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Tonight’s Topics

- The MCAC Moving Forward
- Reviewing the Draft Transportation Network
- Small Group Work
  - Policies
  - Maps
- Questions
The MCAC Moving Forward

Thank you for all you have done so far!

We will hold one more meeting before we take the ASMP to City Council.
Draft Transportation Network

As you may have already seen our draft maps have been released:

- Safety Analysis
- Sidewalk System
- Roadway System
- Public Transportation System
- Bicycle System
- Urban Trail System
- Non-radioactive Hazardous Materials Routing
Austin Strategic Mobility Plan

Developing the Draft Transportation Network

Welcome to Part Two of the draft recommendations for the Austin Strategic Mobility Plan (ASMP). This presentation is a series of maps of the draft ASMP transportation network which will help direct our transportation investments for years to come. Part One is a preview of the draft ASMP policy statements, and can be found at www.austintexas.gov/ASMP or by selecting the following link.

Developing the Draft Policies

The ASMP is the City's new transportation plan. The ASMP will address the ways we get around Austin and guide our transportation policies, programs, projects, and investments for the next 20+ years. It will also build connections, improve our current systems, increase accountability, and plan for Austin's long-term transportation future.

We want to hear from you! At the end of this presentation, you can provide feedback in a map about the draft ASMP transportation network.
This is our feedback map. It can be used to review proposed projects or suggest new projects for all modes.
Map Review

We are looking for feedback on how people use the maps:

- What was difficult to use in the maps?
- What could better explained?
- What questions or terms should we add to our FAQ and glossary?
- Thoughts and suggestions on disseminating the maps throughout the community
Group Work: Policy Review

We’ve already heard from different groups that our policies don’t cover some important topics.

Please review our policies and consider if the corresponding policies we’ve written do handle the following topics well, if the policies need to be clearer, if we missed the boat completely, or something in between.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Current Policies to Review and Questions Received</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Placemaking</td>
<td>LU-3, LU-5, CM-1, CM-2, CM-3, CO-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scooters and Low Speed Vehicles</td>
<td>S-3, SM-2, SM-3, SM-4, SS-1, BS-1, BS-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated Pathways</td>
<td>PT-1, PT-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>How does implementation work?       How do we follow through on our Policies?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How can the public track progress? How can the public participate to hold us accountable?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The meaning and implementation of FS-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Group Work: Policy Review Reports

Please share your group’s thoughts with all of us
Group Work: Map Review

What projects or categories of projects do you like?

What projects or categories of projects do you find most problematic?

What projects or categories of projects are missing?

What projects or categories of projects will your members be most passionate about (for or against)?

What is the best and most transparent way to add or remove projects from the map if errors or new information come to light during the public engagement period?
Group Work: Map Review Reports

Please share your group’s thoughts with all of us
Questions?