	APP	LICATION	CHECKLIST/ INFORMATION FORM				
DEV	ELOPER : RGTP Real Estate LLC		OWNER/BORROWER NAME : RGTP Real Estate LLC FUNDING CYCLE DEADLINE : Rolling DUNS NO: N/A				
DEV	ELOPMENT NAME : Redfield 34						
FED	ERAL TAX ID NO: 85-0703525						
	JECT ADDRESS: 8806 & 8807 Red: in, TX 78758	field Lane,	PROGRAM : RHDA				
CON	TACT NAME : Russ Artman		AMOUNT REQUESTED: \$2,600,000				
CON	TACT ADDRESS AND PHONE : 9	17.575.2219	PO Box 201002, Austin, TX 78720				
		APPLICAT	ION TABS	INITIALS			
A1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY/PROJECT P	ROPOSAL		RA			
A2	PROJECT SUMMARY FORM			RA			
A3	PROJECT TIMELINE			RA			
A4	DEVELOPMENT BUDGET			RA			
A5	OPERATING PRO FORMA			RA			
	SCORING SHEET			RA			
A6		ATTACHM	ENTE TA DO	KA			
1	ENTITY INFORMATION	l.a.		RA			
	ENTITY INFORMATION	1.a. 1.b.	Detailed listing of developer's experience Certificate of Status	RA			
		1.c.	Statement of Confidence	N/A			
		1.0.	Statement of Confidence	IVA			
2	PRINCIPALS INFORMATION	2.a.	Resumes of principals	RA			
		2.b.	Resumes of development team	RA			
		2.c.	Resumes of property management team	RA			
3	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	3.a.	Federal IRS Certification	RA			
		3.b.	Certified Financial Audit	N/A			
		3.c.	Board Resolution	N/A			
		3.d.	Financial Statements	Submitted confidential			
		3.e.	Funding commitment letters .				
4	PROJECT INFORMATION	4.a.	Market Study	RA			
		4.b.	Good Neighbor Policy	RA			
		4.c.	SMART Housing Letter	N/A			
		4.d.	MOU with ECHO	RA			
		4.e.	Resident Services	RA			
5	PROPERTY INFORMATION	5.a.	Appraisal				
		5.b.	Property Maps	RA			
		5.c.	Zoning Verification Letter	RA			
		5.d,	Proof of Site control				
		5.e.	Phase I ESA				

Executive Summary

RGTP Real Estate, LLC (RGTP) will purchase and address maintenance deficiencies on an existing 34-unit multi-family building at 8806 & 8807 Redfield Lane, located in District 4. In November 2021, units will be ready for occupancy as CoC. Cost to city is ~40% lower cost per unit than comparable city-initiated hotel purchases.

Highlights

- 33 550 SF 1BR units to serve as continuum of care (CoC) units for 40-year affordability period
- 1 950 SF 2BR unit to serve as on-site service space for residents

City protections

• RGTP will add deed restriction to enforce affordability period

Experienced Team

- Property Manager Austin-based property manager has worked directly with RGTP with CoC units for 12+ months; ~35% of existing units are CoC across business; years of expertise managing properties with ECHO
- General contractor Austin-based, certified Minority-Owned Business Enterprise (MBE) has worked with principals for 4+ years and experienced in delivering high-quality affordable housing

Displacement Mitigation

- *Homeless Prevention* Area is gentrifying, and this will maintain affordable housing in the neighborhood.
- Address Unsheltered Homelessness New units will be filled with households experiencing unsheltered homelessness per the ECHO Coordinated Entry process.
- *Non-Displacement* Units will be filled based on attrition. Developer will honor all existing leases and work with tenants to provide reasonable time and support to find new housing.



Project Summary Fo	vrm					
1) Project N	ame	2) Project Typ	be 3	New Construction	on or Rehabilit	ation
Redfin 3	4	100% Afforda		Rehab	ilitation	
4	Address(s) or Lo	cation Descriptio	n	5)	Mobility Bond	Corridor
	8807 Redfield L				incently bond	
6) Consus Tract	7) Council Dis	triat 0		ahaal 0		Pariod
6) Census Tract 18.05	District 4		b) Elementary \$ WOOLDRIDG) Affordability 40 years	
10) Type of Structure	e	11) Occu		12) How	will funds be	used?
Multi-family		Yes			Acquisition	
	13) S	ummary of Rental				
Income Level	Efficiency	One	Two	Three	Four (+)	Total
		Bedroom	Bedroom	Bedroom	Bedroom	-
Up to 20% MFI						0
Up to 30% MFI Up to 40% MFI				+		0
Up to 50% MFI		33	1			34
Up to 60% MFI						0
Up to 80% MFI						0
Up to 120% MFI						0
No Restrictions						0
Total Units	0	33	1	0	0	34
	14) Su	mmary of Units fo	vr Sala at MELI	evel		
Income Level	Efficiency	One	Two	Three	Four (+)	Total
Up to 60% MFI	Lincicity	0.10			1001(1)	0
Up to 80% MFI						0
Up to 120% MFI						0
No Restrictions						0
Total Units	0	0	0	0	0	0
	15) Initiativ	ves and Priorities	(of the Afforda	able Units)		
Ini	tiative	# of Ur		Initiative	#	of Units
Accessible Units for	or Mobility Impairm	ents 0	Con	tinuum of Care	Units	34
Accessible Units fo	r Sensory Impaim	nents 0				
Use the City of Austi	n GIS Map to An	swer the auesti	ions below			
16) Is the property wit		-		rridor?	Yes	
		-				
17) Is the property wit					0	
18) Is the property wit	thin 3/4 mile of Tra	ansit Service?	Yes			
19) The property has	Healthy Food Ac	cess?	Yes			
20) Estimated Sourc	es and Uses of f	unds				
,	Sources			Use	s	
	Debt	1,750,000		Acquisition	4,0	000,000
	Equity	125,800		Off-Site		800
	Grant			Site Work		70,000
	Other			Sit Amenities		
Deferred Deve				Building Costs		
Previous AHF	-		0	Contractor Fees		105 000
Current AHF	Request	2,600,000	l	Soft Costs		405,000
				Financing Developer Fees		_
	Total \$	4,475,800		Total		475,800
	rotar y	4,470,000		1041		

			evelopmen	Start [End Date	
				Start			
	Site Control				Nov-21	Jan-00	
	Acquisition			21/2	Nov-21	N1/A	
	Zoning Environmental	Deviews		N/A N/A		N/A	
	Pre-Developm			N/A	6	N/A	
				81/6	Sep-21	Nov-21	
	Contract Execut			N/A	Sec. 21	Neu 21	
	Closing of Othe	_		21/2	Sep-21	Nov-21	
	Development S Construction	ervices Review	N	N/A	100.00	100.00	
				21/2	Jan-00		
	Site Preparation	1		N/A N/A	<u></u>	N/A	
	25% Complete						
	50% Complete			N/A N/A			
	75% Complete 100% Complete			N/A			
	Marketing	:		N/A	Nov-21	Jan-22	
	-				Nov-21	Jan-22 Jan-22	
	Pre-Listing Marketing Plan				Nov-21	Jan-22 Jan-22	
	Wait List Proce				Nov-21	Jan-22	
	Disposition	25			Nov-21	Jan-22 Jan-22	
	Lease Up				Nov-21	Jan-22 Jan-22	
	Close Out				Nov-21	Jan-22 Jan-22	
	Dec-14	May-16	Sep-17	Feb-19	Jun-2		Mar-23
		may-10	3ep-17	160-19	Jul 2	.0 011-21	iviai-25
Site Con	_						
Acquisit	-					•	
	ning						
Environmental Rev	-						
Pre-Developm	-						
Contract Execut	_						
Closing of Ot	-						
Developm	-						
Construct	-						
Site Preparat	-						
25% Comp	-						
50% Comp	-						
75% Comp	-						
100% Compl Market	-						
	-						
Pre-List	-						
Marketing F Wait List Proc	-						
	-						
Disposit	-						
Lease							
Close	Out						

		Research 1100					
	Total Project Cost	Requested AHFC Funds			Descriptio	n	
Pre-Development	Total Project Cost	runu.					
Appraisal	500						
Environmental Review							
Engineering							
Survey	300						-
Architectural							_
Subtotal Pre-Development Cost	\$800	50					-
Acquisition	+	+-					
Site and/or Land	4,000,000	2,210,000					
Structures							
Other (specify)							
Subtotal Acquisition Cost	\$4,000,000	\$2,210,000					-
Construction	* 1,000,000	+=,===,===					
Infrastructure							-
Site Work							
Demolition							-
Concrete							-
Masonry							-
Rough Carpentry							-
Finish Carpentry							
Waterproofing and Insulation							
Roofing and Sheet Metal							
Plumbing/Hot Water							
HVAC/Mechanical							-
Bectrical						-	
Doors/Windows/Glass						-	
Lath and Plaster/Drywall and Acoustical						-	-
Tiel Work						-	-
Soft and Hard Floor							-
Paint/Decorating/Blinds/Shades							
Specialties/Special Equipment							
Cabinetry/Appliances							
Capet							-
Other (specify)	70,000		Add bath fa	ns and gane	al make read	fu .	-
Construction Contingency	70,000					-	-
Subtotal Construction Cost	\$70,000	\$0					
Soft & Carrying Costs	\$10,000	40					
Logal	10,000						-
Audit/Accounting	10,000						-
Title/Recordin	5,000						-
Architectural (Inspections)	3,000						-
Construction Interest							-
Construction Period Insurance							-
Construction Period Taxes							-
Relocation							-
Marketing							-
Davis-Bacon Monitoring							-
Developer Fee							_
Other (specify)	390,000	390,000	URA			-	-
							_
Subtotal Soft & Carrying Costs	\$405,000	\$390,000					_
							_

15 Year Rental Housing Operating Pro Forma (RHDA)

The pro forma should be based on the operating income and expense information for the base year (first year of stabilized occupancy using today's best estimates of market rents, restricted rents, rental income and expenses), and principal and interest debt service. The Department uses an annual growth rate of 2% for income and 3% for expenses. Written explanation for any deviations from these growth rates or for assumptions other than straight-line growth made during the proforma period should be attached to this exhibit.

