

PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY MEMO

	DATE	4/24/2018	
	FROM	Tom Grant, P.E., PTOE – Kimley-Horn	
		Sebastian Puente – Cultural Strategies	
	SUBJECT	Manchaca Road PER – Public Input Summary	

SUMMARY This memo summarizes all input received from the Pop-In Meeting on November 14, 2017, the 1st Public Involvement (PI) Meeting on December 5, 2017, the stakeholder meeting on December 6, 2017, and the online survey that was open from December 5, 2017 through February 5, 2018. Over 50 attendees were at the 1st PI meeting, 6 businesses were at the stakeholder meeting the following day, and 581 surveys were filled out (with 2 in Spanish).

COMMUNICATIONS PLAN OVERVIEW

The Corridor Mobility Office and the communications team, with whom it has partnered for the development of the Manchaca Road Corridor Mobility Plan, have been committed to an open, inclusive, and proactive public engagement process that met the goals outlined in the Communications and Community Outreach Plan (CCOP). Implemented in accordance with the City of Austin's Public Participation Principles, the CCOP provides guidance on how the Corridor Mobility Office and its communications team engages the community during the different phases of the project. It identifies the goals and objectives as well as the proposed tools, resources, and timeline to be used in informing the public and obtaining stakeholder input during the development of the plan.

GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

The goal of the Manchaca Road Corridor Mobility Plan is to enhance mobility, safety, and connectivity for everyone – whether you drive, walk, bike or take transit. The plan will incorporate the following elements:

- Recommendations for short- and long-term improvements for the corridor that address all modes of transportation, including vehicles, transit, bicycles, and pedestrians.
- Conceptual-level cost estimates for construction or implementation of the recommended improvements.
- An implementation schedule based on a prioritization methodology that will be determined in conjunction with City staff.
- Health Impact Assessment recommendations that provide practical, specific strategies in conjunction with the corridor PER that maximize positive health impact.

PURPOSE AND PLANNED PROCESS

To support the development of the plan, the communications team implemented a community outreach process that informed stakeholders within and around the study area and provided them with opportunities to document their comments and desired improvements for the Manchaca Road corridor.



To achieve the community outreach goals for the first phase of the project, the communications team:

- Provided several opportunities for public participation and comments. Opportunities included an online and paper survey, comment submission forms, one public open house meeting, a small stakeholder meeting, one pop-in meeting, and an online comment mapping tool. The public events were hosted at different times to provide options for participants with varying schedules to participate.
- Connected with hard-to-reach stakeholders to help inform and engage their personal networks. To effectively reach Spanishspeaking residents, all materials and communication efforts used to promote the public meeting were translate to Spanish and used by outreach team members in one-on-one discussions with business owners and churches along the corridor. Invitations to participate were also made to stakeholder groups by phone and email encouraging them to share copies of materials with their networks. Additional outreach efforts translated social media posts in Facebook and Twitter to Spanish promoting links to meetings and the online survey.

PARTICIPANTS

An essential element in achieving the CCOP goals was identifying key stakeholders from diverse backgrounds. The communications team worked with the Corridor Program Office staff to build an outreach database that included the following stakeholder groups:

- Existing stakeholders Neighborhood, civic, professional, religious, school, and community organizations currently using the Manchaca Road corridor and connecting adjacent facilities for recreational, work, school, and living purposes.
- Under-represented Stakeholders Arts and cultural groups, minority populations, homeless or physically impaired advocates, and other community-based and multicultural organizations.
- Influencers and leaders Elected officials, business and civic leaders for ongoing communication, updates, and surveys.

PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT APPROACH AND VALUES

Inclusive and Diverse: The communications team has encouraged participation from people with a variety of demographic, socioeconomic, education, and other identifying characteristics among the historically underserved and underrepresented communities along the Manchaca Road corridor. The community outreach process recognizes that individuals and groups bring varied experiences and challenges to participation, such as conflicts in schedule. Therefore, the communications team provided several opportunities for public participation and comments. The team's efforts to also accommodate the needs of diverse stakeholders included the translation of postcards and flyers, invitations, surveys, and other materials into Spanish. Spanish-language interpretation service was also provided at the public open house and pop-in meeting.

