May 21, 2013

BIOFILTRATION AND RAIN GARDEN MEDIA CERTIFICATION GUIDANCE

This document provides guidance for complying with the biofiltration media criteria in section 1.6.7.C.4.A of the Environmental Criteria Manual (ECM). The criteria also apply to rain gardens, described in section 1.6.7.H of the ECM. The criteria require the use of an appropriate filtration media that will provide stormwater treatment and support plant growth. In addition, certification of the media is required.

The biofiltration media should be a mixture of sand and other ingredients. Recognizing the difficulty in determining the correct types and proportions of various ingredients, the City has tested various media in order to characterize physical and chemical properties. The recommendations below reflect the test results and research conducted by the City and other stormwater professionals.

Creating Biofiltration Mixture

The following mixture (% by <u>volume</u>) should create an appropriate biofiltration media, subject to specific characteristics of the topsoil, which may exhibit considerable variability:

- 70-80% concrete sand and/or screened decomposed granite sand
- 20-30% screened bulk topsoil (chocolate loam is also acceptable)
- The source materials must be free of stones, trash, and other undesirable material, and should not contain weeds or weed seeds.
- The ingredients must be well-mixed to create a homogenous media.

A commercially available fill material that should not be used is typically marketed as "sandy loam." This product is often referred to by landscapers as "red death", which refers to the color of the material, and is an infertile fill material that has poor drainage characteristics. It will not be approved as topsoil.

Some shrinkage of the media is to be expected after installation, in the range of 5-15%. As a general recommendation, about 20 inches of media should be installed to achieve the required depth of 18 inches. Wetting of the media at the time of installation is needed in order to determine actual shrinkage and amount of "make-up" material needed.

Certification

Certification will require the submittal of a formal report to the City of Austin that contains the following elements:

A. Signed statement provided by the engineer or his/her designee (e.g., contractor, soil supplier) that:

• A laboratory analysis has been conducted by of the actual mixture being proposed, and has been verified as meeting the specifications below. The date of the laboratory analysis must be no more than six months prior to the date of installation of the biofiltration media. A copy of the laboratory results must be provided.

- No "sandy loam" fill material (aka "red death") is included in the mixture
- B. Laboratory analysis results documenting that the mixture meets the following specifications:
 - Particle size distribution and textural analysis:
 - \circ Sand content of 70 90%
 - Clay content of 3 10%
 - o Silt + clay content < 27%
 - Percent Organic Matter (by weight) of 0.5 5.0%

A saturated hydraulic conductivity of $k \ge 2.0$ in/hr can be presumed if the organic matter and texture analysis criteria are verified.

A sample certification document is provided below.

John Doe Consulting Engineers

October 25, 2007

City of Austin Watershed Protection and Development Review Department P.O. Box 1088 Austin, TX 78767

RE: Water Quality Pond Biofiltration Media for Sunny Farms Subdivision, Phase II, Section V

To Whom It May Concern,

As the engineer for the subject project I certify that the biofiltration media has been tested by a laboratory using approved procedures (identified as MIX8 in lab results provided below) and meets the following criteria as noted:

Biofiltration Media Characteristics						
Parameter	Results*	Criteria	Criteria Met?*			
Percent Sand	82.3%	70 - 90%	YES			
Percent Clay	5.8%	3 - 10%	YES			
Percent Silt + Clay	17.7%	< 27%	YES			
Percent Organic Matter	2.5	0-5.0%	YES			
Is there compost in the media mixture?	NO	None allowed	NO			
Is any "Red Death" included in media mixture?	NO	None allowed	YES			
Is the mixture free of trash, stones, weeds, or other undesirable material?	YES	None allowed	YES			
Is the media well-mixed and homogenous?	YES	Must be homogenous	YES			

Testing and installat of DakeNOT PROVALDate of Reported Laboratory Data (earliest)*8/29/2007Date of Media Installation*12/13/2007Time between Dates (days)*106Criteria for Maximum Time Between Dates (days)180Is Criteria Met?*YES

* CERTIFYING INDIVIDUAL MUST FILL IN THESE CELLS

Sincerely,

John W. Doe

John W. Doe. P.E. John Doe Consulting Engineers P. O. Box XXX Austin, TX Phone: 512-555-0000 Place Engineer's Seal Here