INCOME	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5	YEAR 10	YEAR 15
POTENTIAL GROSS ANNUAL RENTAL INCOME	\$401,316	\$409,342	\$417,529	\$425,880	\$434,397	\$479,610	\$529,521
Secondary Income	\$40,800	\$41,616	\$42,448	\$43,297	\$44,163	\$48,760	\$53,83
POTENTIAL GROSS ANNUAL INCOME	\$442,116	\$450,958	\$459,977	\$469,177	\$478,561	\$528,370	\$583,36
Provision for Vacancy & Collection Loss	-\$20,065	-\$20,467	-\$20,876	-\$21,294	-\$21,720	-\$23,980	-\$26,47
Rental Concessions	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
EFFECTIVE GROSS ANNUAL INCOME	\$422,051	\$430,491	\$439,101	\$447,883	\$456,841	\$504,389	\$556,88
EXPENSES							
General & Administrative Expenses	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Ś
Management Fee	\$20,400	\$21,012	\$21,642	\$22,292	\$22,960	\$26,617	\$30,85
Payroll, Payroll Tax & Employee Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Ś
Repairs & Maintenance	\$100,000	\$103,000	\$106,090	\$109,273	\$112,551	\$130,477	\$151,25
Electric & Gas Utilities	\$20,400	\$21,012	\$21,642	\$22,292	\$22,960	\$26,617	\$30,85
Water, Sewer & Trash Utilities	\$20,400	\$21,012	\$21,642	\$22,292	\$22,960	\$26,617	\$30,85
Annual Property Insurance Premiums	\$20,000	\$20,600	\$21,218	\$21,855	\$22,510	\$26,095	\$30,25
Property Tax	\$76,550	\$78,847	\$81,212	\$83,648	\$86,158	\$99,880	\$115,78
Reserve for Replacements	\$20,400	\$21,012	\$21,642	\$22,292	\$22,960	\$26,617	\$30,85
Other Expenses	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Ś
TOTAL ANNUAL EXPENSES	\$278,150	\$286,495	\$295,089	\$303,942	\$313,060	\$362,923	\$420,72
NET OPERATING INCOME	\$143,901	\$143,997	\$144,012	\$143,941	\$143,780	\$141,466	\$136,15
DEBT SERVICE							
First Deed of Trust Annual Loan Payment	\$101,200	\$101,200	\$101,200	\$101,200	\$101,200	\$101,200	\$101,200
Second Deed of Trust Annual Loan Payment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Third Deed of Trust Annual Loan Payment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other Annual Required Payment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other Annual Required Payment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	ŚC
ANNUAL NET CASH FLOW	\$42,701	\$42,797	\$42,812	\$42,741	\$42,580	\$40,266	\$34,955
CUMULATIVE NET CASH FLOW	\$42,701	\$85,498	\$128,309	\$171,050	\$213,631	\$420,748	\$608,813
Debt Coverage Ratio	1.42	1.42	1.42	1.42	1.42	1.40	1.3