COMMUNITY OUTREACH PROCESS

POSTCARD DELIVERY

Over 8,400 postcards were designed, printed and delivered via the United States Postal Service during the week of November 13, 2017 to addresses and neighborhoods on or adjacent to Manchaca Road. These 6.5" x 9" postcards provided recipients with details on the project, the time and location of the 1st Public Involvement meeting, and links to the online survey, in English and Spanish.





DIGITAL AND SOCIAL MEDIA OUTREACH

The communications team worked with the Corridor Program Office to draft 15 Facebook posts in English and Spanish along with calendar and event listings for over 50 Nextdoor communities adjacent to the study area. Event and survey posts within Facebook were boosted from November 2017 to January 2018 to reach stakeholders living or traveling through the corridor.

Description	Number of People Reached
Facebook Post (Boosted)	5,611
Nextdoor Postings (3 postings – Pop-In, 1 st PI Meeting, Survey)	11,530

SURVEY IMPLEMENTATION

The print and digital survey was a principal tool used to gather public comments, concerns and desired changes for the corridor. Print copies were made available during all public events. The digital survey was shared electronically through Facebook, Twitter, Nextdoor, and the project email list starting in late November 2017 through February 5, 2018. The City received 581 completed surveys total.

Description	Completions
Print and Online Project Survey	579
Print and Online Project Survey - Spanish	2

CORRIDOR OUTREACH

The communications team visited businesses along the corridor in mid-November to distribute public meeting notices, request their permission to receive email correspondence, and identify potential participants for a small stakeholder meeting. On November 15, 2017, the communications team made a short presentation to the South Austin Business Association meeting at Casa Garcia's to approximately 40 businesses. Overall, the team reached more than 140 businesses during a two-week period.

Description	Number of Businesses reached
Corridor Outreach – Door-to-door and Presentations	140+

POP-IN MEETING AT SPROUTS MARKET

The communications team hosted a pop-in meeting and information table at Sprouts Market on November 14, 2017 to inform English and Spanish-speaking retail guests and passers-by of the project, to solicit their input by completing a survey, and to encourage attendance at the public open house. The Corridor Program Office staff conversed with 50 individuals, 27 of which also completed a survey at that time.

Description	Number of Residents Reached
Pop-in Meeting	50

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT MEETING AT CROCKETT HIGH SCHOOL

The first Public Involvement Meeting was hosted at the Crockett High School cafeteria on December 5, 2017. Attended by over 50 residents, the event provided exhibits on the Corridor Mobility Program, existing conditions on Manchaca Road, Capital Metro transit service throughout the City of Austin, and large-scale roll plot images of the study area for participants to write comments on. A separate computer station encouraged attendees to complete the project questionnaire in English or Spanish or submit comments in writing. Spanish-language assistance was available.

Description	Number of Residents Attended
Public Open House	50+





STAKEHOLDER MEETING AT AUSTIN COMMUNITY COLLEGE SOUTH AUSTIN CAMPUS

Fifty organizations and businesses along the corridor received invitations by email and phone to participate in a stakeholder presentation and discussion held at the Austin Community College (ACC) South Austin Campus. The list included AISD Principals, church leaders, library managers, real estate developers and many other retail business owners and managers. Six participants representing two faith-based groups, a library, the ACC campus, and a home builder engaged in discussion with the project team.

Description	Number of Stakeholder Attendees
Stakeholder Meeting	6

SURVEY OBSERVATIONS

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

The demographic information of the 581 respondents are shown in the following two (2) figures:



Race or Ethnic Background



RESPONDENT TRAVEL BEHAVIOR

The survey respondents answered questions regarding their travel behavior as summarized in the charts below. The total number of respondents vary for each question because respondents could opt out of answering a question. The respondents were nearly evenly split between travelers who commute through or to places along the Manchaca Road corridor and people who reside along the corridor. Seventy-six percent of respondents use the corridor at least five (5) days per week, suggesting that they represent a weekday traveler or resident. In addition, several respondents commented that they use a wheelchair or have a disability. The two (2) maps on the following pages display where the respondents live and work by zip code.





How many days per week do you travel along Manchaca Road?













