

## CITY OF AUSTIN CAP & STITCH PROGRAM

**Council Member:** Velasquez; Vela; Alter; Qadri

**Received:** 4/4/2025

**Question:** Regarding the northern cap between 38th Street and the CapMetro Red Line:

- a) What are the assumptions behind the TIRZ analysis?
- b) Can we see scenarios with varying development assumptions, from more modest three to six story buildings to high-rise development of twenty stories or more?
- c) How would the addition of a Red Line station on the northern cap influence the analysis?

**Answer:**

- a) The assumptions are outlined in the [December 13, 2024 memo to Council on value capture analysis](#): 2.0-3.0 Floor Area Ratio (FAR) redevelopment; 20-year bond debt; 5% interest rate; 1.25 debt coverage ratio (DCR); \$337/sf blended average valuation; 40% multifamily, 40% office, 20% retail). These assumptions are estimated to generate \$76 million in capital to fund cap development.
- b) The 2.0 to 3.0 district-level FAR already covers a wide array of density scenarios. We can offer a 4.0 FAR option, which would increase a tax increment reinvestment zone (TIRZ) bond for the northern caps to \$103 million. Future market support for 4.0 FAR densities that exceed more centrally located areas is less likely and falls \$22 million short of the estimated cost of the two northern caps (38-41 & 41-Redline) of \$125 million, a cost estimate likely to continue escalating from 30% design and with current economic uncertainties. A TIRZ bond issued based on optimistic revenue projection scenarios creates performance risk as the City must cover the bond debt should redevelopment expectations underperform, thereby eroding the City's debt capacity.
- c) It increases costs significantly by adding at least \$60M to design and build a Red line station. Densities above already assume it is a transit-oriented development.