Project Name	_	
	Redfin 14	
Project Type Council District	100% Affordable District 4	
Centus Vat	18.05	
Prior MHC Funding	18.05	
Current AHPC Funding Request Amount	\$3,310,000	
Estimated Total Project Cost	\$4,085,800	
High Opportunity	No	
High Displacement Risk	NO	
High Frequency Transit	No	
Imagine Austin	Nes .	
Mobility frond Corvidor	D D	
SCORING ELEMENTS		Description
UNITS		
<20% MR	D .	# of rental units at < 20% MF1
<30% MR	D D	# of rental units at < 30% MF1
Ditrit fiel	5%	% of City's affordable housing goal
High Opportunity	FALSE	% of City's affordable housing goal for high opportunity areas
Displacement Pick	0%	% of Oty's affordable housing goal to reduce displacement
High Frequency Transit	0%	% of Oty's affordable housing goal near high frequency transit
imagine Austin Geographic Dispersion	7%	% of Oty's affordable housing goal in imagine austin corridors
Mobility should Corridor	6%	% of Oty's affordable housing goal to increase geographic dispension
SCORE	0%	% of City's affordable housing goal within mobility bond corroidors
	0	% of annual goal * units * 50%, max of 75
<10% MR <50% MR	0 34	# of rental units at < 40% MF1
Citrix feel	14 5%	# of nental units at < 50% MF1 % of Oty's affordable housing goal
High Opportunity	FALSE	
Displacement Risk	FALSE 0%	% of Oby's affordable housing goal for high opportunity areas % of Oby's affordable housing goal to reduce displacement
High Frequency Transit	0%	's of City's affordable housing goal near high frequency transit
imagine Austin	7%	's of City's affordable housing goal near right requency transc. 'S of City's affordable housing goal in imagine austin corridors.
Geographic Dispersion	65	s or Oxy's arronadole nousing goal in imagine auton comdon. Si of Oxy's affordable housing goal to increase geographic dispension
Mobility Bond Corridor	0%	's of City's affordable housing goal within mobility bond corroldors
SCORE	2	% of annual goal * units * 25%, max of 75
<60% MP	0	# of units for purchase at < 60% MFI
District Gool	5%	% of City's affordable housing goal
High Opportunity	FALSE	% of City's affordable housing goal for high opportunity areas
Displacement Risk	0%	% of City's affordable housing goal to reduce displacement
High Frequency Transit	0%	% of City's affordable housing goal near high frequency transit
imagine Austin	7%	% of City's affordable housing goal in imagine austin corridors
Geographic Dispersion	6%	% of City's affordable housing goal to increase geographic dispension
Mobility Bond Corridor	0%	% of City's affordable housing goal within mobility bond corroidors
SCORE	0	% of annual goal * units * 50%, max of 75
<80% MR	Ð	# of units for purchase at < 80% MF1
District Gool	556	% of City's affordable housing goal
High Opportunity	FALSE	% of City's affordable housing goal for high opportunity areas
Displacement Risk	0%	% of City's affordable housing goal to reduce displacement
High Frequency Transit	0%	% of City's affordable housing goal near high frequency transit
inegio e Austio	7%	% of City's affordable housing goal in imagine austin corridors
Geographic Dispersion	6%	% of City's affordable housing goal to increase geographic dispension
Mobility Bond Corridor	0%	% of City's affordable housing goal within mobility bond corroldors
SCORE	0	% of annual goal * units * 25%, max of 75
Unit Score	2	MAXIMUM SCORE = 300
INITIATIVES AND PRIORITIES		
	34	Total # of units provided up to 100 per year
Continuum of Care Score	20	(total CoC Units/100 + HF Units/50)*20
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food	20 Yes	(total CaC Units/100 + HF Units/50)*20 Within 1 Mile of Healthy Food (City GIS)
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score	20 Yes 11	(total CoC Units/100 + HF Units/50(*20) Within 1 Mile of Healthy Food (City GIS) Mobility, Access to Jobs, Community (mitistions, Social Cohesion
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 2 Bedreces to re	20 Yes 11 1	(total CoC Units/100 + HF Units/50)*20 Wehin 1 Mile of Healthy Food (Cby G S) Mobility, Access to Joba, Community (Institutions, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score	20 Yes 11 1 0	(botal CoC Units/100 + HF Units/50)*20 Wehin 1 Mile of Headhy Food (City G S) Mobility, Access to Jobs, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 2 indexees toire 3 indexees toire 6 indexees toire 6 indexees toire	20 Yes 11 1 0 0	(total CoC Units/100 + HF Units/50(*20) Wehin 1. Mile of Healthy Food (Cby GLS) Mobility, Access to Joba, Community (Institutions, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 2 Between Date 2 Between Date 2 Between Date 2 Between Date 3 Between Date	20 Yes 11 1 0 0 1	(total CoC Unity/100 + HF Unity/50)*20 Wehin 1 Mile of Healthy Food (City of S) Mobility, Access to John, Community (Initiations, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Total Affordable 34 Bedroom units Total Affordable 34 Bedroom units
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 3 Indecess to re 3 Indecess to re 4 Indecess to re Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade	20 Yes 11 1 0 0	(total CoC Units/100 + HF Units/50)*20 Webin 1 Mile of Headby Food (Cby G S) Mobility, Access to Joba, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Multi-bedroom UnityTotal Units* 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 2 Between Date 2 Between Date 2 Between Date 2 Between Date 3 Between Date	20 Yes 11 1 0 0 1 72	(total CoC Units/100 + HF Units/50)*20 Webin 1 Mile of Healthy Food (City G S) Mobility, Access to Jobs, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Bedrosom units Total Affordable 3 Bedrosom units Total Affordable 4 Bedrosom units Datal Affordable 4 Bedrosom units Butto Affordable 4 Bedrosom units Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, S
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 3: Behroom Centre 3: Behroom Centre 3: Behroom Centre 6: Behroom Centre 6: Behroom Centre 7: Behroom Centre 8: Behroom Centre 8: Behroom Centre 7: Behroom Centre 8: Behroom Centre 7: Behroom Centre 8: B	20 Yes 11 0 0 1 72 0	(total CoC Units/100 + HF Units/50)*20 Webin 1 Mile of Headby Food (Cby G S) Mobility, Access to Joba, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Multi-bedroom UnityTotal Units* 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 2 Indexcess toire 3 Indexcess toire 4 Indexcess toire 6 Indexcess toire Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Wulti-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible toires	20 Yes 1 0 1 72 0 0	(total CoC Units/100 + HF Units/50)*20 Webin 1. Mile of Healthy Food (Cby G S) Mobility, Access to also, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Bedrosom units Total Affordable 3 Bedrosom units Total Affordable 4+ Bedrosom units Multi-bedrosom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Elementary School Rating from TEA Elementary School Rating from TEA Elementary Institutions, Social Cohesion, B mobility and ermory units
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 3 Behreces Deite 3 Behreces Deite 4 Behreces Deite Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessed Forth Rec. Piel, Rec. Voucher Deiter 2016 MF	20 Yes 1 0 1 72 0 0 0	(total CoC Unity/100 + HF Unity/50)*20 Webin 1 Mile of Healthy Food (Cby G S) Mobility, Access to Jobs, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3+ Bedroom units Mold-Sedroom UnityTotal Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, B Total Units under 20% MFI
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 3 Indecementations 4 Indecements Multi-Generational Housing Score TCA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible Cents Net-Pith, Ree-Vector Ender Volts MF Accessibility Score	20 Yes 11 0 1 72 0 0 0 0 0 72 0 0 0 0 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(total CoC Units/100 + HF Units/50)*20 Webin 1 Mile of Headby Food (Cby GG S) Mobility, Access to Joba, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Total Affordable 4-Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, B mobility and sensory units Total units under 2015 MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 3 Between Centre 3 Between Centre 4 Between Centre 5 Between Centre 6 Between Centre 7 CA Grade Walti-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible Centre Rece-Pisk, Rec-Vencher Centre 2016 MM Accessibility Score Metro Access Service	20 Yes 11 0 0 1 72 0 0 0 0 72 0 0 72 0 0 72 0 0 72 0 72 0 72 0 72 0 72 0 72 0 72 0 72 72 0 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72	(total CoC Units/100 + HF Units/50)*20 Webin 1 Mile of Headby Food (City G S) Mobility, Access to Jobo, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Bedrosom units Total Affordable 3 Bedrosom units Total Affordable 4 Bedrosom units Multi-bedrosom Unit/Total Units * 20 Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, B mobility and sensory units Total units under 2016 MF1 Accessible Unity Total Units * 20 Multi-bedrosom Unity Total Units * 20 Mobility and sensory units
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 3 Bedreens Ueits 4 Bedreens Ueits 4 Bedreens Ueits Muits-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Muits-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessibility Score Metro Access Service Accessibility Weighted Score	20 Yes 11 0 1 72 0 0 0 0 0 72 0 0 0 0 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(total CoC Units/100 + HF Units/50)*20 Webin 1 Mile of Headhy Food (City GS) Mobility, Access to Joba, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Total Affordable 4 Bedroom units Total Affordable 4 Bedroom units Bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, B mobility and sensory units Total units under 20% MF1 Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Webin 3,4 mile of Toxed route transit Housing Stability, Health, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 3 Behreces toers 4 Behreces toers 4 Behreces toers Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Nece-Piet, Nece-Vescher toeder 20th Mit Accessibility Score Netro Access Service Accessibility Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score Initiatives and Priorities Score Accessibility Weighted Score	20 Yes 0 11 0 1 72 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(total CoC Unity/100 + HF Unity/50)*20 Webin 1 Mile of Headby Food (Cby GI 5) Mobility, Access to Jobs, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unity/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, B Total units under 20% MF1 Accessible Unity/Total Units * 20 Webin 3,4 mile of Fased nature transit
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 3 Indreses tests 4 Indreses tests 4 Indreses tests 6 Indreses tests 7 Nutl-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Nutl-Generational Housing Weighted Score Rec-Pist, Rec-Voucher Carder 30% MP Accessibility Score Nets Access Service Accessibility Score Initiatives and Priorities Score UNDCOMMETTING ARCE Care rege	20 Yes 0 11 72 0 0 0 0 0 20 72 0 0 0 20 0 0 32 2 8 75 75 0 0 32 2 8 75 8 75 0 0 32 2 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	(total CoC Unity/100 + HF Unity/50)*20) Webin 1 Mile of Headby F cod (Cby G S) Mobility, Access to Jobs, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Biedroom units Total Affordable 3 Biedroom units Total Affordable 3 Biedroom units Multi-biedroom UnityTotal Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, B mobility and environy units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible UnityTotal Units * 20 Within 3,% mile of flood route transit Housing Stability, Headby, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXEMUM SCORE = 200 % of total project cost funded through AFIC request 3 points per SX reduction in leverage below 50% (max 30)
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 3 Indecess toors 3 Indecess toors 4 Indecess toors 4 Indecess toors 4 Indecess toors 4 Indecess toors 4 Indecess toors 4 Indecess 1 TCA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible toors Nee-Piel, Ree-Vector tool of toors Accessibility Weighted Score Indicatives and Priorities Score UNDERWETING APIC Learning Lawrange toors APIC Per toors Subsidy (Inducting prior concern)	20 Yes 11 1 0 1 72 0 0 0 Yes 0 32 0 \$4% 0 \$45,000	(total CoC Unity/100 + HF Unity/50)*20) Webin 1 Mile of Headby Food (Cby GT 5) Mobility, Access to Joba, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Total Affordable 4 Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unity/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, B Envirolity and semiory units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unity/Total Units * 20 Webin 3,4 mile of fload route transit Housing Stability, Headth, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200 Softs project cost funded through AHFC request B pairity per Streduction in Iseverage below 50% (max 30) Amount of assistance per units
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 3 Behreces toers 3 Behreces toers 4 Behreces toers 4 Behreces toers 4 Behreces toers Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessibility Score Netro Accessibility Score Netro Accessibility Score Netro Accessibility Score Initiatives and Priorities Score Initiatives and Priorities Score Initiatives and Priorities Score Concession Score Initiatives and Priorities Score Initiatives and Priorities Score Initiatives and Priorities Score Accessibility Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score Initiatives and Priorities Score Area Score Initiative Score Score Area Score Initiative Score Initiative Area Score Initiative Score Initiative Area Score Initiative Score Initiative Area Score Initiative Initiative Initiative Area Score Initiative Initiative Area Score Initiative Initiative	20 Yes 11 1 0 1 72 0 0 0 0 0 Yes 32 54% 0 54% 17	(total CoC Unity/100 + HF Unity/50)*20 Webin 1 Mile of Headby Food (Cby GT 5) Mobility, Access to John, Community (Initiation, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Total Affordable 34 Bedroom units Total Affordable 34 Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, I Total affordable Units * 20 Webin 3,41 mile of Food route transit Housing Stability, Headth, Mobility, Community Institutions MAAUMUM SCORE = 200 Not total project cost funded through AHEC request 3 points per 5% reduction in leverage below 50% (max 30) Amount of antifactore per unit (\$200,000 – per unit wahidy/*25/\$200,000
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 3 Bedreeen Dens 4 Bedreeen Dens 4 Bedreeen Dens 4 Bedreeen Dens Muid-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Network Care Rec-Piel, Rec-Veucher Deder 30% MV Accessibility Score Metro Access Service Accessibility Weighted Score Indiatives and Priorities Score Indiatives and Priorities Score UNDOCHMIETING ARE Laurope Laurope Laurope United Score Laurope Laurope Laurope United Score ARE Dens Score ARE Dens Score Laurope Laurope Laurope United Score ARE Per Der Schuldyfielderung prior enducerd Subsidipy unit core	20 Yes 11 1 0 0 1 72 0 0 0 0 Yes 0 54% 0 54% 0 54% 17 \$63,143	(total CoC Unity/100 + HF Unity/50)*20) Webin 1 Mile of Headby F cod (Cby GI 5) Mobility, Access to Jobs, Community Institution, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Biedroom units Total Affordable 2 Biedroom units Total Affordable 3 Biedroom units Multi-biedroom Unity/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, B mobility and evenory units Total units under 20% MF1 Accessible Unity, Total Units * 20 Webin 3/4 mile evenory units Total units under 20% MF1 Accessible Unity, Tetal Units * 20 Webin 3/4 mile of fload route transit Housing Stability, Health, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMMUM SCORE = 200 % of total project cost funded through AHFC request 3 points per 5% reduction in leverage below 50% (max 30) Amount of assistance per units (\$200,000 - per unit subidy)/?55/\$20,000 Amount of assistance per bedroom