TOPICS OF INTEREST TO RESPONDENTS

On the survey, respondents were instructed to pick their top three concerns pertaining to the Manchaca Road corridor from a list of six topics. The ranking of these topics are as follows:

- 1. Managing Congestion (76% of respondents)
- 2. Accessibility to and from destinations / neighborhoods (55% of respondents)
- 3. Safer environment for walking (44% of respondents)
- 4. Attractive public spaces (34% of respondents)
- 5. Cycling-supportive environment (32% of respondents)
- 6. Transit service improvement (31% of respondents)

COMMENTS RECEIVED

Participants provided comments on a variety of topics. The comments have been categorized by common themes in the responses. Comments that were received multiple times and are illustrative of common survey answers are shown in the sections below.

Safety

- Sidewalks don't feel safe
- Rear-end accidents
- Illegal red-light runners and u-turners (need enforcement)
- Homeless / panhandling under Ben White Blvd interchange
- Rights on Red not yielding to peds / wheelchairs
- Speed limit is too high
- Walking across bridge is tough (narrow sidewalks)
- Inadequate street lighting
- Need safer intersections
- Consolidate driveways
- Need access management median for all the unsafe turning behavior

Pedestrians

- Better/more crossings for pedestrians (especially at schools / transit stops)
- More "crossing lights" for pedestrians (between Slaughter Lane and William Cannon Drive)
- Maintenance of sidewalks
- Need separation from road





Bicycle

- This corridor is unsafe and shouldn't be used by bikes use other streets
- Bike parking at bus stops and destinations would help
- Bicycle users aren't safe, cause lots of problems
- Make a shared use path with "lanes" off the road
- Critical connection from Jones Road to Lansing Drive (Williamson Creek bridge) not safe



Transit

- Improve pedestrian crossings near bus stops
- Why not a Metrorapid Bus? Crockett High School, ACC, and Library all at Stassney
- Buses block outside lanes, need pullouts
- #3 Bus doesn't run frequently and was shortened to not go as far south
- No transit south of Slaughter Lane is a problem
- Improve sidewalks surrounding bus stops





Motorized Vehicle Users

- Turning option improvement
- Signal timing improvements
- Capacity improvements at intersections and center turn lane along the route
- Westgate is the relief route a lot of people use as an alternate
- Don't remove vehicle lanes on Manchaca (especially for bikes)
- Lack of turning lanes
- Improve business access
- Capacity south of Ravenscroft is insufficient for new developments
- Longer times to cross streets at traffic signals
- Trains east of Manchaca cause backups onto Manchaca
- Traffic patterns around Manchaca Elementary are a problem
- Old Manchaca is a bypass to Slaughter intersection northbound / southbound
- Need signal at Moontower Saloon unsafe to exit at night





APPENDIX

Comments received at the 1st Public Involvement Meeting and at the Stakeholder Meeting the following day on aerial roll plots have been categorized by corridor objective and are included as an attachment to this document. Comments are organized from the north end to the south end of Manchaca Road with location information included.