		Date Reported: 08/31/07 Date Received: 08/27/07		Analyst- Verified- Date Date	Amitted	/Sue Ann Seitz/Rob Ferris ient Services				
Midwest	Laboratories, Inc. Materia 691 44: 3815 • (402) 324 7770 • FAX (402) 324 9921 REPORT OF XNXLVSIS	For: (21215) CITY OF AUSTIN (512)974-1882 SOIL ANALYSIS	IPLE	Found Units Limit Method	Respectfully Si	Heather Ramig	OR A	APPK	ROVA	
	Report Number 07-243-2033 138:11 'Er Stope	Mail to: CITY OF AUSTIN TOM FRANK PO BOX 1088 AUSTIN TX 78767	Lab number: 1333326 Sample ID: MIX8	Analysis						



Particle Size Distribution Report Report No.: 07-243-2033 Project: Client: CITY OF AUSTIN Date: 08/31/2007 Source of Sample: 1333326 Sample No: Elev./Depth:: Location: MIX8 100 90 80 70 PERCENT FINER. **PPROVA** A R 80 40 30 20 10 0.01 0.001 ð 的主 600 GRAIN SIZE - mm % FINES % SAND % GRAVEL ELAY-% COBBLES SILT MEDRUM PINE CR3-CRS. FINE CIII 5.8 13.5 \$7.1 21.70.00.0 0.0 Soil Description PASST SIEVE PERCENT SPEC." 0/0 sand = 82-3% (X=NO) PERCENT 51 SIZE FINER 23 Tin. 100.0 1.5 in. .75 in. .375 in. =17, 100.0 100.0 Attorberg Limits 100.0 Pla PLE 100.0 124 99.8 82.2 52.4 29.9 118 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Coefficients} \\ D_{60}{}^{=} 0.711 \\ D_{15}{}^{=} 0.0500 \\ C_{e}{}^{=} 6.98 \end{array}$ #16 D50= 0.567 D10= 0.0183 $\begin{array}{c} D_{85} = 1.28 \\ D_{30} = 0.301 \\ C_{u} = 38.82 \end{array}$ 430 #50 $^{\pm 100}_{\pm 200}$ 報 Classification AASHTO= USCS=. Remarks Figure

(as specification provided)

M15 8-31

PAGE 2/3	Contraction FLACENT BASE SATURATION COMMUNITY Contraction No. No. No. No. Contraction No. No. No. No. No. Section X No. No. No. No. No. Section 2.2.8 9.2 88.0 0.0 No. No. 113.2 2.3.3 7.6 87.1 0.0 No. No. 113.2 3.2.2 10.0 86.2 0.0 No. No. 111.5 2.5.5 12.0 85.2 0.0 No. No. 111.5 2.5.7 12.0 85.2 0.0 No. No. 111.5 2.5.7 10.6 85.2 0.0 No. No. 111.5 2.5.7 10.6 85.2 0.0 No. No. 111.5 2.7 10.4 84.3 0.0 No. No. No. No. No.	e of 30 skyn. r my any selence be mode ir prior wellien achierliariten.
PORT	PH 2011 PH 201	etaiteed a maxdeeue vultois or in pert, ne vithout ootsitiing o
ies, l	ма 2000004 2000 200	Serrados ave re be reproducad în am conçensents
est rator 144-2093 - 1402 144-2093 - 1402 144-2093 - 1402 144-2093 144-2014	MISUM CALCUM MISUM CALCUM MISUM 23141 45 MI 23141 1910 22 MI 23231 22 MI 2071 22 MI 1953 25 HI 1954 25 HI 1954	epaleiza zudenninter ditents and may mo
	них в по	A Market of the second
57 D.M.	ми вногрно м вые влоб во 2 м. 17 (1 2 м. 157 v 3	officient for the dution and the dution and the dution and the for the error the certain and the the certain and the certain a
064 2121 2121 0F AUSTIN FRANK 1088 1N TX 787	CREANIC AMATTER MAATTE	0-6 0-6 0ur reports and to the work. fre
239-0(9, 2007 7, 2007 CITY O	sample fibentinication MIX 5 MIX 5 MIX 5 MIX 5 MIX 7 MIX 12 MIX 12 MIX 12 MIX 12 MIX 13 MIX 13 MIX 13 MIX 13	serucie dicred as 3
	LAB NULMBER *077* 61362 61364 61364 61364 61366 61369 61369 61366 61366 61366 61366 61366 61366 61366 61366 61366 61366 61366 61366 61366 61366	61370 61371 70e