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 3 Indreen Units 3 Indreen Units 4 Indreen Units Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Rec-Pist, nee-Veetler Units of Score Rec-Pist, nee-Veetler Units of Score Networks Care Service Accessibility Score Initiatives and Priorities Score Initiatives and Priorities Score Initiatives and Priorities Score Initiatives and Priorities Score Accessibility Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score Initiatives and Priorities Score Area Score Lawrage Care Service Area Score Score Care Service Area Score Secon Soliday per Unit Score Score Area Care Secon Score Area Care Secon Score	20 Yes 11 1 0 1 72 0 1 72 0 0 0 0 4 0 32 54% 0 32 54% 0 32 54% 0 32 54% 17 17	(total CoC Unity/100 + HF Unity/50)*20) Webin 1 Mile of Headby F cod (Cby GT 5) Mobility, Access to Joba, Community Institution, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unity/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, D Institution and Etainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, D Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unity/Total Units * 20 Webin 3,9 mile of flood route transit Housing Stability, Headth, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200 S of total project cost funded through AHFC request 3 points per SN reduction in leverage below 50% (max 20) Arount of assistance per units (\$200,000 - per units whidy/*25/\$200,000 Arount of assistance per units (\$200,000 - per bedroom aduidy/*25/\$200,000
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 3 Indecembers 4 Indecembers 4 Indecembers Multi-Generational Housing Score TCA Grade Walti-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessible Dens Net-Piel, Ree-Vector torder 2016 MP Accessibility Score Net-Piel, Ree-Vector torder 2016 MP Accessibility Score Net-Piel, Ree-Vector torder 2016 MP Accessibility Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score UNDERWITTING APE Learning Subsidy per unit score APE Per Den Subsidy Including prior concurred Subsidy per unit score APE Per Den Subsidy Including Prior Concurred Subsidy per unit score APE Per Den Subsidy Including Prior Concurred Subsidy per unit score APE Per Den Subsidy Including Prior Concurred Subsidy per Unit Score Det Coursege Parto (Ner Si)	20 Yes 11 1 0 1 72 0 0 0 0 0 Ves 0 54% 0 \$45,000 17 \$45,143 17 1.42	(total CoC Units/100 + HF Units/50)*20) Webin 1 Mile of Headhy Food (Cby GES) Mobility, Access to Joba, Community Institution, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Total Affordable 4 Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, B robibly and sensory units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Webin 3,4 mile of frond route transit Housing Stability, Health, Mobility, Community Institutions MADEMUM SCORE = 200 S of total project cost funded through AHFC request B points per SN reduction in leverage below 50% (max 30) Amount of anistance per units (\$200,000 - per unit subsidy)*25/\$200,000 Amount of anistance per units (\$200,000 - per bedroom
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 3 Bedreen Ueits 3 Bedreen Ueits 4 Bedreen Ueits 4 Bedreen Ueits 4 Bedreen Ueits Muid-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Muid-Generational Housing Weighted Score Rec-Pisk, Rec-Veucher Usder 20% MI RecePisk, ReceVeucher Usder 20% MI RecePisk, ReceVeucher Usder 20% MI RecePisk, ReceVeucher Usder 20% MI RecePisk, ReceVeucher Usder 20% MI Metro Accessibility Weighted Score UNDERWEITING ARC Lawrope Usder Per DeceScheidy (RecePiser Unit Score Subsidy per Unit Score Det Coursep Ratio Score Det Coursep Ratio Score	20 Yes 11 1 0 0 1 72 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 8 54% 0 0 \$55,000 17 \$55,000 17 \$63,143 17 1.42 7.92447222	(total CoC Unity/100 + HF Unity/50)*20) Webin 1 Mile of Headby F cod (Cby GI 5) Mobility, Access to Jobs, Community Institution, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Malk-bedroom Unity/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, B mobility and evenory units Total units under 20% MF1 Accessible Unity, Total Units * 20 Webin 3/4 mile of fload route transit Housing Stability, Headty, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXEMMUM SCORE = 200 % of total project cost funded through AHFC request 3 points per 5% reduction in leverage below 50% (max 30) Amount of anistance per units (\$200,000 - per unit absidy)(*25/\$200,000 Amount of anistance per bedroom (\$200,000 - per unit absidy)(*25/\$200,000 Amount of anistance per bedroom (\$200,000 - per unit absidy)(*25/\$200,000 Amount of anistance per bedroom
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 3 Indreses Units 4 Indreses Units 6 Indreses Units 6 Indreses Units 6 Indreses Units Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Rece-Pist, Rec-Voucher Units Rece-Pist, Rec-Voucher Units Rece-Pist, Rec-Voucher Units Accessibility Score Netro Access Service Accessibility Score Initiatives and Priorities Score UNDOCHMENTING Are: Lawrage Score Lawrage Score Are: Pro Unit Subsidy first-where prior descure? Subsidy per Unit score Are: Pro Unit Subsidy first-where prior descure? Subsidy per Unit Score Date Courses First Core Subsidy per Technology Score Unit Courses First Core Subsidy per Technology Score Unit Courses First Core Date Courses First Score United Courses First Score	20 Yes 11 1 0 1 72 0 0 0 0 0 Ves 0 54% 0 \$45,000 17 \$45,143 17 1.42	(total CoC Units/100 + HF Units/50)*20) Webin 1 Mile of Headhy Food (Cby GES) Mobility, Access to Joba, Community Institution, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Total Affordable 4 Bedroom units Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, B robibly and sensory units Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Webin 3,4 mile of frond route transit Housing Stability, Health, Mobility, Community Institutions MADEMUM SCORE = 200 S of total project cost funded through AHFC request B points per SN reduction in leverage below 50% (max 30) Amount of anistance per units (\$200,000 - per unit subsidy)*25/\$200,000 Amount of anistance per units (\$200,000 - per bedroom
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 3 Indecess tools 3 Indecess tools 3 Indecess tools 3 Indecess tools 4 Indecess tools 4 Indecess tools 4 Indecess tools 4 Indecess tools 4 Indecess Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Accessibility Score Netro Access Service Accessibility Weighted Score Indicatives and Priorities Score Learning Score Aret? Per tool: Schooly (Individue prior concurring Subsidy per unit score Aret? Per tool: Schooly (Individue prior concurring Subsidy per unit score Aret? Per tool: Schooly (Individue prior concurring Subsidy per unit score Debt Courses Ratio Score Debt Courses Ratio Score	20 Yes 11 1 0 0 1 72 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(total CoC Unity/100 + HF Unity/50)*20) Webin 1 Mile of Headby Food (Cby GTS) Mobility, Access to Joba, Community Institution, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Multi-bedroom UnityTotal Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, B Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, D Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Webin 3/4 mile of fload route transit Housing Stability, Headth, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200 Soft botal project cost funded through AHFC request B pairity per Streduction in Iseverage below 50% (max 30) Amount of assistance per unit (\$200,000 - per unit wabid/s)*25/\$200,000 Amount of assistance per units (\$200,000 - per bedroom \$205,5000 - per bedroom \$205,000 - per bedroom \$205,000 - per bedroom \$205,0000 - per bedroom \$205,000 - per bedroom
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 3 Behreces Users 4 Behreces Users 4 Behreces Users 4 Behreces Users 4 Behreces Users Multi-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Nece-Pist, Rec-Vescher Users 20th Mit Accessibility Score Netro Access Service Access Service Under Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score Under With Score Accessibility Weighted Score Under With Score Accessibility Weighted Score Exercise Score Score Under With Score Accessibility Prior Generation Are Care School (Initiative Score Exercise Score Score) Deter Coverage Ratio Score Underwriting Score AppLiCover	20 Yes 11 1 0 0 1 72 0 0 0 0 0 0 70 0 0 54% 5 4% 0 0 \$55,000 17 1,42 7,92047222	(total CoC Unity/100 + HF Unity/50)*20) Webin 1 Mile of Headby F cod (Cby GI 5) Mobility, Access to Jobs, Community Institution, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units Malk-bedroom Unity/Total Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, B mobility and evenory units Total units under 20% MF1 Accessible Unity, Total Units * 20 Webin 3/4 mile of fload route transit Housing Stability, Headty, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXEMMUM SCORE = 200 % of total project cost funded through AHFC request 3 points per 5% reduction in leverage below 50% (max 30) Amount of anistance per units (\$200,000 - per unit absidy)(*25/\$200,000 Amount of anistance per bedroom (\$200,000 - per unit absidy)(*25/\$200,000 Amount of anistance per bedroom (\$200,000 - per unit absidy)(*25/\$200,000 Amount of anistance per bedroom
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 3 Indresen Lens 4 Indresen Lens 4 Indresen Lens 4 Indresen Lens 4 Indresen Lens Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Neer-Piel, Ree-Voucher Carder 30% MP Accessibility Score Netro Access Service Accessibility Score Indiatives and Priorities Score UNDODWIRT TING ARC Interspe Laterage Score Lens ges Lens ges ARC Per Los Schooly (Indiating prior encount) School gere Encount Area Score Lens ges ARC Per Los Schooly (Indiating prior encount) School gere Encount ARC Des School gere Indiates Score Lens ges ARC Per Los School gere Indiates Score Lens ges ARC Per Los School gere Indiates Score Lens gere ARC Per Los School gere Indiates Score Lens gere Laterage Score Lens gere Per Los School gere Indiates Score Lens Coursege Per Los Score Underwetting Score Underwetting Score Underwetting Score	20 Yes 11 1 0 0 1 72 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(total CoC Unity/100 + HF Unity/50)*20) Webin 1 Mile of Headby Food (Cby GTS) Mobility, Access to Joba, Community Institution, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Multi-bedroom UnityTotal Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, B Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, D Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Webin 3/4 mile of fload route transit Housing Stability, Headth, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200 Soft botal project cost funded through AHFC request B pairity per Streduction in Iseverage below 50% (max 30) Amount of assistance per unit (\$200,000 - per unit wabid/s)*25/\$200,000 Amount of assistance per units (\$200,000 - per bedroom \$205,5000 - per bedroom \$205,000 - per bedroom \$205,000 - per bedroom \$205,0000 - per bedroom \$205,000 - per bedroom
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 3 Indresen Units 3 Indresen Units 4 Indresen Units 6 Indresen Units 6 Indresen Units Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score TEA Grade Net-Pist, nee-Veeter Units 50 Inte Nee-Pist, nee-Veeter Units 50 Inte Accessibility Score Net-Pist, nee-Veeter Units 50 Inte Accessibility Score Intentitives and Priorities Score Intentities Weighted Score Intention Actes Intention Actes Intention Score Intention Inter Intention Intention Inter Inter Score Intention Inter Inter Courses Fails Score Underwriting Score Interweiger Bartis Score Underwriting Score Interweiger Bartis Score	20 Yes 11 1 0 0 1 72 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(total CoC Unity/100 + HF Unity/50)*20) Webin 1 Mile of Headby Food (Cby GTS) Mobility, Access to Joba, Community Institution, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Multi-bedroom UnityTotal Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, B Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, D Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Webin 3/4 mile of fload route transit Housing Stability, Headth, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200 Soft botal project cost funded through AHFC request B pairity per Streduction in Iseverage below 50% (max 30) Amount of assistance per unit (\$200,000 - per unit wabid/s)*25/\$200,000 Amount of assistance per units (\$200,000 - per bedroom \$205,5000 - per bedroom \$205,000 - per bedroom \$205,000 - per bedroom \$205,0000 - per bedroom \$205,000 - per bedroom
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 3 Behreces toers 3 Behreces toers 4 Behreces toers 4 Behreces toers 5 Behreces toers 6 Behreces toers Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Neer-Piet, neer-Vescher torder 20% MP Accessibility Score Netro Access Service Access Service Acc	20 Yes 11 1 0 0 1 72 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(total CoC Unity/100 + HF Unity/50)*20) Webin 1 Mile of Headby Food (Cby GTS) Mobility, Access to Joba, Community Institution, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Multi-bedroom UnityTotal Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, B Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, D Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Webin 3/4 mile of fload route transit Housing Stability, Headth, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200 Soft botal project cost funded through AHFC request B pairity per Streduction in Iseverage below 50% (max 30) Amount of assistance per unit (\$200,000 - per unit wabid/s)*25/\$200,000 Amount of assistance per units (\$200,000 - per bedroom \$205,5000 - per bedroom \$205,000 - per bedroom \$205,000 - per bedroom \$205,0000 - per bedroom \$205,000 - per bedroom
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 3 Bedrocen Dente 4 Bedrocen Dente 4 Bedrocen Dente 4 Bedrocen Dente 4 Bedrocen Dente Muid-Generational Housing Score TEA Grade Netro Accessibility Score Netro Accessibility Score Netro Accessibility Score Initiatives and Priorities Score Initiatives and Priorities Score UNDOWNET TINC Are complete Very Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score UNDOWNET TINC Are complete Very Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score UNDOWNET TINC Are complete Very Weighted Score Initiatives and Priorities Score UNDOWNET TINC Are Councept Parts Score Detr Councept Parts Score Detr Councept Parts Score Underweiting Score Detr Councept Parts Score Detr Councept Parts Score Detr Councept Parts Score Underweiting Score Presed Detr Councept Parts Score Detr Councept Parts Score Detr Councept Parts Score Detr Councept Parts Score Detr Councept Parts Score Presed Detro Councept Parts Score Detr Councept Parts Score Detr Councept Parts Score Detr Councept Parts Score Presed Detro Councept Parts Score Detro Councept Parts Score Presed Detro Councept Parts Score Detro Councept Parts Score Presed Detro Councept Parts P	20 Yes 11 1 0 0 1 72 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(total CoC Unity/100 + HF Unity/50)*20) Webin 1 Mile of Headby Food (Cby GTS) Mobility, Access to Joba, Community Institution, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Multi-bedroom UnityTotal Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, B Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, D Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Webin 3/4 mile of fload route transit Housing Stability, Headth, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200 Soft botal project cost funded through AHFC request B pairity per Streduction in Iseverage below 50% (max 30) Amount of assistance per unit (\$200,000 - per unit wabid/s)*25/\$200,000 Amount of assistance per units (\$200,000 - per bedroom \$205,5000 - per bedroom \$205,000 - per bedroom \$205,000 - per bedroom \$205,0000 - per bedroom \$205,000 - per bedroom
Continuum of Care Score Access to Healthy Food Continuum of Care Weighted Score 3 Behreces toers 3 Behreces toers 4 Behreces toers 4 Behreces toers 5 Behreces toers 6 Behreces toers Multi-Generational Housing Weighted Score Neer-Piet, neer-Vescher torder 20% MP Accessibility Score Netro Access Service Access Service Acc	20 Yes 11 1 0 0 1 72 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(total CoC Unity/100 + HF Unity/50)*20) Webin 1 Mile of Headby Food (Cby GTS) Mobility, Access to Joba, Community Institution, Social Cohesion Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units Multi-bedroom UnityTotal Units * 20 Elementary School Rating from TEA Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, B Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, D Total units under 20% MFI Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20 Webin 3/4 mile of fload route transit Housing Stability, Headth, Mobility, Community Institutions MAXIMUM SCORE = 200 Soft botal project cost funded through AHFC request B pairity per Streduction in Iseverage below 50% (max 30) Amount of assistance per unit (\$200,000 - per unit wabid/s)*25/\$200,000 Amount of assistance per units (\$200,000 - per bedroom \$205,5000 - per bedroom \$205,000 - per bedroom \$205,000 - per bedroom \$205,0000 - per bedroom \$205,000 - per bedroom