	Manchaca Road Corridor Mobility Plan				
	Manchaca Road Corridor Mobility Plan 1st Public Open House and Stakeholder Meeting - December 5th, 2017				
16	Ist Public Open House and stakeholder Meeting - December Stil, 2017				
	Roll Plot Comments:				
	Intersection Improvements				
Co	mment	Location			
1	Please maintain center turn lane	S Lamar North of Manchaca Rd			
2	Circulation needs to be better communicated	S Lamar / Manchaca Rd/ Barton Skyway			
3	Driveways in this area generate lots of turns	Manchaca between Lightsey Rd & Glen Allen			
4	Add light or other crossing	near Glen Allen			
5	Time lights for 25 mph speed	S Lamar to Ben White			
6	Southbound stacking is bad in PM (another added "and some other times too")	at Manchaca / Ben White EB Frontage			
7	Access to Piano Co (coffee shop?) is dangerous!	at Fort View Rd on west side of road			
8	Left into Dan's hamburgers = death trap	between Fort View and Ben White			
9	Long turn waits	NB at Redd St and Ben White			
10	School speed zones not specified	on Cimarron Trl			
11	Left turns limit mobility	Ben White to Stassney			
12	Left turn nightmare!	Frontier Trl and Roundup Trl			
13	Westbound Jones Rd at Manchaca Rd needs a better view of NB Manchaca Rd traffic (sight distance issues)	Jones Rd intersection			
14	Left turn lane to Jones Rd (NB)	Jones Rd intersection			
15	Southbound left does not work and is dangerous because of the hill south of Jones	Jones Rd intersection			
16	Short/no left turn signals is <u>very dangerous</u> . Many crashes every year. Needs a longer turn light even during non-peak hours	Jones Rd intersection			
	non-peak nours				
17	Move ped light to Southern Oaks intersection, causes traffic to back up and block visibility at current location	Inverness Blvd			
18	Add traffic signal exiting Crocket Center, Quack's Bakery (incoming) will cause traffic issues again	Inverness Blvd			
19	Death trap heading left into library when traveling North	Manchaca Rd Library			
20	Significant southbound stacking north of Stassney Ln	North of Stassney Ln			
21	Turning into library is difficult, especially left turn northbound and left out of library	Manchaca Rd Library			
22	Design for left turn northbound into library	Manchaca Rd Library			
23	Left turn into library	Manchaca Rd Library			
24	Heading south, people trying to turn east onto Stassney are backed up for miles (Multiple lights)	Stassney Ln			
25	Exit from Crockett parking lot is too close to light and rush hour backup (another: "Agreed!")	South of Stassney Ln E side Manchaca Rd			
26	Very difficult to get out of the parking lot for students and staff (another: 'Agreed!")	Crockett High School, E side Manchaca Rd			
27	Left turn lane to Garrison Park is hard without lane	Garrison Park			
28	Berkeley used for cut through traffic. Light cycle long because of offset intersection	Berkeley Ave			
29	This needs to be looked at. The offset is a challege / investigate options	Berkeley Ave			
30	Bad congestion, travel times are bad, PM Peak is the worst	William Cannon intersection			
31	Pavement markings are confusing at this location (symbol of T, T, TR lane assignment drawn)	NB Manchaca at Willliam Cannon			
32	Caution light at post office - hard to turn left	west side of Manchaca south of Matthews Ln			
33	Create a turn around when train stops, vehicles already do it	EB at train tracks east of Manchaca, Dittmar Dittmar			
34 35	Grade separate railroad. Train stops for long periods (up to 1 hour)	Dittmar NW corner of Slaughter / Manchaca			
35	Can't turn left safely into HEB parking lot (another: "+1") Fix this intersection	Slaughter Ln			
30	Queue backups into parking lot for HEB at signal west of Manchaca on Slaughter	Signal west of Manchaca on Slaughter			
37	Left hand turns at intersections. Allow motorcycles to go first	west of Manchaca south of Slaughter			
39	Speeding, congested, drivers using right turn lane to jump through traffic at the light SB	SB Manchaca at Ravenscroft			
40	Congested	Frate Barker intersection			
41	More "cut-through" traffic to Brodie	Frate Barker Rd			
42	Left turn lights with a motorcycles first option. Left turns on busy streets are most likely for accidents	near Regal Row			
43	Double left and double right turn lanes southbound on Manchaca	at FM 1626 intersection			
44	Parent policy drop off spilling out on to Manchaca and FM 1626	Elementary school NW corner at FM 1626			
45	Grade separate railroad east of Manchaca	at FM 1626 intersection			
	· · ·				

