951,132	(184,44B)	(13,109)	0	\$ 3,753,576		29.419	27,264	154.827	623,328	122,328	109,082	77,916	18,479	143,521	77,918	48,229	\$ 1,433,311	\$ 2,320,285	1,361,069	\$ 959,195	1.70	\$ 5 809 824	
YEAR 17	\$ 3,581,512	254,539	\$ 3,836,051	(179,076)	(12,727)	Ф	\$ 3,644,248		28 288	26,470	148,872	599,354	117,623	104,887	74,819	18.730	139,001	74.919	46,374	\$ 1.378.438	\$ 2,265,910	1.351,058	\$ 894,741	1.56	8.8 850 878	

7,090,545 62% 9.6%	Cash from Sale 16,202,261
•	Car
\$10,824,566 B0% 10,6%	
9,609,824 75% 10.3%	
40	
\$ 8,850,828 71% 10,0%	

959,195 \$ 1,014,743 \$ 14,947,261	30% 30% 35%	4,860,678 4,860,678 5,870,791	6.887,574 7.312,121 7,717,093
679		60 th 10	49 49
1,014,743	30% 36% 35%	304,423 304,423 355,160	3,385,629 3,910,176 3,631,491
₩9		40 W W	47 49
959,195	30% 30% 35%	287,759 287,759 335,718	3,081,206 3,505,753 3,276,331
44		40 40 40	es es
904,741 \$	30% 30% 35%	271,422 271,422 316,659	2,793,448 3,217,894 2,940,612
**		444	44 40

V.
15.00
sioner.
انتظام
710001
* MEX.
"Market
1
20000
تقزفم
enta/
piner:
20500
P20151
P. 267
WO.
T.
A PROPERTY.
HEDINAL STREET
10000 10000

ACCU.
form:

03,14,09

Net Rentable SF	17,850	2,380	1,190	16,250	3,750	10,000	42,900	30,852	1,878	7,512	13,146	38,052	•	j	•	t	r	•	185,760	147,782	
SF / Unit	595	595	595	625	625	625	715	857	838	636	626	1057	0	O	O	O	0	0	774	18	
Units	30	4	2	26	φ	16	90	38	2	æ	14	36	Đ	O	0	0	0	O	240	90	
Plan	A1	A1 - SMART - 30%	A1 - SMART - 50%	Α2	A2- SMART - 30%	A2- SMART - 50%	A3	A4	91	B1 - SMART -30%	B1 - SMART - 50%	B2	0	0	Ö	0	0	0		Low and Very Low	Income Units

Little Texas Apartments - Estimated Property Taxes

Plan	Rent		Rent Tot. Rent / Mo. Rent / SF	Rent / SF			Af	Affordable	Market Rate	Rate
60	730	69	21,900	21,900 \$ 1,23	(A)	\$ 123			69	262,800
69	A1-S-30% \$ 292	49	1,168	1,168 \$ 0.49			69	14,016		
69	A1-S-50% \$ 558	6/7	1,116	1,116 \$ 0.94	€	0.94	64	13,392		
A2	750		19,500	1.20	€	\$ 1.20			t s	234,000
49	A2-S-30% \$ 292	69	1,752	1,752 \$ 0.47			ь	21,024	ω	z
A2-5 - 50% \$	% \$ 558 %	60	8,928	\$ 0.89	₩	0.89	ы	107,136	6.	•
	800		48,000	1.12	(/)	1.12			69	576,000
	895		32,220	1.04	69	1.04			w	386,640
	970		1,940	1.03	₩	1.03			65	23,280
B1-S - 30%	343		2,744	0.37			ы	32,928	6/3	•
B1-S - 50%	663		9,282	0.71	6÷	\$ 0.71	69	111,384	69	,
	1,040		37,440	0.98	69	0.98			s	449,290
છ	775 \$	69	185,990	85,990 \$ 1,00			es,	299 880	5	932,000