About Us

RGTP Real Estate ("RGTP") was borne out of a long-term friendship and shared values. The principals, Russell Artman and Stephen Levine, have been friends for almost 30 years. While discussing their careers and plans, they were mutually disturbed about the critical shortage of affordable housing. Using Russell's real estate and Stephen's financial background, they created a novel approach to provide high-quality, affordable housing. They agreed that addressing the affordable housing crisis together, in their small way, was something they can be proud of in their next 40 years of friendship.

About the Principals

Russell Artman has purchased and sold more than 20 residential properties in Austin over the last 5 years valued at more than \$15MM. In the last year his projects included fix-and-flip properties in high end areas (Mount Bonnell & Cat Mountain) and condo conversion in a C-Class apartment complex (Austin). Throughout his career, he has purchased more than 60 properties in New York, Texas, and California. Russell is a licensed Texas Real Estate Broker and focuses on his investment portfolio and affordable housing.

Stephen Levine has been a professional investor since 1999 having worked in senior asset management positions at Credit Suisse, Deutsche Bank, Barclays Capital, and most recently, as Vice President at Goldman Sachs. In his career, Steve has directed >\$10bn in fixed income and equity investments, managed a \$1.3bn commercial paper portfolio, and member of PM team for a \$25bn high-yield / high-grade bond fund. Steve is exceptionally adept at financial management including innovative approaches to affordable housing.

Affordable Housing

Russell and Stephen's first affordable housing project was a 21-unit apartment complex in Austin, Texas. Within a year, the renovations were completed within budget and 80% of affordable units were closed with the remaining at various stages of the closing process. This project would not have been possible without the financial support of AHFC at a cost of less than \$32,000 per unit.

Russell and Stephen then took the lessons learned while developing a project meant to provide housing to households experiencing homelessness, and working with the current condo owners, will build 6 new affordable units. This will provide many benefits for the community, including a permanent reduction in the HOA fee (~11%), upgraded water piping, additional parking spots and an expansion of the bbq / picnic area.

Over the next several years, they intend to provide additional affordable housing for home ownership, rental, and supportive housing for veterans and people experiencing homelessness.

Below are some before-and-after photos at Croslin Court.









Key Wins from the First AHFC Partnership – Croslin Court Condominiums

Below are some highlights from the Croslin Court Condominium conversion project:

- 1. Three existing tenants entered into contract to purchase. Every interested tenant was given support with our partner lender and credit repair program.
- 2. All commitments to AHFC and the City of Austin were met without asking for additional funds. JESE Real Estate believes strongly in honoring our commitments fully. This includes:
 - Delivering quality affordable housing units on-budget and on-time
 - The principals paying out of pocket for unforeseen expenses such as: financing delays, de-scaling water pipes, increasing the size of the main water pipes for the entire complex, and other financially significant problems encountered
 - Improving the neighborhood by paying for security patrols and trash removal for other properties, while collaborating with other building owners to improve the neighborhood overall.
- 3. The general contractor and three of his employees entered into contract to purchase affordable units because they loved the way the units turned out.
- 4. Neighboring property owner told us that we were the catalyst to improve the whole sub-market as he has seen many properties start investing in their properties.

"The guys on the construction crew love the way the condos are turning out, can we each buy one?"

Vernon Hogan, General Contractor, Hogan & Associates

Local Partnerships

Austin is an incredible city filled with like-minded people and organizations. JESE Real Estate is proud to have partnered with Austin Habitat for Humanity, local mortgage lender, and local minority-owned businesses to provide quality, affordable housing on a long-term basis.

We are very fortunate to work with ECHO. Their organization has given JESE Real Estate insight into the causes of homelessness and some of the ways we can help address homelessness. RGTP Real Estate looks forward to working closing with ECHO over the months and years to come with supportive housing.

"You guys are truly amazing, kind hearted souls and it's been an honor working with you both."

Robin LaFleur, Prior Senior Program Coordinator, Austin Habitat for Humanity

Supportive Home Purchase Experience

JESE Real Estate takes a holistic approach to working with affordable homebuyers. Since many buyers were expected to be first-time homebuyers, a supportive home purchase experience needed to be created. This included:

- 1. Partnering with a preferred lender due to their extensive experience specifically with affordable mortgage options. Buyers were able to use any mortgage lender they wanted including UFCU and Navy Federal CU.
- 2. Partnering with HomeBase to help fill out and income qualify buyers for the City of Austin program. HomeBase also provided credit counseling services. JESE Real Estate paid for these HomeBase's services out of pocket.
- 3. Hosting lunches to learn about home ownership and help with filling out paperwork.
- 4. Encouraging buyers to use their own real estate agents even though those agents did not procure the property for the buyer. JESE Real Estate chose to pay commissions to empower advocacy of the buyer's interests.

"It has been a complete pleasure to work with Russ and Steve as I'm buying my first home. They have taken the time and attention to make sure I have a great experience during this big moment in my life and are always available to answer questions, make adjustments, or make sure the process is going smoothly. I would recommend working with this team to anyone, especially for first time home buyers. "

Homeowner of two-bedroom condo at Croslin Court



FIRST AFFORDABLE HOMEBUYER CLOSING JULY 2019



SOCIAL MEDIA POSTING



FUTURE HOMEOWNERS DOING PAPERWORK WITH HOMEBASE AT THE CONDOS. JESE PROVIDED LUNCH.



GENERAL CONTRACTOR AT CLOSING

Tenant Engagement

JESE Real Estate takes pride in their properties and wants to improve the local housing whenever possible. We implement our ideals by living up to our commitments and high expectations for ourselves. This means we address tenant issues quickly and correctly. In the case of Croslin Court which was a condo conversion, this also means helping tenants who did not want to purchase to relocate by providing relocation assistance, waiving lease cancelation fees, and extending leases before new leases begin.

"You guys are very respectful and I truly admire that!"

Prior tenant at Croslin Court

"Thank you for being as patients as a person can be Steve. I'm going to be overwhelmed with joy once we close out on the unit and all of this is behind us."

Prior tenant at Croslin Court

"You guys have been awesome with communication and have already made some great changes quickly. And thanks again for being so upfront with us and for caring about all the residents!"

Prior tenant at Croslin Court

"Thanks for everything you and Steve have done for me and my family. It has been nothing short of a blessing for meeting you guys. Thanks for helping us find a home for me and my family and even offering to give us your commission off the house! I don't know where me and my kids would've been if I didn't meet you and Steve! G-d bless you my brother and your more than welcome to stop by and check on us from time to time. Thanks again for everything Russ, I mean that from the bottom of my heart."

Prior tenant at Croslin Court

Certificate of Status

Corporations Section P.O.Box 13697 Austin, Texas 78711-3697



Ruth R. Hughs Secretary of State

Office of the Secretary of State

CERTIFICATE OF FILING OF

RGTP Real Estate, LLC File Number: 803591653

The undersigned, as Secretary of State of Texas, hereby certifies that a Certificate of Formation for the above named Domestic Limited Liability Company (LLC) has been received in this office and has been found to conform to the applicable provisions of law.

ACCORDINGLY, the undersigned, as Secretary of State, and by virtue of the authority vested in the secretary by law, hereby issues this certificate evidencing filing effective on the date shown below.

The issuance of this certificate does not authorize the use of a name in this state in violation of the rights of another under the federal Trademark Act of 1946, the Texas trademark law, the Assumed Business or Professional Name Act, or the common law.

Dated: 04/13/2020

Effective: 04/13/2020



Ruth R. Hughs Secretary of State

	Come visit us on the internet at https://www.sos.texas.gov/	
Phone: (512) 463-5555	Fax: (512) 463-5709	Dial: 7-1-1 for Relay Services
Prepared by: Kasey Gunderson	TID: 10306	Document: 962937670002

Resumes of Principals

RUSSELL ARTMAN

7606 Bellflower CV Austin, TX 78759 917.575.2219 russell.artman@gmail.com

February 2014 – present

Austin, TX

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Open Book Real Estate, LLC

Owner, Broker

Real estate investment company focusing on adding value to distressed properties.