	Manchaca Road Corridor Mobility Plan	Kimley»Horn
1st Public Open House and Stakeholder Meeting - December 5th, 2017		
		5(1) 2027
Roll Plot Comments:		
	Sidewalk and Curb Ramps	
Co	nment	Location
1	Add light or other crossing	Manchaca just south of Glen Allen
2	Tree Blocking sidewalk	Manchaca near Edgeware Dr
3	Sidewalks on both sides of Manchaca are so dangerous right next to the road. Walking with my granddaughter	Manchaca between S Lamar and Ben White
5	scares me, especially when bus/truck goes by	Wanchaca between 5 Laniar and ben white
4	Need safer sidewalks!	Manchaca between S Lamar and Ben White
5	Medians with protection for pedestrian crossing	Entire corridor (comment near Burt Ave)
6	Homeless cause pedestrian issues in underpass (another added "yes!")	at Ben White interchange
7	Safety concerns / homeless population	at Ben White interchange
8	Homeless population issue (another person: "I want to help them.", another person "Yes! It is so trashy and uncomfortable to walk")	at Ben White interchange
9	Person killed last week? (comment on Dec 5th, 2017)	at Ben White interchange
10	Sidewalks all the way through	on Redd St west of Manchaca
11	School turning traffic / safety improvements for crossing	Cimmaron Trl east of Manchaca
12	Williamson Creek is dangerous grades and narrow sidewalks	Williamson Creek bridge
13	Sidewalks on residential streets	West of Manchaca near Jones Rd
14	Move ped light to Southern Oaks intersection, causes traffic to back up and block visibility at current location	Inverness Rd
15	Skateboards need repair sidewalks without raised bumps - is that ADA?	near Southern Oaks
16	Access issues across properties SW corner of Southern Oaks and Manchaca	SW corner of Manchaca at Southern Oaks
17	Students cross here, dangerous by DQ, Subway, ACC	Stassney west of Manchaca
18	Like ped crossing, also at Prather	Garrison Park
19	Shared Use Path for bikes and peds, Lamar to William Cannon	Lamar to William Cannon
20	Great crosswalk added 4 year ago, love it!	Garrison Park
21	Improve sidewalks between Stassney and William Cannon, southbound sidewalk is double in places - may be able to have separate bike and ped portions of sidewalk	Stassney to William Cannon
22	Sidewalks on residential streets	Cannonleague Dr / Matthews Area
23	We need sidewalks here! Kids walking to school	arrow to Malvern Hill Dr
24	Want more ped mid-block crossings!	Beauregard Cir
25	Limited space for safe ped crossing in these locations	near Beauregard Cir
26	Sidewalks also on residential streets	NE corner of Manchaca Rd and Dittmar Ln
27	Can't cross Manchaca	north of Slaughter
28	Connect trail to Manchaca	Slaugher creek
29	Sidewalks on residential streets	SW corner of Manchaca at Frate Barker Rd

	Manchaca Road Corridor Mobility Plan Kimley »Hori		
	1st Public Open House and Stakeholder Meeting - December 5th, 2017		
	$(^{)} (^{)} $		
	Roll Plot Comments:		
	Bicycle Facilities		
Со	mment	Location	
1	Bicycle on not safe (overhanging branches and driveways) from long? To Praeth?	Manchaca near Larchmont Dr	
2	Bicycles behind the curb	Manchaca between S Lamar and Ben White	
3	Bicycle lane in middle?	Entire corridor (comment near Bert Ave)	
4	Biking on Manchaca is dangerous! If on sidewalk, cars exiting driveways don't expect bikes	S Lamar to Ben White	
5	Bike lanes next to the sidewalk over the curb and out of the street	S Lamar to William Cannon	
6	add bike parking / racks at front of elementary school	E side of Manchaca btwn Redd and Cimarron Trl	
7	Bottom of hill both directions bycicles moving fast. Improve safety for bicycle crossing Williamson Creek along Manchaca Rd	Williamson Creek crossing	
8	bus lanes and bike lanes kept separate	near Roundup Trl	
9	Bike facilities needed between Jones Rd and Lansing, no good alternate routes	between Jones Rd and Lansing Dr	
10	Physical barrier between cars and bikes	near Jones/Jentsch Ct	
11	Add protected bicycle lanes along entire corridor	Entire corridor (comment near Southern Oaks)	
12	Shared Use Path for bikes and peds, Lamar to William Cannon	Lamar to William Cannon	
13	Improve sidewalks between Stassney and William Cannon, southbound sidewalk is double in places - may be able to have separate bike and ped portions of sidewalk	Stassney to William Cannon	
14	Bike lanes in poor repair	W of Manchaca, N of William Cannon area	
15	Not safe bike north of William Cannon	North of William Cannon	
16	Physical barrier between cars and bikes	Manchaca south of William Cannon	
17	We need protected bike lanes! (another: "yes! A white line is not a barrier")	near Matthews Lane	
18	Bike lanes and bus lanes separated	south of Matthews Ln	
19	Keep shoulder between Slaughter and Matthews, great for bikes!	Matthews Ln to Slaughter Ln	
20	Add a protected bike lane along Manchaca Rd	Matthews Ln to Slaughter Ln	
21	Protected bike lane please	Davis Ln	
22	Protected bike lanes or SUP (physical separation)	south of Davis Ln	
23	Bike lanes signs should be spaced so cyclists know that a merge with car traffic imminent	near Kimono Ridge Dr	
24	Bike lanes should be separated from bus lanes. Dangerous!	north of Slaughter	
25	Need safe bicycle facility through Slaughter intersection along Manchaca	Slaughter intersection	
26	The shoulder is good for bikes now, a lot of bikes use it	south of Matthews Ln	
27	If Manchaca gets redone with more lanes, add a bike lane	south of Matthews Ln	
28	Physical barriers between cars and bicycles	south of Slaughter Ln	
29	Bike lanes often have pot holes	south of Slaughter Ln	
30	Keep wide shoulder along S Manchaca - great for bicycles separated lane with barrier would be great, but as-is it feels safe on a bike	south of Slaughter Ln	
31	Connect trail to Manchaca	Slaugher creek	
32	Bicycle lanes often have pot holes and are harrowing	north of FM 1626	
33	Physical barrier between cars and bikes	north of FM 1626	