Annual Operating Expenses

03.14.09

Net Rentable SF	185,760	Units		240			774			
Common Area - Clubhouse	5,500	O/IIIO		2.40			.,4			
Common Area - Misc.	13,650 (est.)									
Total Gross SF	204,910									
	-,-			Amount	P	er Unit	Pe	er NSF	Pe	r GSF
General & Administrative E	xpenses									
Accounting			\$	6,000	\$	25	\$	0.03	\$	0.03
Legal Fees				3		0		0.00		0.00
Leased Equipment				-		•		-		-
Postage & Office Supplies				1,800		8	ł	0.01		0.01
Telephone				2,400		10		0.01		0.01
Other Office Expenses				2,400	Ì	10		0,01		0.01
Security					ŀ	-				-
Miscellaneous:	5-4			2,500	_	10	<u> </u>	0.01	<u> </u>	0.01
Total General & Admin	istrative Expenses:		\$	15,103	\$	63	\$	0.08	\$	0.07
Management Fees:	3.50% of Effective Gross Income		\$	79,484	\$	331	\$	0.43	\$	0.39
Payroli, Payroli Tax & Emp	loyee Benefits									
Management			\$	170,000	\$	708	\$	0.92	\$	0.83
Maintenance				80,000		333		0.43		0.39
Other Taxes and Benefits:	28%			70,000		292		0.38	<u></u>	0.34
Total Payroll, Payroll 1	ax & Employee Benefits:		\$	320,000	\$	1,333	\$	1.72	\$	1.56
Repairs & Maintenance										
Elevator			\$	-	\$	*	\$	**	\$	_
Exterminating			•	1,800	Ť	8	*	0	*	0
Grounds				18,000		75		0.10		0.09
Make-Ready				18,000		75		0.10		0.09
Repairs				18,000		75		0.10		0.09
Pool				2,000		8		0.01		0.01
Miscellaneous:				5,000	İ	21	l	0.03		0.02
Other:								-		-
Total Repairs & Mainte	enance:		\$	62,800	\$	262	\$	0.34	\$	0.31
Utilities										
Electric			\$	18,000	\$	75	 \$	0.10	\$	0.09
Natural Gas			•	2,000	Ť	8	*	0.01	•	0.01
Garbage / Trash				18,000		75		0.10		0.09
Water & Sewer				18,000		75	•	0.10		0.09
Cable TV						-		-		-
Other: Service Fee		_		-				-		-
Total Utilities:			\$	56,000	\$	233	\$	0.30	\$	0.27
Annual Property Insurance	;		\$	40,000	\$	278	\$	0.22	\$	0.20
Supportive Service Fee			\$	25,000	\$	174	\$	0.13	\$	0.12
Marketing Expenses:			\$	40,000	\$	167	\$	0.22	\$	0.20
Reserve for Replacements:	: Reserves per unit: \$ 307		\$	73,680	\$	307	\$	0.40	\$	0.36
Total Operating Expenses	pre-taxes		\$	712,067	\$	2,967	\$	3.83	\$	3.48
Land lease			\$	10,000	\$	42	\$	0.05	\$	0.05
Total Annual Operating Exp	enses		\$	722,067		3,009		3.89		3.52
a harman a harman		=	_				<u> </u>			

	AHFC as GP	- No Prop	erty Taxes		
Return on Investme					
	NOI		1,548,908		0.000/
	Total Cost	=	22,572,000	100m	6.86%
Cash on Cash Retu	ırn:				
V 4:	Net Cash Flow	:: :	187,839	=	14.76%
Year 1:	Investor Cash		1,273,000		11.7570
	Net Cash Flow		249,981		
Year 3:	Investor Cash		1,273,000	=	19.64%
Year 5:	Net Cash Flow		331,932	=	26.07%
	Investor Cash		1,273,000		
Operating Expense	e Ratio:				
3 1					
	Operating Expenses	. =	722,067	=	0.32
	Effective Gross Income		2,270,975		
Break-Even Cash F	Flow Ratio (Maximum LTV):				
	Break-Even Income	- =	2,083,136	=	87%
	Potential Gross Income		2,390,500		
Property Value:					
			. 510.000		
	Net Operating Income	- =	1,548,908 6.75%	=	\$ 22,946,783
	Cap Rate		0.7078		
Value Creation:					
			¢ 22.046.792		
	Property Value - Total Project Costs	=	\$ 22,946,783 - \$ 22,572,000	=	\$ 374,783
	- Total Froject Costs				
Ownership			<u> </u>		
	AHFC		35%		
	Limited Partners		65%		

14. Description of Supportive Services

Indicate whether supportive services will be provided to residents. If supportive services are to be provided to residents, provide a description of the services in one (1) page or less that includes the following information:

- a. A description of the supportive services to be provided to residents and/or clients.
- b. The number and types of clients expected to be served annually.
- c. The use of the proposed project relative to the services provided.
- d. If services are not provided by the developer of the project, a description of the organization providing the services and a memorandum of understanding outlining the relationship between the developer and service provider.

The project is working with various local nonprofits to provide supportive services to the residents of The Villages on Little Texas. To date the following partnerships have been formed for supportive services.

Daycare

Little Dudes Learning Centers is located next to the project and works closely with the Texas Workforce Commission to offer affordable daycare to working low-income families. They provide daycare services for children 12 months to 12 years as well as offer an afterschool program and summer camp opportunities. A letter is attached.