- Condo converted a distressed 20-unit residential complex to 17 affordable housing units with 99-year affordability period with AHFC and Habitat for Humanity as key partners.
- Purchased six residential properties worth over \$3.4 million, added value to property by curing issues, and sold properties at market price worth over \$4.8 million (including current property).
- Self-financed and managed properties using private funding sources.
- Managed five construction teams simultaneously in addition to general contractor.
- Spent over \$750,000 annual on material using local sources whenever possible.
- Hired certified minority-owned business enterprises.

Lumeris

July 2013 – April 2017

Austin, TX

Population health management company that improves the quality of healthcare at a lower cost.

Redesigned managed health technology platform leading to over \$70 million in new revenue.

RelayHealth

Senior Product Manager

April 2012 – November 2012 Emeryville, CA

Senior Product Manager Developed patient identity service leveraging Data as a Service.

• Developed \$20 million product to facilitate medical records transfer to improve patient care.

Practice Fusion *Product Manager*

June 2010 – February 2012

San Francisco, CA

New York, NY

Electronic Medical Records software supporting 112,000 users and 2 million patient records.

• Led certification projects enabling customers to receive \$96 million in Federal funds.

Polaris Solutions

January 2008 – January 2010

Product Manager

Life sciences firm with compliant grants management and consultant payment arrangements software.

• Created new SaaS product and sold existing SaaS products generating \$20 million in new revenue with Genentech, Roche, Abbott, Johnson & Johnson, Cephalon, and Takeda.

SkyTech

November 2001 – December 2007 San Diego, CA

Owner, Broker

Mortgage and real estate investment company.

- Purchased more than 30 properties at foreclosure auction, improved properties, and sold within 4-6 months in California.
- Hired and managed 30 employees including sales, operations and finance departments.
- Improved mortgage sales productivity by 60% over industry standards and coordinated separate sources to consolidate pricing information.
- Developed pay and bonus structure to reward productivity, foster loyalty and transfer fixed costs to variable costs.
- Provided wholesale loans with industry leaders such as Wells Fargo, Bank of America and JP Morgan Chase.

EDUCATION & CERTIFICATION

Licensed Real Estate Broker, State of Texas	May 2013
Licensed Real Estate Broker, State of California	May 2002
Cornell University, B.A., Consumer Economics & Housing	May 1998

STEPHEN LEVINE

516.319.8353 slevine10@gmail.com

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

JESE Real Estate, LLC Member

Real estate investment company focusing on adding value to distressed properties.

- Condo converted a distressed 20-unit residential complex to 17 affordable housing units with 99-year affordability period with AHFC and Habitat for Humanity as key partners.
- Self-financed and managed properties using private funding sources.
- Hired certified minority-owned business enterprises.

Goldman Sachs Asset Management

Vice President – Senior Credit Analyst

- Focused on Energy and Utility & Power sectors
- Eight out of nine years posted positive excess returns
- Member of portfolio management team investing ~\$5bn of HY and IG AUM
- Built strong relationships across global equity, commodity, muni and HY groups
- Trained three IG analysts who took on senior coverage

Deutsche Asset Management

Vice President – Senior Credit Analyst

- Focused on Energy, Metals & Mining, Pulp/Paper/Packaging, Diversified Manufacturing sectors
- Pro-actively expanded role into providing fundamental analysis of select commodities
- Project manager and creator of risk management solution impacting global department of 75+ people

Barclays Capital

Associate Director – Senior Credit Analyst

- Sell-side analyst focused on Utility & Power sector
- Initiated client events at industry meetings and management led tour of nuclear facility
- Published thematic reports and actionable trade ideas

December 2018 – present Austin, TX

March 2009 – August 2010 New York, NY

March 2007 – October 2008

New York. NY

Sept 2010 – November 2020

New York, NY

23

Deutsche Bank

Deutsche Asset Management Vice President – Senior Equity & Credit Analyst (Mar 2006 – Oct 2006)

- Absolute return hedge fund analyst focused on Utility & Power sector
- Proposed long/short trades, pair trades, fixed income and option trades

Deutsche Bank Securities

Vice President – Senior Equity & Credit Analyst

- Launched senior coverage from combined equity / fixed-income perspective
- Worked directly with 5 traders (IG cash, IG CSD, HY cash, HY CDS and Equity)
- Starmine "five-star" ranked analyst
- First sell-side research team to provide simultaneous equity & fixed income coverage
- Worked exclusively on the #1 ranked *Institutional Investors* Utility & Power team
- Trained two analysts in both credit and equity research
- Produced ~\$100,000 of annual cost savings

Credit Suisse First Boston

Investment Banking Analyst

- Managed a \$1.2bn commercial paper program
- Created annual revenues of ~\$250,000 and cost savings of ~\$75,000

EDUCATION & CERTIFICATION

Bucknell University, B.S.B.A., Accounting, Finance, Economics NASD Series 7, 63, 86 and 87

June 1999

New York, NY

July 2000 – October 2006 New York, NY

June 1999 – July 2000

New York, NY

Resumes of Development Team

This section includes the key personnel for this project which include:

- Applicant RGTP Real Estate
- CoC Service Coordinator and Non-Profit Partner Ending Community Homelessness Coalition (ECHO)
- General Contractor
- Property Management

CoC Service Coordinator and Non-Profit Partner – Ending Community Homelessness Coalition (ECHO)

General Contractor – The GC for Croslin Court affordable housing home ownership project has worked with the principals of RGTP for 3+ years with a proven track record of successful projects that come in on-time and within budget. He is also a certified MBE business owner.

Property Management – The property manager RGTP selected has been operating in Austin for 20+ years, has 35% of current units under management designated as Continuum of Care, and has worked with ECHO successfully over many sites both owned by RGTP and others. This property manager was recommended by ECHO for having deep experience working with households experiencing homelessness. RGTP is aware of the population specific needs and purposely wants to have an experienced property manager that works well with ECHO in order to ensure successful operations and to make sure the residents are well supported and respected.

Federal IRS Certification

IRS DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CINCINNATI OH 45999-0023

> RGTP REAL ESTATE LLC STEPHEN LEVINE MBR 7606 BELLFLOWER CV

AUSTIN, TX 78759

Date of this notice: 04-14-2020

Employer Identification Number: 85-0703525

Form: SS-4

Number of this notice: CP 575 B

For assistance you may call us at: 1-800-829-4933

IF YOU WRITE, ATTACH THE STUB AT THE END OF THIS NOTICE.

WE ASSIGNED YOU AN EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

Thank you for applying for an Employer Identification Number (EIN). We assigned you EIN 85-0703525. This EIN will identify you, your business accounts, tax returns, and documents, even if you have no employees. Please keep this notice in your permanent records.

When filing tax documents, payments, and related correspondence, it is very important that you use your EIN and complete name and address exactly as shown above. Any variation may cause a delay in processing, result in incorrect information in your account, or even cause you to be assigned more than one EIN. If the information is not correct as shown above, please make the correction using the attached tear off stub and return it to us.

Based on the information received from you or your representative, you must file the following form(s) by the date(s) shown.

Form 1065

03/15/2021

If you have questions about the form(s) or the due date(s) shown, you can call us at the phone number or write to us at the address shown at the top of this notice. If you need help in determining your annual accounting period (tax year), see Publication 538, Accounting Periods and Methods.

We assigned you a tax classification based on information obtained from you or your representative. It is not a legal determination of your tax classification, and is not binding on the IRS. If you want a legal determination of your tax classification, you may request a private letter ruling from the IRS under the guidelines in Revenue Procedure 2004-1, 2004-1 I.R.B. 1 (or superseding Revenue Procedure for the year at issue). Note: Certain tax classification elections can be requested by filing Form 8832, Entity Classification Election. See Form 8832 and its instructions for additional information.

A limited liability company (LLC) may file Form 8832, Entity Classification Election, and elect to be classified as an association taxable as a corporation. If the LLC is eligible to be treated as a corporation that meets certain tests and it will be electing S corporation status, it must timely file Form 2553, Election by a Small Business Corporation. The LLC will be treated as a corporation as of the effective date of the S corporation election and does not need to file Form 8832.

To obtain tax forms and publications, including those referenced in this notice, visit our Web site at www.irs.gov. If you do not have access to the Internet, call 1-800-829-3676 (TTY/TDD 1-800-829-4059) or visit your local IRS office.

Market Study

scout

8806 Redfield Ln, Austin, TX 78758

Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021



- Neighborhood Boundary Micro-Neighborhood Boundary





Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021



MEDIAN MONTHLY RENT BY NUMBER OF BEDROOMS

SETTING

	FARMS
--	-------

NEIGHBORHOOD LOOK AND FEEL

	URBAN			
DENSELY URBAN	8,074 PEOPLE/SQ. MILE	SUBURBAN	RURAL	REMOTE
		Population Density		



Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021

HOUSING MARKET DETAILS

AGE OF HOMES



Single-Family 36.4% Toerhones 4.6% Small Apt. Buildings 24.3% Apt. Complexes 31.1% Mobile Hones 2.6% Other 1.0% 0.0% % OF HOMES 100.0%

HOME SIZE



SPECIAL PURPOSE HOUSING

TYPES OF HOMES



HOMEOWNERSHIP

HOMEOWNERSHIP RATE



scout

8806 Redfield Ln, Austin, TX 78758

Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021

THE 8806 REDFIELD LN NEIGHBORHOOD DEMOGRAPHICS

136 Vital Statistics found.

O Condition Alerts found.