	Manchaca Road Corridor Mobility Plan 1st Public Open House and Stakeholder Meeting - Decembe	r 5th, 2017 Kimley »Horn
	Roll Plot Comments:	
Transit Supportive Improvements		
Со	mment	Location
1	Difficult to cross road for bus service	Manchaca south of Glen Allen
2	Buses block traffic when stopped	Manchaca south of Glen Allen
3	Bus stop is awkward, cars won't get in right turn lane to turn right. Tiny issue, but annoying	NB stop at Fort View Rd
4	Bus pull-outs on smaller cross sections throughout	S Lamar to William Cannon
5	Bus lanes and bike lanes kept separate	near Roundup Trl
6	Need covered bus stops throughout the corridor	entire corridor
7	We need bus pull-outs at main bus stops (references transit stop NB south of Matthews Ln)	Transit stop NB south of Matthews Ln
8	Bike lanes and bus lanes separated	south of Matthews Ln
9	Bike lanes should be separated from bus lanes. Dangerous!	north of Slaughter

	Manchaca Road Corridor Mobility Plan Kimley »Horn		
1	1st Public Open House and Stakeholder Meeting - December 5th, 2017		
	Roll Plot Comments:		
	Complete Streets		
Со	nment	Location	
1	Tree blocking sidewalk	Manchaca near Edgeware Dr	
2	Overhanging branches and frequent driveways	Manchaca near Larchmont Dr	
3	2 lanes and median with turn lane	Ben White to Barton Skyway	
4	Need center turn lane continuous	Ben White to Barton Skyway	
5	3 lanes & median designed to slow down traffic	Entire corridor (placed near Prather Ln)	
6	Homeless population issue (another person: "I want to help them.", another person "Yes! It is so trashy and uncomfortable to walk")	at Ben White interchange	
7	Street lights insufficient	near Redd St intersection	
8	Screams for scholl? (maybe school?) - homeless population	near Redd St intersection	
9	non-rush hour speeding is safety issue	south of Cimmaron Trl	
10	Rush hour cut-through on Frontier Trl AM & PM	Frontier Trl E of Manchaca	
11	Street lights on residential streets	W of Manchaca near Lansing / Frontier Trl	
12	Motorcycle / scooter lanes?	near Lansing Dr	
13	Some design improvement to prevente cut through traffic cutting down housing	Williamson Creek crossing	
14	Consilidate driveways on west side of Manchaca near Inverness, can't turn 4-6pm	Inverness Blvd	
15	Not speeding at this location could be because of congestion	ACC and Manchaca Rd Library	
16	Overgrown trees covering sidewalks / block intersection view	Stassney west of Manchaca Rd and South of Stassney	
17	Lights on residential streets	W of Manchaca near Whitestone Dr	
18	Clear brush for visibility	near Garrison Park	
19	Motorcycle / scooter lane?	near Garrison Park	
20	Cut-through traffic to Westgate side streets need calming	Berkett Dr / Berkeley Ave	
21	Speeding is a problem	south of Matthews Ln	
22	Small PER> get contracts	reference Davis Ln PER	
23	Street lights on residential streets	between Sedgemoor Trl and Crownspoint	
24	Speed and operations are OK	north of Slaughter Ln	
25	Access lane just north of HEB? Make it right-turns only near slaughter at driveways	north of Slaughter Ln	
26	Motorcycle / scooter lanes?	SW corner of Slaughter intersection	
27	Starting in this section and farther south - traffic needs to be slowed by design	South of Slaughter Ln	
28	Speeding	north of Ravenscroft	
29	Residential street lighting is needed for cars, bikes and pedestrians	near Regal Row	
30	Bike lanes, sidewalks, street lights	FM 1626	