Financial Literacy and Homebuyer Education

The project has forged a partnership with Frameworks Community Development Corporation to provide financial literacy and homebuyer education to the residents. Frameworks Community Development Corporation, Inc. (Frameworks CDC) is a nonprofit HUD-approved community development corporation serving the greater Central Texas area. One of its chief strategies for increasing homeownership among low-to moderate income families was to provide financial literacy education and homeownership education to potential homeowners to overcome barriers to obtaining affordable and safe housing. Frameworks CDC has counseled and educated more than 750 families since June, 2005.

Homebuyer Education will include:

- · How to avoid predatory lenders
- How to choose a mortgage
- Searching for a home
- Understanding interest rates
- + Pre-qualification
- Understanding credit reports
- Principle, Interest, Taxes and Insurance
- Closing Costs
- Property inspections
- Down payment and Money Management
- Home maintenance and improvement

Trained counselors provide One-on One counseling to discuss affordability, credit worthiness with potential homebuyers and help identify the best mortgage product.

Frameworks works extensively with the community to provide financial literacy, credit education, home-buyer education, mortgage preparation and other community-building services in order to help people understand how to manage their money, maintain and/or develop healthy credit and position themselves for sustainable homeownership. Frameworks also conducts financial seminars targeted to not only high school students, but also college students and adults. The goal of the classes is to prepare participants for healthy money management and to successfully engage in credit-related transactions.

A letter from Frameworks CDC is attached.

It is anticipated that 35% of the residents of in GO Bond assisted units at Villages at Little Texas will benefit from the financial literary and homebuyer education classes and 30% will benefit from the daycare services.

15. Experience and Qualifications (Supportive Services)

If supportive services will not be provided to the residents, you need not complete Questions 15. If supportive services will be provided, describe the developer's experience and qualifications in providing the services described in Question 14. Provide resumes of key personnel actively involved in the delivery of services. Resumes should include information about certifications, licenses, years of experience and education.

Supportive services will be provided by local nonprofits and other service providers who are chosen for their extensive experience in the area of service they are providing. The coordination of services for the project is a joint effort between Bill Lee of Madison Interests and Becca Bruce Dobberfuhl of Vacri Development. As the project goes through the development process additional services will be added as more partnerships are forged.

Bill Lee and Becca Dobberfuhl both have extensive experience in finding appropriate service providers for multifamily rental communities. Mr. Lee developed more than 2,100 for-sale and for-rent multi-family units in Texas since 1999. On of these projects, Grove Place is Austin, Texas and was a 2004 Nominee of Affordable Housing Magazine for Best Special Needs Project. This community features recreational facilities and an array of educational services, including classes in financial literacy and English as a Second Language. Ms. Dobberfuhl has worked with several nonprofits including Mary Lee Foundation and Austin Travis County MHMR both which serve people with disabilities and require extensive support services to complement the affordable housing units. Services for these clients include employment opportunities, job-training, computer classes, case management, help with medications, and life skills training.

16. Financial Information (Supportive Services)

If supportive services will not be provided to the residents, you need not complete Questions 16. If supportive services will be provided, the developer must demonstrate financial capacity to provide support services and/or operate a supportive services program by providing the following information:

a. Sources of Funds - Identify sources and amounts of funds that will be utilized to provide services to the proposed project.

The supportive services mentioned above are to be funded using excess cash flow generated by the project. These services will also be coordinated with other non-profits in the Austin area. The project will offer supportive services on site to be delivered/ coordinated with 3rd parties including other non-profit organizations.

b. Budget - Include a supportive services budget which reflects current and anticipated funding and expenses associated with the provision of services for three (3) years.

Since the specific services to be provided will be determined once the needs of the community are assessed it is too early to provide a detailed budget. It is anticipated that at least \$25,000 in funding will be provided from the operating budget for supportive services along with contributions for other service providers.

17. Accounting Requirements Applicable to all Applications

By submitting this application, the applicant/developer agrees to comply with all reporting, record keeping and on-going monitoring requirements applicable to GO Bond financing of the proposed project.

Captuity Investments and Madison Interests will comply with all reporting, record keeping, and on-going monitoring requirements applicable to GO bond financing of the proposed project.

18. Anti-Lobbying Agreement - By submitting this application, the applicant/developer, its employees, representatives, or agents agree not to discuss the contents of the applicant/developer's application with any member of the AHFC Board of Directors or their staff, Housing Bond Review Committee (HBRC) or staff of NHCD/AHFC (other than the designated Single Point of Contact) prior to HBRC's meeting to review all applications submitted.

Captuity Investments and Madison Interests and its employees, representatives, or agents agree not to discuss the contents of the applicant/developer's application with any member of the Housing Bond Review Committee (HBRC) or staff of NHCD/AHFC (other than the designated Single Point of Contact) prior to HBRC's meeting to review all applications submitted.