LIFESTYLE

đ	First Time Homebuyers	75.6%	Good
184	Young Single Professionals	69.1%	Fair
M	Luxury Communities	28.0%	Poor
ŧ,	College Student Friendly	11.55	Poor
£.	Retirement Dream Areas	9.99	Poor
W	Family Friendly	48%	Poor
<u>۶</u>	Vacation Home Locations		Poor

SPECIAL CHARACTER

	Hip Trendy	79.4%	Good
ty.	Walkable	64.9%	Fair
月	Quiet	23.4%	Poor
Ŧ	Urban Sophisticates	18.4%	Poor
J	Nautical		Poor

scout

8806 Redfield Ln, Austin, TX 78758 Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021

AGE / MARITAL STATUS



MARITAL STATUS



GENDER RATIO





Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021

HOUSEHOLD TYPES

ONE PERSON HOUSEHOLDS

This Neighborhood	39.2%	
Nation	27.2%	
0.0%	% OF RESIDENTS	.0%

SAME SEX PARTNERS



MARRIED COUPLE WITH CHILD



SINGLE PARENT WITH CHILD



Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021



EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



scout

8806 Redfield Ln, Austin, TX 78758

Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021

COMMUTE TO WORK

AVERAGE ONE-WAY COMMUTE TIME



MEANS OF TRANSPORT



VEHICLES PER HOUSEHOLD



MIGRATION & MOBILITY





Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021

RACE & ETHNIC DIVERSITY



OCCUPATIONS





Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021

ANCESTRIES & LANGUAGES SPOKEN

ANCESTRY (TOP 20) LANGUAGES SPOKEN (TOP 20) 40.7% 56.2% Spanish 11.1% 41.1% Central American English Intel 3.7% 1.2% French U.S. or American 3.2% African 1.0% 3.1% German Polish 0.3% Spanish 2.4% Arabic 0.3% English 2.2% 0.0% Vietnamese French 1.9% Urdu 0.0% Sub.African 17% 0.0% Italian South American 1.5% 0.0% Italian 1.3% 0.0% Russian Puerto Rican 1.2% 0.0% Portuguese Scottish 1.1% 0.0% Persian Scots-Irish 10% 0.0% Native American British 0.9% 0.0% Mon-Khmer Nonwegian 0.6% Korean 0.0% Arab 0.6% 0.0% Inc African 0.5% 0.0% Langs of India Polish 0.3% 0.0% Greek French Canadian 0.2% German/Yildeh 0.0% 100.0% 100.0% 0.0% 0.0% % OF RESIDENTS % OF RESIDENTS

UNEMPLOYMENT RATE





Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021

AVERAGE INCOME

PER CAPITA INCOME



MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



EDUCATION

PERCENT WITH COLLEGE DEGREE



PERCENT WITH ADVANCED DEGREE





Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021

INCOME AND EDUCATION





Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021

THE 8806 REDFIELD LN NEIGHBORHOOD CRIME

67 Vital Statistics found.

7 Condition Alerts found.

NEIGHBORHOOD CRIME DATA

TOTAL CRIME INDEX	NEIGHBORHOOD ANNUAL CRIMES			
2		VIOLENT	PROPERTY	TOTAL
(100 is safest)	Number of Crimes	50	388	438
Safer than 2% of U.S. neighborhoods.	Crime Rate (per 1,000 residents)	10.53	81.68	92.21

NEIGHBORHOOD VIOLENT CRIME



scout

8806 Redfield Ln, Austin, TX 78758

Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021



VIOLENT CRIME COMPARISON (PER 1,000 RESIDENTS)

MY CHANCES OF E	BECOMING A VICTIM OF A	VIOLENT CRIME
1 IN 95 🔺	1 IN 245	1 IN 239
in this Neighborhood	in Austin	in Texas

AUSTIN VIOLENT CRIMES

POPULATION: 978,908

	MURDER	RAPE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT
Report Total	32	547	977	2,433
Rate per 1,000	0.03	0.56	1.00	2.49

UNITED STATES VIOLENT CRIMES

POPULATION: 328,239,523

	MURDER	RAPE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT
Report Total	16,425	139,015	267,988	821,182
Rate per 1,000	0.05	0.43	0.82	2.50



Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021

NEIGHBORHOOD PROPERTY CRIME



PROPERTY CRIME COMPARISON (PER 1,000 RESIDENTS)



MY CHANCES OF BE	COMING A VICTIM OF A PI	ROPERTY CRIME
1 IN 12 🔺	1 IN 26	1 IN 42
in this Neighborhood	in Austin	in Texas


Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021

AUSTIN PROPERTY CRIMES

POPULATION: 978,908

	BURGLARY	THEFT	MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT
Report Total	4,383	30,033	3,071
Rate per 1,000	4.40	30.68	3.14

UNITED STATES PROPERTY CRIMES

POPULATION: 328,239,523

	BURGLARY	THEFT	MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT
Report Total	1,117,696	5,086,096	721,885
Rate per 1,000	3.41	15.50	2.20

CRIME PER SQUARE MILE





Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021

THE 8806 REDFIELD LN NEIGHBORHOOD SCHOOLS

SCHOOL RATING INFORMATION

SCHOOL QUALITY	ADDRESS SCHOOL QUALITY RATING
19	Address-Specific School Quality Rating. Rates the quality of the K-12 public schools that serve this address. (1)
(100 is best) Better than 19% of U.S. schools.	

SCHOOLS IN THIS ADDRESS

SCHOOL DETAILS	GRADIES	QUALITY RATING COMPARED TO TX*	QUALITY RATING COMPARED TO NATION"
Burnet Middle School 8401 Hathsway Dr Austin, TX 78757	06-08	0	0
Haveno Early College H 5 School 1201 Peyton Gin Rd Austin, TX 78758	09-12	0	0
Wooldridge Dementary School 1432 Norseman Ter Austin, TX 70758	PK-05	0	0

NEIGHBORHOOD EDUCATIONAL ENVIRONMENT

Adults in Neighborhood With College Degree Gr Higher	23,25
Children in The Heighborhood Living in Powerty	24.25



Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021

THIS NEIGHBORHOOD IS SERVED BY 1 DISTRICT:

AUSTIN ISD

80,911	128	15
Students Enrolled in This District	Schools in District	Students Per Classroom



Public School Test Scores (No Child Left Behind)





Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021

School District Enrollment By Group

ETHNICRACIAL GROUPS	THE DETRICT	THESSTATE
White (non-hispanic)	30.9%	27.9%
Back	72%	13.0%
Hisparic	57.2%	53.8%
Asian Or Pacific Islander	45%	4.7%
American Indian Or Native Of Alaska	0.2%	0.5%

ECONOMIC GROUPS	THIS DISTRICT	THESSTATE
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANT/AGED	52.9%	60.2%
PREE LUNCH EUGIDLE	50.4%	55.dN
REDUCED LUNCH ELIGIBLE	25%	4.6%

Educational Expenditures

FOR THIS DISTRICT	PERSTUDIONT	TOTAL	NORTON
Instructional Expenditures	\$5,792	\$472,938,000	29.89
Support Expenditures			
Student	\$559	\$45,629,000	2.7
Suf	\$637	\$51,979,000	12
General Administration	\$116	\$9,443,000	0.8
School Administration	\$469	\$54,647,000	34
Operation	\$1,137	\$93,025,000	5.9
Transportation	\$412	\$33,644,000	2.1
Other	\$536	\$43,800,000	28
Total Support	\$4,068	\$332,167,000	20.9
Non-Instructional Expenditures 🛕	\$9,408	\$784.524,000	47.4
Total Expenditures 🛕	\$17,467	\$1,589,629,000	100.07



Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021

THE 8806 REDFIELD LN TRENDS AND FORECAST

SCOUT VISION® SUMMARY

RISING STAR INDEX ()



Appreciation Potential (3 years)

RATINGS: 1=Very Low 2=Low 3=Moderate 4=High

5=Rising Star

BLUE CHIP INDEX ()



Past Appreciation and existing fundamentals

RATINGS: 1=Very Low 2=Low 3=Moderate 4=High

5=Blue Chip

SCOUT VISION Neighborhood Home Value Trend and Forecast ()





Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021

SCOUT VISION® HOME VALUE TRENDS AND FORECAST

TIME PERIOD		TOTAL APPRECIATION	AVG. ANIMUAL RATE	COMPARED TO METRO	COMPARED TO AMERICA
3 Year Forecast: 2021 Q2-2024 Q2		-7.72% 🔶	-2.64% 🔶	0	0
Latest Quarter: 2000 Q4- 2021 Q1	4	2.74% 🕈	11.41% (10	•
Last 12 Months: 2020 Q1- 2021 Q1	4	11.035 +	11.83% +	10	
Last 2 Years: 2019 Q1- 2021 Q1	4	20.13% +	9.80% 🕈	10	
Last 5 Years: 2016 Q1 - 2021 Q1	4	58.97% 🔶	9.71% 🕈		-
Last 10 Years: 2011 Q1-2021 Q1	4	153.075 +	9.76% 🕈	10	6
Since 2000: 2000 Q1-2021 Q1	4	172.09% 🕈	5.00% +	0	
					* 10 is h

KEY PRICE DRIVERS AT THIS LOCATION

Pros

Factors likely to drive home values upward over the next few years or indicators of upward trends already underway.

C Educated Population Trend

- O Access to High Paying Jobs
- O Income Trend

Cons

Impediments to home value appreciation over the next

few years or indicators of negative trends already

underway.

Crime

Regional Housing Market Outlook

School Performance



Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021

SCOUT VISION® PROXIMITY INDEX

PRICE ADVANTAGE OVER SURROUNDING NEIGHBORHOODS (1)

Similar Price



Price advantage score

RATINGS: 1=Strong Disadvantage 2=Disadvantage

3=Similar Price 4=Advantage 5=Strong Advantage

\$137

Neighborhood price per sqft

\$148

Average Nearby Home Price per sqft

JOBS WITHIN AN HOUR

WITHIN	HIGH-PAYING* JOBS
5 minutes	3548
10 minutes	92868
15 minutes	200259
20 minutes	360592
30 minutes	454873
45 minutes	481349
60 minutes	505126

ACCESS TO HIGH PAYING JOBS ①



Jobs score

RATINGS: 1=Limited 2=Below Average 3=Average 4=Very

Good 5=Excellent

'Annual salary of \$75,000 or more



Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021

SCOUT VISION® REAL ESTATE TRENDS AND FORECAST

AVG. ANNUAL HOMEOWNERSHIP TREND Over last 5 years



AVG. ANNUAL RENT PRICE TREND Over last 5 years 📣



AVG. ANNUAL VACANCY TRENDS Over last 5 years



SCOUT VISION® CRIME TRENDS AND FORECAST





Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021

SCOUT VISION® EDUCATION TRENDS AND FORECAST

AVG. ANNUAL CHANGE IN COLLEGE GRADUATES Over last 5 years



AVG. ANNUAL CHANGE IN K-12 SCHOOL PERFORMANCE Over last 5 years



SCOUT VISION® ECONOMIC TRENDS AND FORECAST

AVG ANNUAL CHANGE IN PER CAPITA INCOME Over last 5 years 📣



AVG ANNUAL CHANGE IN HOUSEHOLD INCOME Over last 5 years



AVG ANNUAL CHANGE IN UNEMPLOYMENT RATE Over last 5 years





Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021

SCOUT VISION® DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS

DISTANCE FROM LOCATION	POPULATION 5 YEARS AGO	CURRENT POPULATION	PERCENT CHANGE
Half Mile	6,338	6,168	-2.68% 🔶
1 Mie	24,520	24,715	0.79% 🕈
3 Miles	140,041	146,799	4.83% 🛧
5 Miles	302,560	321,476	4.25% †
10 Miles	773,659	834,908	A 7.92% 🋧
15 Miles	1,279,459	1,414,123	🛕 10.53% 🋧
25 Miles	1,663,991	1,902,686	🛕 14.34X 🛧
50 Miles	2,107,648	2,422,761	🛕 14.95% 🋧

SCOUT VISION® REGIONAL HOUSING MARKET ANALYSIS

AUSTIN-ROUND ROCK, TX METRO AREA REGIONAL INVESTMENT POTENTIAL (i)



Regional Appreciation Potential (3yr)

RATINGS: 1=Very Low 2=Low 3=Moderate 4=High

5=Very High



Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021

HOUSING AFFORDABILITY TRENDS: AUSTIN-ROUND ROCK, TX METRO AREA ()

Years of average household income needed to buy average home





REGIONAL TREND	LAST 2 YEARS	COMPARED TO NATION*	LAST LYEAR	COMPARED TO NATION*
Population Growth	5,91% +	50 A	3.01N +	0
Job Growth	19.2 7 % 🕈	0	17035 +	0
Income Trend (Wilgow)	16.45N +	9 4	6.57% 🔶	
Unemployment Thend	2.11% 🕈	0	-7.67% 🔹	0
Stock Performance of Region's Industries	37.15% 🕈	D A	31.10% 🕈	•
Housing Added	7.12% 🕈	• •	152% 🕈	💿 🔺
Vacancy Trend	-1.125 +	A 10 A	-0.48%	0
				* 10 is highest

REGIONAL 1 AND 2 YEAR GROWTH TRENDS ()

Disclaimer

Forecasts of potential occurrences or non-occurrences of future conditions and events are inherently uncertain. Actual results may differ materially from what is predicted in any information provided by location inc. Nothing contained in or generated by a Location Inc. Product or services is, or should be relied upon as, a promise or representation as to the future performance or prediction of real estate values. No representation is made as to the accuracy of any forecast, estimate, or projection. Location Inc. Makes no express or implied warranty and all information and content is provided "As is" without any warranties of any kind. Location inc. Expressly disclaims any warranty of accuracy or predictability, and any warranty of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Location Inc. Further disclaims any liability for damages, loss, or injury arising out of the use this site and the data. All risks associated with using the site and the data are borne by the user at user's sole cost and expense. By using the site you agree to our Terms of Use.



ABOUT THE 8806 REDFIELD LN NEIGHBORHOOD

Real Estate Prices and Overview

This neighborhood's median real estate price is \$277,616, which is more expensive than 76.2% of the neighborhoods in Texas and 58.2% of the neighborhoods in the U.S.

The average rental price in this neighborhood is currently \$1,233, based on NeighborhoodScout's exclusive analysis. Rents here are currently lower in price than 52.5% of Texas neighborhoods.

This is an urban neighborhood (based on population density) located in Austin, Texas.

This neighborhood's real estate is primarily made up of small (studio to two bedroom) to medium sized (three or four bedroom) single-family homes and apartment complexes/high-rise apartments. Most of the residential real estate is renter occupied. Many of the residences in this neighborhood are established but not old, having been built between 1970 and 1999. A number of residences were also built between 1940 and 1969.

Home and apartment vacancy rates are 8.6% in this neighborhood. NeighborhoodScout analysis shows that this rate is lower than 51.3% of the neighborhoods in the nation, approximately near the middle range for vacancies.

Notable & Unique Neighborhood Characteristics

Many things matter about a neighborhood, but the first thing most people notice is the way a neighborhood looks and its particular character. For example, one might notice whether the buildings all date from a certain time period or whether shop signs are in multiple languages. This particular neighborhood in Austin, the N Lamar Blvd / Fairfield Dr neighborhood, has some outstanding things about the way it looks and its way of life that are worth highlighting.

Notable & Unique: Modes of Transportation

In the N Lamar Blvd / Fairfield Dr neighborhood, carpooling is still a popular way to get to and from work. NeighborhoodScout's analysis reveals that 26.6% of commuters carpool here, which is more than in 99.2% of all U.S. neighborhoods.



Report date: Monday, August 23, 2021

The Neighbors

The Neighbors: Income

How wealthy a neighborhood is, from very wealthy, to middle income, to low income is very formative with regard to the personality and character of a neighborhood. Equally important is the rate of people, particularly children, who live below the federal poverty line. In some wealthy gated communities, the areas immediately surrounding can have high rates of childhood poverty, which indicates other social issues. NeighborhoodScout's analysis reveals both aspects of income and poverty for this neighborhood.

The neighbors in the N Lamar Blvd / Fairfield Dr neighborhood in Austin are lower-middle income, making it a below average income neighborhood. NeighborhoodScout's research shows that this neighborhood has an income lower than 84.9% of U.S. neighborhoods. With 34.3% of the children here below the federal poverty line, this neighborhood has a higher rate of childhood poverty than 82.4% of U.S. neighborhoods.

The Neighbors: Occupations

What we choose to do for a living reflects who we are. Each neighborhood has a different mix of occupations represented, and together these tell you about the neighborhood and help you understand if this neighborhood may fit your lifestyle.

In the N Lamar Blvd / Fairfield Dr neighborhood, 35.6% of the working population is employed in sales and service jobs, from major sales accounts, to working in fast food restaurants. The second most important occupational group in this neighborhood is manufacturing and laborer occupations, with 29.6% of the residents employed. Other residents here are employed in executive, management, and professional occupations (24.7%), and 10.0% in clerical, assistant, and tech support occupations.

The Neighbors: Languages

The most common language spoken in the N Lamar Blvd / Fairfield Dr neighborhood is Spanish, spoken by 56.2% of households. Some people also speak English (41.1%).



The Neighbors: Ethnicity / Ancestry

Culture is shared learned behavior. We learn it from our parents, their parents, our houses of worship, and much of our culture – our learned behavior – comes from our ancestors. That is why ancestry and ethnicity can be so interesting and important to understand: places with concentrations of people of one or more ancestries often express those shared learned behaviors and this gives each neighborhood its own culture. Even different neighborhoods in the same city can have drastically different cultures.

In the N Lamar Blvd / Fairfield Dr neighborhood in Austin, TX, residents most commonly identify their ethnicity or ancestry as Mexican (40.7%). There are also a number of people of Irish ancestry (3.7%), and residents who report German roots (3.1%), and some of the residents are also of Spanish ancestry (2.4%), along with some English ancestry residents (2.2%), among others. In addition, 40.1% of the residents of this neighborhood were born in another country. Getting to Work

How you get to work – car, bus, train or other means – and how much of your day it takes to do so is a large quality of life and financial issue. Especially with gasoline prices rising and expected to continue doing so, the length and means of one's commute can be a financial burden. Some neighborhoods are physically located so that many residents have to drive in their own car, others are set up so many walk to work, or can take a train, bus, or bike. The greatest number of commuters in N Lamar Blvd / Fairfield Dr neighborhood spend between 15 and 30 minutes commuting one-way to work (41.6% of working residents), which is shorter than the time spent commuting to work for most Americans.

Here most residents (66.9%) drive alone in a private automobile to get to work. In addition, quite a number also carpool with coworkers, friends, or neighbors to get to work (26.6%) and 5.9% of residents also ride the bus for their daily commute. In a neighborhood like this, as in most of the nation, many residents find owning a car useful for getting to work.

Good Neighbor Plan

We view our Good Neighbor Plan as an evolving strategy; looking to AHFC staff, neighborhood associations, and the community for suggestions. At a minimum, we intend to do the following. Also, working with ECHO and their partners, do additional outreach as they see fit.

- 1. Consult with NHCD staff
- 2. Work with the Neighborhood Association including:
 - a. Reach out to the neighborhood association to attend community meetings and discuss the project. Include ECHO and possibly others (city staff, service providers, etc.)
 - b. Support neighborhood advisory committees and/or provide regular project updates if requested
 - c. Share relevant information such as:
 - i. Experience as housing developer including names and addresses of other affordable housing developments,
 - ii. Description of targeted population to the extent that it does not compromise the safety, confidentiality or well-being of the residents or compromise adherence to fair housing policy,
 - iii. Information about property management and support services,
 - iv. Description of expected property improvements (parking, unit count, and additional community amenities) although none are planned at this time,
 - v. Planned mechanisms for communication between the housing developer and neighbors, including a 24-hour contact person and phone number if possible
 - d. Seek feedback from the neighborhood and work collaboratively whenever possible
 - e. Promote transparency and trust by providing honest and complete information to reasonably set expectations
- 3. Develop a Communication Plan as appropriate to create a single point of contact to liaise with neighborhood association and community at large

Residential Services

On-site residential services will include:

- 1. The sole two-bedroom unit may be used by service providers to provide on-site services as they see fit. This will be determined by the guidance received by ECHO and service providers as the building is being used and needs are assessed.
- 2. Buildings will have on-site laundry

Property Maps

Council District – District 4



Census Tract – 18.05



Opportunity Value



Gentrification Value – Susceptible



Mobility Bond Corridor



Imagine Austin Corridor: 1/2-Mile Buffer



High Frequency Bus Route: 1/4-Mile Buffer



Healthy Food



Floodplains

